- need assurances for stakeholders
- process important -
  - Need process to deal w/ problem - one stop shopping

- timing - need by Jan.
  - need for program
- concern about whether we can do by Aug

problems
- some people feel that fish screens w/ SA not necessary.

tool - break off into pieces rather than trying to do whole issue

Dick - prioritize - • look above tidal zone
• look at feasibility of screens - Δ
are looking at focussing habitat - areas where we can do away w/ diversions

Potter -
- Priority by Jan. 1. -
  - Develop criteria upstream
  - Develop assurances package

* Proceed -
- Terry Mills focus - lead person CALFED
- Signal ~~from~~ to staff that this is a priority.

* Option - come up w/ panel to review proposals - one stop shopping.

* Upstream of Δ by Jan at minimum

8/27 CALFED Mgt Team

Call Gerry Grover – Letter tomorrow

– Update on Phase I Approval Letter
All signatures by Fri.

– Sept. 3 – Press event

– Suggestion from Patrick –
At end of agenda – add item for issues to be developed by next meeting

Cat. III

Mantell – any consideration of possibility that more $ may be available?

Patterson – Create a secondary list if more available – ? Idea?

Wester or – go back through a separate process – broader – w/ CALFED + Eco Roundtable.

Potter – San Joaquin not enough emphasis in San Joaquin System

*Next Mtg. – Review of Eco Roundtable operating Procedures

Fish Screening

– Common set of fish screening criteria

– Coord. fish screening policy + criteria

* – Need to have Staff directed to do it. – address fish screening problem.

– Agreed on the need for consolidated fish screening policy

– One stop shopping

E-033931

Baseline

- What is purpose?
  ~~Because to~~ Do it to be used for long term on a project proposal?

Concerns

1) Would use baseline to evaluate existing operations. (between Accord + now).
2) Mixing planning + operating.

Lester -

Reason this is on -

1) We need to ~~evaluate~~ define baseline!
2) Need to engage stakeholders.

We need to resolve -

- long term baseline - had been done in CP1A -

* - Lowells paper - mixes operations + long term planning - need to separate.

* We will coordinate w/ Lowell to be sure we are consistent.

* Lowell will set up mtg. - need Lowells paper further established to understand issues

Can we have a meaningful discussion w/ stakeholders.

Need Internal mtg - working sessions Need to deal w/ both Need short term + Long-term

E-033932

E-033932

Both are import. + are needed.

* Need paper that captures both!
- Lowell will prepare - w/ Mab, Herbold + Stems.
- Prepare before next mtg.

Decision process

Issues

1) How will we know when we are there
- State - Certify
- Feds - ROD

2) Mgt. + Regulatory responsibilities
- concern about authorities
- is unique effort
- most CALFED agencies have responsibilities

- By next spring - need to deal w/ before spring - can't have agencies saying they have problems w/ process

- Mantell - this is fundamentally a policy call.
- Is what integrated resources is all about.

concerns from: - Water Board
- Corp.
- Fws

Will be difficult to work out - but must!

Inventory of regulatory hoops;
- identify problems + come up w/ options
- Board is problem - finessed on accord.

- Must do really good planning
- looking at whole - not just single component!
  - every component is not critical.
    - look at whole

* - many Joe will put together authorities + options for next mtg.

* - This exactly why we need help from agencies.

agency staff
Can do single section 7 - can build ~ assurances to sell program, + allow trade offs
→ Biol. opinion

Update on San Joaquin

Update on Grasslands

Laura's paper - Stakeholder Process White Paper