

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1
Date: Wed, 25 Feb 1998 10:40:08 -0800
From: Penny Howard <PHOWARD-IBR21E@ibr2inet.mp.usbr.gov>
To: rsoehren@water.ca.gov
Cc: ABRANDT-IBR21A@ibr2inet.mp.usbr.gov, EHOWARD-IBR21E@ibr2inet.mp.usbr.gov,
RPATTERSON-IBR21A@ibr2inet.mp.usbr.gov
Subject: 2 more issues from Reclamation...

2 more - sorry...

Conveyance (operations)

- There is a need to address how the alternatives may change future operational criteria and standards, including the biological opinions, AFRP actions, CVPIA, and Bay-Delta. Different Delta flow patterns and reservoir release/storage patterns will affect water quality and the Delta flow (eg. Emmaton, Rio Vista flow, X2, Contra Costa Chlorides, NDOI, X channel gate closure requirements). Does the resource that the standards currently protect need protection in the future? Will the alternatives prohibit the projects from meeting the standard in the future? Will new standards be needed to protect a resource which may suffer due to an alternative? (Note that this all also could affect the COA and CVP/SWP shares and project export potential.)

Is Joint Point was included as a part of the future operations. If Banks export capability is increased in the alternatives, does the CVP obtain some of this benefit? Increasing Banks for the SWP only could adversely impact CVP operations.