



**CALFED
BAY-DELTA
PROGRAM**

1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155
Sacramento, California 95814

(916) 657-2666
FAX **(916) 654-9780**

March 1, 1996

Ms. Roberta Borgonovo
2480 Union Street
San Francisco, CA 94123

Roberta
Dear ~~Ms. Borgonovo~~:

Thank you for your letter concerning the ranking of the Action Categories and Actions exercise that BDAC members participated in in early January and your subsequent letter of February 13, 1996.

In regard to the first letter, we had anticipated spending more time at the last BDAC meeting explaining the use of the information gained from those responses, however, time constraints did not permit us to adequately complete that discussion. Your concern about the level of detail being insufficient to rate the specific actions is appropriate and we have used the information from BDAC in a qualitative fashion only, providing us with additional input as to initial reactions to the Actions and Action Categories. We hope that you will see that the revised core actions and descriptions respond to many of the concerns received from BDAC members who participated in the exercise. As you suggested, your responses provided us with general guidance, but were not used to limit the scope of the analysis for the EIS/EIR.

In response to your letter of February 13, regarding the relationship between the CALFED Bay-Delta Program and the implementation of ecosystem restoration provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, we have added a discussion of this issue to the agenda for the March 21, BDAC meeting in Sacramento. We will also be preparing a short discussion paper outlining the components of CVPIA which are included in long-term program and are vital to the success of restoration of the Bay-Delta system. We hope that this information and subsequent discussion will help BDAC members discern the impact of any future legislation which may change those provisions key to the success of the long-term program.

Thanks again for your input and continued commitment to the process.

Sincerely,

Lester A. Snow
Executive Director

CALFED Agencies

California

The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Water Resources
California Environmental Protection Agency
State Water Resources Control Board

Federal

Environmental Protection Agency
Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of Commerce



**CALFED
BAY-DELTA
PROGRAM**

1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155
Sacramento, California 95814

(916) 657-2666
FAX **(916) 654-9780**

March 1, 1996

Mr. Harrison Dunning
U.C. Davis Law School
Davis, CA 95616

Dear ^{Hsp}~~Mr. Dunning~~:


Thank you for your letter concerning the ranking of the Action Categories and Actions exercise that BDAC members participated in in early January and your subsequent letter of February 13, 1996.

In regard to the first letter, we had anticipated spending more time at the last BDAC meeting explaining the use of the information gained from those responses, however, time constraints did not permit us to adequately complete that discussion. Your concern about the level of detail being insufficient to rate the specific actions is appropriate and we have used the information from BDAC in a qualitative fashion only, providing us with additional input as to initial reactions to the Actions and Action Categories. We hope that you will see that the revised core actions and descriptions respond to many of the concerns received from BDAC members who participated in the exercise. As you suggested, your responses provided us with general guidance, but were not used to limit the scope of the analysis for the EIS/EIR.

In response to your letter of February 13, regarding the relationship between the CALFED Bay-Delta Program and the implementation of ecosystem restoration provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, we have added a discussion of this issue to the agenda for the March 21, BDAC meeting in Sacramento. We will also be preparing a short discussion paper outlining the components of CVPIA which are included in long-term program and are vital to the success of restoration of the Bay-Delta system. We hope that this information and subsequent discussion will help BDAC members discern the impact of any future legislation which may change those provisions key to the success of the long-term program.

Thanks again for your input and continued commitment to the process.

Sincerely,



Lester A. Snow
Executive Director

CALFED Agencies

California

The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Water Resources
California Environmental Protection Agency
State Water Resources Control Board

Federal

Environmental Protection Agency
Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of Commerce

G - 0 0 0 6 2 0



1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155 (916) 657-2666
Sacramento, California 95814 FAX (916) 654-9780

March 1, 1996

Mr. Thomas Graff
Environmental Defense Fund
5655 College Avenue, Suite 304
Oakland, CA 94618

Tom
~~Dear~~ Mr. Graff:

Thank you for your letter concerning the ranking of the Action Categories and Actions exercise that BDAC members participated in in early January and your subsequent letter of February 13, 1996.

In regard to the first letter, we had anticipated spending more time at the last BDAC meeting explaining the use of the information gained from those responses, however, time constraints did not permit us to adequately complete that discussion. Your concern about the level of detail being insufficient to rate the specific actions is appropriate and we have used the information from BDAC in a qualitative fashion only, providing us with additional input as to initial reactions to the Actions and Action Categories. We hope that you will see that the revised core actions and descriptions respond to many of the concerns received from BDAC members who participated in the exercise. As you suggested, your responses provided us with general guidance, but were not used to limit the scope of the analysis for the EIS/EIR.

In response to your letter of February 13, regarding the relationship between the CALFED Bay-Delta Program and the implementation of ecosystem restoration provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, we have added a discussion of this issue to the agenda for the March 21, BDAC meeting in Sacramento. We will also be preparing a short discussion paper outlining the components of CVPIA which are included in long-term program and are vital to the success of restoration of the Bay-Delta system. We hope that this information and subsequent discussion will help BDAC members discern the impact of any future legislation which may change those provisions key to the success of the long-term program.

Thanks again for your input and continued commitment to the process.

Sincerely,

Lester A. Snow
Executive Director

CALFED Agencies

California

The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Water Resources
California Environmental Protection Agency
State Water Resources Control Board

Federal

Environmental Protection Agency
Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of Commerce

G - 0 0 0 6 2 1



**CALFED
BAY-DELTA
PROGRAM**

1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155
Sacramento, California 95814

(916) 657-2666
FAX **(916) 654-9780**

March 1, 1996

Mr. Richard Izmirian
2215 Eaton Avenue
San Carlos, CA 94070

Richard
Dear Mr. Izmirian:

Thank you for your letter concerning the ranking of the Action Categories and Actions exercise that BDAC members participated in in early January and your subsequent letter of February 13, 1996.

In regard to the first letter, we had anticipated spending more time at the last BDAC meeting explaining the use of the information gained from those responses, however, time constraints did not permit us to adequately complete that discussion. Your concern about the level of detail being insufficient to rate the specific actions is appropriate and we have used the information from BDAC in a qualitative fashion only, providing us with additional input as to initial reactions to the Actions and Action Categories. We hope that you will see that the revised core actions and descriptions respond to many of the concerns received from BDAC members who participated in the exercise. As you suggested, your responses provided us with general guidance, but were not used to limit the scope of the analysis for the EIS/EIR.

In response to your letter of February 13, regarding the relationship between the CALFED Bay-Delta Program and the implementation of ecosystem restoration provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, we have added a discussion of this issue to the agenda for the March 21, BDAC meeting in Sacramento. We will also be preparing a short discussion paper outlining the components of CVPIA which are included in long-term program and are vital to the success of restoration of the Bay-Delta system. We hope that this information and subsequent discussion will help BDAC members discern the impact of any future legislation which may change those provisions key to the success of the long-term program.

Thanks again for your input and continued commitment to the process.

Sincerely,

Lester A. Snow
Executive Director

CALFED Agencies

California

The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Water Resources
California Environmental Protection Agency
State Water Resources Control Board

Federal

Environmental Protection Agency
Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of Commerce

G - 0 0 0 6 2 2



**CALFED
BAY-DELTA
PROGRAM**

1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155 (916) 657-2666
Sacramento, California 95814 FAX (916) 654-9780

March 1, 1996

Mr. Pietro Parravano
Pacific Coast Fed of Fisherman's Assoc.
215 Spruce Street
Halfmoon Bay, CA 94019

Pietro
Dear Mr. Parravano:


Thank you for your letter concerning the ranking of the Action Categories and Actions exercise that BDAC members participated in in early January and your subsequent letter of February 13, 1996.

In regard to the first letter, we had anticipated spending more time at the last BDAC meeting explaining the use of the information gained from those responses, however, time constraints did not permit us to adequately complete that discussion. Your concern about the level of detail being insufficient to rate the specific actions is appropriate and we have used the information from BDAC in a qualitative fashion only, providing us with additional input as to initial reactions to the Actions and Action Categories. We hope that you will see that the revised core actions and descriptions respond to many of the concerns received from BDAC members who participated in the exercise. As you suggested, your responses provided us with general guidance, but were not used to limit the scope of the analysis for the EIS/EIR.

In response to your letter of February 13, regarding the relationship between the CALFED Bay-Delta Program and the implementation of ecosystem restoration provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, we have added a discussion of this issue to the agenda for the March 21, BDAC meeting in Sacramento. We will also be preparing a short discussion paper outlining the components of CVPIA which are included in long-term program and are vital to the success of restoration of the Bay-Delta system. We hope that this information and subsequent discussion will help BDAC members discern the impact of any future legislation which may change those provisions key to the success of the long-term program.

Thanks again for your input and continued commitment to the process.

Sincerely,



Lester A. Show
Executive Director

CALFED Agencies

California

The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Water Resources
California Environmental Protection Agency
State Water Resources Control Board

Federal

Environmental Protection Agency
Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of Commerce

G - 0 0 0 6 2 3



**CALFED
BAY-DELTA
PROGRAM**

1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155
Sacramento, California 95814

(916) 657-2666
FAX (916) 654-9780

March 1, 1996

Ms. Ann Notthoff
Natural Resources Defense Fund
71 Stevenson Place
San Francisco, CA 94105

Dear Ms. ^{Ann}~~Notthoff~~:


Thank you for your letter concerning the ranking of the Action Categories and Actions exercise that BDAC members participated in in early January and your subsequent letter of February 13, 1996.

In regard to the first letter, we had anticipated spending more time at the last BDAC meeting explaining the use of the information gained from those responses, however, time constraints did not permit us to adequately complete that discussion. Your concern about the level of detail being insufficient to rate the specific actions is appropriate and we have used the information from BDAC in a qualitative fashion only, providing us with additional input as to initial reactions to the Actions and Action Categories. We hope that you will see that the revised core actions and descriptions respond to many of the concerns received from BDAC members who participated in the exercise. As you suggested, your responses provided us with general guidance, but were not used to limit the scope of the analysis for the EIS/EIR.

In response to your letter of February 13, regarding the relationship between the CALFED Bay-Delta Program and the implementation of ecosystem restoration provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, we have added a discussion of this issue to the agenda for the March 21, BDAC meeting in Sacramento. We will also be preparing a short discussion paper outlining the components of CVPIA which are included in long-term program and are vital to the success of restoration of the Bay-Delta system. We hope that this information and subsequent discussion will help BDAC members discern the impact of any future legislation which may change those provisions key to the success of the long-term program.

Thanks again for your input and continued commitment to the process.

Sincerely,



Lester A. Snow
Executive Director

CALFED Agencies

California

The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Water Resources
California Environmental Protection Agency
State Water Resources Control Board

Federal

Environmental Protection Agency
Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of Commerce
National Marine Fisheries Service

G - 0 0 0 6 2 4



**CALFED
BAY-DELTA
PROGRAM**

1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155
Sacramento, California 95814

(916) 657-2666
FAX (916) 654-9780

March 1, 1996

Mr. Bob Raab
164 Ridge Road
San Anselmo, CA 94960

Dear ^{Bob}~~Mr. Raab~~:

Thank you for your letter concerning the ranking of the Action Categories and Actions exercise that BDAC members participated in in early January and your subsequent letter of February 13, 1996.

In regard to the first letter, we had anticipated spending more time at the last BDAC meeting explaining the use of the information gained from those responses, however, time constraints did not permit us to adequately complete that discussion. Your concern about the level of detail being insufficient to rate the specific actions is appropriate and we have used the information from BDAC in a qualitative fashion only, providing us with additional input as to initial reactions to the Actions and Action Categories. We hope that you will see that the revised core actions and descriptions respond to many of the concerns received from BDAC members who participated in the exercise. As you suggested, your responses provided us with general guidance, but were not used to limit the scope of the analysis for the EIS/EIR.

In response to your letter of February 13, regarding the relationship between the CALFED Bay-Delta Program and the implementation of ecosystem restoration provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, we have added a discussion of this issue to the agenda for the March 21, BDAC meeting in Sacramento. We will also be preparing a short discussion paper outlining the components of CVPIA which are included in long-term program and are vital to the success of restoration of the Bay-Delta system. We hope that this information and subsequent discussion will help BDAC members discern the impact of any future legislation which may change those provisions key to the success of the long-term program.

Thanks again for your input and continued commitment to the process.

Sincerely,

Lester A. Snow
Executive Director

CALFED Agencies

California

The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Water Resources
California Environmental Protection Agency
State Water Resources Control Board

Federal

Environmental Protection Agency
Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of Commerce
National Marine Fisheries Service