

## Attachment 2 Program Assessment and Work plan

**Program Element:** Drinking Water Quality

**Date:** August 9, 2002

**Contacts:**

State contact– David Spath

Federal contact –Karen Schwinn

CALFED contact –John Andrew

---

### Section I. Year 2 Program Assessment

#### A. Accomplishments to Date/ Status of ROD Commitments

*(Describe progress and accomplishments for each task/project, identify all ROD commitments with an asterisk—Information should be organized by the same tasks listed in the CALFED Tracking Report (or as listed in Attachment 1)*

BAB/E\*: project delayed due to contracting

Friant-Met\*: project implemented, expanded, but delayed (due to local partner issues)

Ag drainage\*:

early implementation projects not implemented due to contractor difficulties

year 2 projects awarded but not implemented due to contracting

Source control\*:

early implementation projects (i.e. monitoring and assessment) continued and near completion

projects awarded but not implemented due to contracting;

RFP in process at SWRCB;

SWRCB submitted TMDL for salinity in the lower SJR to EPA, but basin plan amendment delayed until 2003

Veale-Byron project transferred to Conveyance

Conveyances\*: project delayed due to contracting and hiring freeze

Treatment technology\*: projects awarded but not implemented due to contracting

NBA\*: projects awarded but not implemented due to contracting

Operational Improvements/Recirculation\*: study in progress

Health effects: project awarded but not implemented due to contracting

Program Management and Oversight: at CALFED

#### B. Program delays

*(Describe which tasks/projects have been delayed and describe the reason for the delay, identify if ROD commitments have been delayed. Please identify ROD commitments with an asterisk.)*

See A.

## **Section II. Year 3 Work Plan**

### **A. Year 3 Tasks and Schedule**

*(Using the Tasks listed in the tracking report (or in Attachment 1) describe the activities and schedule for Year 3—include schedule for major work products such as PSPs, feasibility studies, science reviews, construction, etc—include the lead agency and contact person for each task and/or major activity)*

BAB/E\*: proceed with phase 2 (Cindy Darling, CALFED)

Friant-Met\*: complete feasibility studies and initiate demonstration projects (Steve Roberts, DWR)

Ag drainage\*:

implement projects awarded in year 2 (Baryohay Davidoff, DWR)

solicit projects for year 3 (Baryohay Davidoff, DWR)

Source control\*:

implement projects awarded in year 2; (?)

award year 2 SWRCB RFP (John Andrew, CALFED)

issue year 3 SWRCB RFP (Bill Campbell, SWRCB);

implement a monitoring and assessment program (Sam Harader/Kim Taylor, CALFED);

Initiate expert review panels (Kim Taylor/John Andrew, CALFED);

south Delta water quality standards (Kathy Kelly, DWR/Sue Ramos, USBR)

Conveyances\*: implement project (Dave Spath, DHS)

Treatment technology\*: implement projects (Dave Spath, DHS)

NBA\*: implement projects (Dave Spath, DHS)

Operational Improvements/Recirculation\*: continue study (Ken Yokoyama, USBR)

Health effects: implement study (Dave Spath, DHS)

Program Management and Oversight: Management to move to DHS, SWRCB, and USEPA, oversight stays at CALFED; resources needed at all three lead agencies to assume management (John Andrew, CALFED)

### **B. Category A and B Programs/Funds**

See attached tables.

### **C. ROD Implementation Commitments**

*(For CALFED projects-- including state and federal lead projects, or grant and loan funded projects—certain ROD Implementation commitments should be considered and possibly included in planning and implementation. See Attachment 3 for details. Describe how these commitments will be incorporated or not into your program activities.)*

DWQP has already met its ROD commitments for treatment technology in year 3. Funding for NBA BMPs is due by December 2002, possibly via the SWRCB RFP. We are required to implement a monitoring and assessment plan by spring 2003.

By August 28, 2002, DWR and USBR must implement a plan to meet all south Delta water quality standards. Recirculation recommendation, due

December 2002 from the same two agencies, is now delayed until December 2003.

Should contracting and hiring freeze constraints be eased, we should meet our conveyance evaluation ROD commitment from year 2. Further, should local partners be so inclined, meet our BAB/E feasibility study/environmental review ROD commitment, also from year 2, as well as Friant-Met feasibility study completion and initial project identification.

#### D. Management Group

*(Identify which significant products/ outputs will be submitted to Management Group for review and recommended approval, and include the schedule for bringing the products to Management Group.)*

SWRCB RFP project recommendations, August 2002  
South Delta water quality standards plan, August 2002 (DWR & USBR)  
Monitoring and assessment plan, Spring 2003  
Friant-Met?

#### E. Public Participation

*(Identify which work products, if any, need to be presented to the Bay Delta Public Advisory Committee and subcommittees for review and advice, and provide the schedule for when the products should go to the Advisory Committee/Subcommittee. Describe the process and schedule/frequency for other stakeholder and/or public involvement. Include Environmental Justice outreach / involvement if appropriate)*

DWS will continue to meet throughout year 3. DWQP may also form agency/stakeholder technical workgroups.

#### F. Agency participation

*(Describe the process and frequency of state and federal agency participation and coordination)*

Little agency participation beyond coordination; meet monthly with lead agencies and quarterly with all CALFED water quality agencies

#### G. Science Review

*(Describe how CALFED science review will be incorporated into program tasks/ activities.)*

Science and DWQP are jointly developing the monitoring and assessment program for water quality. Science and DWQP are conducting a number of joint studies (e.g. white papers on arsenic in groundwater, organic carbon, salinity). Science was invited to participate in the SWRCB RFP selection panel, and will help appoint ROD-required year 3 expert review panel.

#### H. Budget by Task – See attached table

*(Using the excel worksheet provided in Attachment 1 -- fill in the funding by task and by agency/department. The total funding by Program Element has been provided—contact*

*CALFED staff (Kate Hansel, Mike Myatt, or Kristyne Van Skike) if the funding is in question. All Category A funding and programs, shown in Attachment 4, should be included in the work plan and table)*

### **Section III. Stage 1**

#### **A. Stage 1 Projected Expenditures**

*(Review the proposed expenditure plan for the Program Element included in Table 1 of the Annual Report (pg 66). If the tasks/projects included in the Program Element will be more or less than the 7 year total included in Table 1, describe why, and provide a new 7 year expenditure plan for Management Group review and approval. The plan should break down the funding by task and by state, federal and local/water user cost shares)*

Seven-year total of the expenditure plan in the annual report is still valid.

#### **B. State, Federal, Local/Water User Cost Sharing**

*(Review the proposed cost sharing by task included in the Framework Agreement. If needed, propose clarifications or changes, and identify issues/concerns that need to be addressed.)*

Cost sharing as specified by Framework Agreement is still valid.