

# LOCAL WATERSHED STEWARDSHIP RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING

Recommended	TRP Score	Prop No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Amt Funded	TRP Comments
Yes	39	99-B131	YUBA TOOLS: Collaborative Watershed Mgmt for Flood Control	Yuba Watershed Council & SYRCL	Yuba, Nevada, Sierra	\$216,150	\$216,150	Important spring run stream proposal well put together and cost effective.
Yes	34	99-C118	Biological Ag Systems in Cotton-BASIC-Reducing Synthetic Pesticides & Fertilizers in the No. SJ Vly	Sustainable Cotton Project (SCP)	Merced, Madera, Fresno	\$1,388,784	\$460,000	
Yes	32	99-C121	Douglas/Long Canyon Paired - Watershed Project	Placer County Water Agency PCWA	Placer	\$83,600	\$83,600	No direct connection, research proposal to CALFED priority species.
No	39	99-C100	Last Chance Creek Project - Ferris - Meadowview Reach	Feather River Coordinated Resource Managemet - Plumas Corp	Plumas	\$980,000		Good proposal though not directly related to CALFED priority species.
No	39	99-C132	Battle Creek Watershed Stewardship, Phase 2	Battle Creek Watershed Conservancy	Shasta, Tehama	\$292,662		Well written proposal. Logical next step for important area with lots of ongoing activity.
No	38	99-C129	Development of a Watershed Management Strategy for Little Chico Creek	CSU, Chico Research foundation - Office of Sponsored Programs	Butte, Glenn	\$293,473		Important but not critical to CALFED goals. Do have existing conditions report lgoical next step.
No	37	99-C101	Lassen National Forest Watershed Stewardship Anadromous Watersheds of Antelope, Battle,etc	USDA, Forest Service, Lassen National Forest	Butte, Plumas, Shasta, Tehama	\$3,017,695		Recommend not funding USFS project mgmt cost - they should provide as in-kind cost share. Good project in general. Some question on sediment as limiting factor.
No	36	99-C138	Colusa Basin Watershed Project	Colusa County RCD, Colusa Basin Drainage District		\$492,500		Strong proposal with lcoal leadership addressing important problem.
No	36	99-C122	Marsh Creek Watershed Science Program	The Delta Science Center at Big Break	Contra Costa	\$163,474		This project has a complimentary habitat restoratoin proposal. ( 99-B144)
No	34	99-C120	Continuation of the Lower Mokelumne River Watershed Stewardship Program	San Joaquin Resource Conservation District	San Joaquin, Sacramento	\$654,000		Strongly recommend for funding.
No	34	99-C105	Panoche/Silver Creek Watershed Management and Action Plan	Westside Resource Conservation District	Fresno, San Benito	\$848,000		High ecological benefits. Very strong local involvement. Need clarification on how and what modeling will be done.

Recommended	TRP Score	Prop No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Amt Funded	TRP Comments
No	33	99-C116	A Clear Creek Prescription	Western Shasta Resource Conservation District (WSRCD)	Shasta	\$322,960		Most of work above Whiskeytown which has less connection to CALFED priorities.
No	32	99-C140	Sonoma Creek Watershed Conservancy	Southern Sonoma County Resource Conservation District	Sonoma	\$702,633		Could be partially funded. Good community based project.
No	32	99-C112	Butte County Water & Natural Resource Coordination	County of Butte	Butte	\$277,107		Could be valuable, but really should encourage cost share.
No	31	99-C109	Napa River Watershed Stewardship Year 2	Napa County Resource Conservation District	Napa	\$191,100		Support continuaiton of work. Implementation of Owners Manual.
No	31	99-C130	Big Chico Creek & Little Chico Watershed Support Project	CSU, Chico Research Foundation -Office of Sponsored Programs	Butte, Glenn, Tehama	\$267,326		Coordination is important. Proposal was unclear in budget breakdown.
No	31	99-C114	Yuba Watershed Council: A Collaborative Approach	Yuba Watershed Council, Nevada Cty Resource Conservation District	Nevada, Sierra, Yuba, Placer	\$142,618		Important area to have a coordinator.
No	31	99-C115	Upper Trinity River Watershed Stewardship Project	Trinity County Resource Conservaiton District	Trinity	\$150,000		Good project. Unclear connection to CALFED priorities, not enough \$\$ to implement correctly.
No	28	99-C134	American River (Middle & South Forks) Integrated Watershed Stewardship	Georgetown Divide Resource Conservation District	El Dorado	\$203,250		Disconnected to CALFED priority species.
No	27	99-C136	Clear Lake Wetlands Restoration	Lake County Sanitation District	Lake	\$1,000,000		Under obligation to do work. Proposal not responsive to PSP.
No	26	99-C131	Northeastern Sacramento Valley Small Streams Mapping Project - Phase I	The Research Foundation, CSU Chico	Butte, Tehama, Shasta	\$80,263		Does not ID any products. Metadata collection only.
No	26	99-C126	Watershed Restoration & Implementation Strategy for Dry Creek	Nevada County Resource Conservation District	Nevada, Yuba	\$320,619		Start up project with an ambitious time-frame.
No	26	99-C128	Upper Butte Creek Road Management Improvement Project	CSU, Chico Research Foundation on behalf of the Butte Creek Watershed, Dept of Geography & Planning	Butte	\$209,476		Plan for fixing road. Did not see cost effective.
No	26	99-C108	Cottonwood Creek Watershed Monitoring and Assessment	Cottonwood Creek Watershed Group	Shasta, Tehama	\$935,000		Panel felt this was important work and should be considered. Strong support for funding ongoing work, potentially Task 1 & 2. Not well written. Suggest additional coordination w/local agencies.
No	25	99-C124	Butte County Water Inventory/Needs Assessment	Butte County Water Division	Butte	\$770,000		No link to ecological benefits.

Recommended	TRP Score	Prop No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Amt Funded	TRP Comments
No	25	99-C139	Mokelumne & Cosumnes Rivers Coordination	San Joaquin Council of Governments	San Joaquin, Sacramento	\$217,480		Coordination may be needed but benefits are indirect.
No	25	99-C113	Phase I Feasibility Study of the Tracy Wetlands Stormwater Reuse Habitat	City of Tracy, Dept of Public Works	San Joaquin	\$149,580		
No	24	99-C135	Digital Soil Survey Mapping & Digital Orthophotoquad For Bay-Delta Region	USDA NRCS & the California Conservation Partnership	Shasta, Tehama, Glenn and others	\$1,612,040		Good project/idea, but not for CALFED funds.
No	24	99-C107	Expanding Community based Restoraton and Stewardship in Four Watersheds	The Restoration Trust	Sonoma, Solano, Yolo	\$169,000		Not recommended for funding. Potential for long-term benefit low.
No	23	99-C127	Yuba River Watershed Assessment	Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation	Nevada, Yuba	\$500,502		Concern with top down feel. Consultant to do work and turn over to locals. In general, work is needed in this area. (Yuba)
No	23	99-C123	Calaveras County Watershed Mgmt & Stewardship Program	Calaveras County Water District	Calaveras	\$700,000		Recommend not funding. County approach to watershed issue.
No	21	99-C125	South Sacramento County Habitat Conservation Plan	Sacramento County Planning and Community Development	Sacramento	\$125,000		
No	20	99-C137	Promoting Stewardship Practices to Reduce Non Point Source Pollution From Prod. Ag in Sac/SJ Wtrshed	Coalition for Urban/Rural Environmental Stewardship (CURES)	Yuba, Sutter, Butte, Col and others	\$3,333,500		Not a watershed project. Several unresolved issues.
No	20	99-C117	San Pablo Bay Watershed Capacity Development	North Bay Watershed Association (NBWA) c/o LGVSD	Marin, Sonoma, Napa	\$175,000		
No	19	99-C110	Tuolumne River Regional Park Land Use Plan Update/Environmental	City of Modesto, on behalf of the Tuolumne River Regional Park Joint Powers Agency	Stanislaus	\$70,766		Proposal to develop an EIR, not integrated with any watershed planning.
No	17	99-C119	American Basin Watershed Station	Dry Creek Conservancy	Placer, Sacramento, Sutter	\$402,600		
No	16	99-C111	Granite Watershed Restoration Pilot Project	USDA Forest Service, Stanislaus National Forest	Tuolumne	\$4,555,000		This should be funded by the Forest Service. It is disconnected and not community based. No clear benefits to CALFED.
No	15	99-C102	Wildcat Canyon Western Slope Restoration Project	City of El Cerrito	Contra Costa	\$1,046,000		No connection to CALFED goals. Mainly exotic species control. Not community based. Received letters of opposition.

Recommended	TRP Score	Prop No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Amt Funded	TRP Comments
No	13	99-C141	Integrating Ecosystem Restoration program Objectives with Instream Gravel Mining	Resource Design Technology, Inc.	Sol, Tehama, Sac, Shasta, Yolo	\$388,950		No direct benefit to CALFED goals. No connection w/locals or ongoing activities.
No		99-C103	Duplicate Proposal 99-B102	Friends of the Tuolumne, Inc.	Stanislaus			