Action – we will lay out
Strategy for VAMP / S. Delta
Linkage
timing w/ SWRCB
"Shooting for Nov" at Bd
Bd doesn't

Tracy – Stein – fast tracking
Formal process for Agency input
Need full scope
Roger P – gave go ahead
Asking for Cat III $
Ryan – clarification –
DFG has not approved
RB Need firm outline
Wants sign-off clearly 1st
MS – R Table premature
What for – How much
– $ for screen $ for facility
SBver – will put info together
clarifying what buying off on
– 2500 afs – screen – general support
~250-300 mill total estimate
Draft language Feb 2nd
Purpose Process $ for what
How make decisions

- Why Rush?
  - Because could move quickly - mortality benefit provide info for Clifton
  - Need to show some successes

To RT - info

1999 Schedule
→ move to 90 day

- Hagler - More
  1 - ERP needs indicators, meas of success

Follow up Monday

  2 Time Value of Water
  - have not carried concept thru analysis
  - Modeling diversions not linked to topping curve

LAS - Doesn't think needs research to accept concept
Most work in Stg I - site specific at site diverting
Assumed part of storage screening

(3)

Hagler — Concern —
Postulating diversion to new storage can be done in env. benign way.

Cowinhopes DEFT — look at diversion effect rules

PW — need guidance to CFed assuming wide range of what 'can' be diverted

JJ — Can't limit to Delta
More limits actually upstream
M.Sp — Use Sites Reservoir
Now to understand what is doable —

— Do Both

LAS — coose concept need clear questions LAS/SR

Peer Review of Issues of Theory of Shape Hydrogr

Case Study — 1

What are?
- % divers
- size of pipe

will load up storage program more

LAS — (1) Case Study — Staff will scope

(2) Time Value of WTR — subgroup — Develop list of questions

P. Leonard Hagler

E-037033

MSp

need see link coord.

CMARP
DEFT
EWA
ERP
Cons. Strategy
Rest Coord

EWA —
Need add biologists — to Modeling
Put last 2 boxes together
in

→ May be too many people

EWA → Pilot
— EWA will not do
M. Spear making water for
ARP in 99
Needs to be above
— B2 taken care of

(5)

, , , ov EWA

MSp — Keep efforts separate

PW — Timing
— Key issue — fund source
ID —
Some makeup wtr
Some EWA
Then decide who pays
— Now or later

Spring 2000 — decide who
impacts doe

Give admin assurance
— approach

1st — Get the Water
M Scoonover — legal concerns
clear for lines?
— Env. Impacts
— If USBR contracting
Env. Doc
Permits
USBR — has existing authority
to buy
How to spend water — NOT USBR

Current Est of B2 impacts
119,000 af — now

Need concept writeup TODAY

Forces - accounting
Settlement
14.5
5.9
— 8 mill left

40 mill
to call options

Need to lay out clearly
chain of events
Need to not fail EWA

Public disclosure - folly NOW
— 99 under the Accord

B2 lawsuit
→ Is there a CFCD work effort
meet next week
w/ key folks
Postpone litigation

(1)

NS = CALFED = settlement
no CF of ~~no~~ still Court
settled at ROD

Brandt — ~~tp~~ proposed
to legal
today
— Patrick — set up
put on policy group
agenda

RCRC — PGE

USFWS Spear will look
at the two site

Give Map to White

→ Need policy

Indian

① We haven't negotiated
- 102 sovereign nations
- Policy Team

② Clear Lake - Mercury

③ Eel River (outside scope)

→ Put on Policy Group
→ Maybe EPA DOI setting meeting
- Latch onto exis
→ Call David Howell
Letter to Indian - Approach