6/26 CALFED Mgt. Team (~~see~~ Attachment - memo June 21)

①

Attending. - Lester, Mantell (Res. As.), Walt Pettit (SWRCB), Tom Hagler (EPA), Patrick Wright (DOI), Walter Yep (CoSE), Kirk Rodgers (BR), Greg Zlotnick (F+G), Gary Stern (NMFS), Ag guy, Judy Kelly, Laura King, Dick Daniel, Sharon Gross, Cindy Darling, Steve Yaeger, Mary Kelly, Zach McReynolds, Mary Scoonover.

A) 1) Cat. III Funding list

- Dick presented overview - see attachment from Dick.

- crediting - any problems w/ what they want to do + what we want to give credit for? Science Agencies need to think about!
- Discussion of projects.
  - Focussing on spring-run.
- Funding for projects

  Proposal - 80% on high priority focussed projects
  20% non-focussed but advances long-term goal.

* - will prepare a document for Steering committee - send to CALFED ~~steer~~ SC members

2) Crediting -

- Memo sent to Steering comm.
- All $ must be put into pool to be credited.

3) Eco. Roundtable
- would like to have members confirmed by Cat. III Steering Comm.
- membership will be selected by constituents.

?

4) State/Federal Funding
- Distributed charts + tables on funding - Attached.
- Federal side - need to discuss funding strategies

- Need to summarize Fed/State Cat. III contributions.

5) Major issues from workshops
- Sac. Valley - needs area of origin + water supply (storage) issues
- water supply is not reasonably addressed.
- Striped bass -
- ag. land retirement
- storage - priority

1) - Phase I decision
- Adequacy of range of Alts.
- Ind. agency decision conveyed in coop. manner
  - Reasonable range
  - Not that every agency could live w/ Alts. but that it is reasonable.

* Letter + press release to be drafted + sent around.

Timing - 1st 2 weeks in August.

- Public Event
  - Plan event
  - Madigan - Interior, EPA + Wheeler.

Need to have letter signed by mid-August.

- Maybe combine w/ Cat. III event
- SB 900

2) Phase II

- What is the form of the Phase II decision? Will be a trigger for SB900

Options
1) Use ROD + everyone agrees
2) Use Final Decision

- Not a problem - Agencies need to agree they will do it in a timely fashion.

ID - preferred decision - April 97
- Draft - Oct. 97

3) Agency Concerns
1) Relationship w/ SA
   1) SA can be independently evaluated.
   2)
   3) full env. review.

- proceeding on independent track
- outcome won't prejudice

- Draft will be issued after end of July.

EPA - has signed off on draft
- has not signed off on project!

DWR looking for clarity in relationship.

2) Full isolation as separate Alt.
- viable alt. because of env. benefits on San Joaquin side.

No big deal.

4) Stakeholders
5)

c) BDAC -
OK - be sure that Sec. & Gov. are mentioned.

D) SB900 -
- Conf. comm. meets today -
- Who should $ go to?
Sec. of Resources → CALFED or entity

E) 1) - San Joaquin Issues
1) Feds - supporting full implementation of standards.
- more modeling will be done
- some disconnect among San Joaquin supporters

2) Lawsuit
Dec. Trial date

- Wheeler sent letter to San Fran. as chair of Water Policy Council ~~about~~ concerned w/ their involvement

2) Temp. Barriers
Asking Corp. to reopen consultation - want to get rid of screening criteria.

3) Discussed previously.

4) Ops. -
- Real time ends June.
- Working to get water back in fall that was foregone in spring.
- DNA work on winter run.
- trying to determine how many ~~as~~ winter run are taken at pumps.