



Memorandum

Date: September 22, 1997
To: Water Transfers Work Group Case Study Volunteers
From: Mary Selkirk and Mike Heaton
Subject: Suggestions for an Outline for Transfer Case Studies

Thank you to all of you for volunteering to prepare case studies for discussion at our next Water Transfers Work Group meeting. In order to create some consistency across presentations, we suggest that you structure your presentation based roughly on the following suggested outline:

1. Structure of the Transfer
 - Who are the parties?
 - What quantity of water?
 - Purpose of use of transferred water?
 - Delivery mechanism and schedule?
 - Source of the water?
 - Term of the transfer?

2. Transfer Approval Process
 - Did it require approval from the State Board, USBR, or DWR? If so, why?
 - What type of environmental analysis, if any, was done?
 - What kind of public review process, if any, was carried out?

3. Third Party Impacts
 - How did you define third party impacts?
 - Were third party economic impacts considered? If so, what criteria were used and what measures employed to assess the impacts? Who devised the analysis?

CALFED Agencies

California The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Water Resources
California Environmental Protection Agency
State Water Resources Control Board

Federal Environmental Protection Agency
Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
Bureau of Reclamation
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Department of Agriculture
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Department of Commerce
National Marine Fisheries Service

Water Transfere Work Group Volunteers

Page Two

September 22, 1997

- How were third party impacts identified or defined?
- How were any of these impacts avoided or mitigated?

4. Other questions:

- What, if any, conditions were included for protection of surface or groundwater or area/county of origin rights?
- What other protections, if any, were built into the transfer agreement?
- If this was a short-term transfer, what additional analyses or conditions would have been necessary in order to make it a long-term transfer?

Please be prepared to give a short presentation of 8-10 minutes. We may also ask you to comment on each other's presentations, assuming you all have a change to ready each other's written materials ahead of time.

In order to ensure that any written materials can get out to Work Group members in time for review before the next meeting, please be sure to get your paper to us by **OCTOBER 12.**

N.B. Because of scheduling conflicts, we have moved the Work Group meeting back to the original proposed date, Wednesday, October 22, 1:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m., location TBA.

Case study volunteers are:

Howard Frick and Jack Foley (MWD and Arvin-Edision)

Alex Hildebrand

Jim Yost (Yolo County)

Paul Bartkiewicz (Yuba County)

We look forward to a productive discussion.