


Qualifications on Recommendations

- No formal endorsements to implement any measure or groups of measures without qualification/mitigation/linkages.
- Results to date are preliminary and need further review. Further refinement of measures is necessary to fully evaluate their benefits and impacts.
- Water supply benefits are measured in terms of south of Delta deliveries. Impacts to other water users should also be assessed.
- Project specific environmental documentation may be needed on a parallel time line to the CALFED EIS/R ROD if early implementation is desired.

Noname Group Comments

- Export water supplies made available from from the tools could be greatly offset by changes in environmental requirements, therefore phased implementation would be critical to ensuring a balanced sharing of benefits.
- On net, "getting better" could be measured in ways that do not depend on flow (examples).
 - Implementation of the other water supply tools will improve flexibility in project operations - this could allow real-time management decisions to improve supply, quality, and ecosystem conditions.

Average increase to water supply
(TAF/yr)



Next Steps for the NoName Group

- Continue analysis of multiple water supply measures through the NoName-DEFT coordination group.
- Continue consideration of water quality measures.
- Continue development of alternative operations to improve flexibility, ecosystem protection and water supply.


Qualifications on Recommendations

- No formal endorsements to implement any measure or groups of measures without qualification/mitigation/linkages.
- Results to date are preliminary and need further review. Further refinement of measures is necessary to fully evaluate their benefits and impacts.
- Water supply benefits are measured in terms of south of Delta deliveries. Impacts to other water users should also be assessed.
- Project specific environmental documentation may be needed on a parallel time line to the CALFED EIS/R ROD if early implementation is desired.

Recommendations

- Highest priority measures for evaluation: ISDP, JPOD, an intertie between the DMC and the California Aqueduct, and Madera Ranch groundwater storage.
- Other priority measures include: small expansion of Shasta Dam, increased groundwater storage, in-Delta storage, rescheduling, reservoir reoperation.
- Other near-term measures which could be enhanced by the above measures include transfers and exchanges.
- Continue joint NoName Group-DEFT evaluation of operating criteria and measures which affect water supply and fisheries.

Average increase to water supply
(TAF/yr)



Recommendations

- Highest priority measures for evaluation: ISDP, JPOD, an intertie between the DMC and the California Aqueduct, and Madera Ranch groundwater storage.
- Other priority measures include: small expansion of Shasta Dam, increased groundwater storage, in-Delta storage, rescheduling, reservoir reoperation.
- Other near-term measures which could be enhanced by the above measures include transfers and exchanges.
- Continue joint NoName Group-DEFT evaluation of operating criteria and measures which affect water supply and fisheries.

NoName Group Comments

- Export water supplies made available from from the tools could be greatly offset by changes in environmental requirements, therefore phased implementation would be critical to ensuring a balanced sharing of benefits.
- On net, “getting better” could be measured in ways that do not depend on flow (examples).
- Implementation of the other water supply tools will improve flexibility in project operations - this could allow real-time management decisions to improve supply, quality, and ecosystem conditions.

Next Steps for the NoName Group

- Continue analysis of multiple water supply measures through the NoName-DEFT coordination group.
- Continue consideration of water quality measures.
- Continue development of alternative operations to improve flexibility, ecosystem protection and water supply.