



Reading

Memorandum

Date: January 8, 1998

To: Stein Buer Rob Cooke Rick Woodard Mary Selkirk
Dick Daniel Judy Heath Zach McReynolds
Terry Mills Rick Soehren Mary Scoonover

From: Steve Yaeger *Steve*

Subject: Development of Implementation Strategy Framework and Peer/Scientific Review Process

The following has been suggested by some stakeholders as a start on a framework. I am forwarding it in hopes that it can assist you in developing the work-products we have requested for 1/12/98. Please consider whether the approaches outlined adequately describe the Framework and Process for your program.

Implementation Strategy Framework

- A framework should be developed by mid-1998 to guide the long-term implementation program. This implementation program should:
 - Reiterate and display goals and objectives and targets (where developed) related to the Program;
 - Develop conceptual models of system functions where appropriate;
 - Develop basic resource enhancement strategies;
 - Develop methodologies for assessment of program achievements;
 - Discuss the adaptive management strategy (including research agenda, monitoring and assessment criteria, scientific review, prioritization criteria, etc.)

CALFED Agencies

California

- The Resources Agency
- Department of Fish and Game
- Department of Water Resources
- California Environmental Protection Agency
- State Water Resources Control Board

Federal

- Environmental Protection Agency
- Department of the Interior
- Fish and Wildlife Service
- Bureau of Reclamation
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

- Department of Agriculture
- Natural Resources Conservation Service
- Department of Commerce
- National Marine Fisheries Service

Program Managers
January 7, 1998
Page Two

The Process for developing the Implementation strategy should include:

- A core drafting team of agency and independent experts in planning, adaptive management, the disciplines most related to the Program and other disciplines as necessary
- A larger team of agency, stakeholder and independent technical experts working collaboratively (in small workgroups and focused invited participant workshops) with the implementation plan drafting team to address key strategic plan issues; and,
- an independent implementation review panel (either peer or scientific, as appropriate).

The implementation plan should be reviewed and revised (based on the adopted plan components) by late 1998.

cc: Lester A. Snow
Rick Breitenbach