

July 11, 1997

## **Roundtable Role In 1997 RFP Evaluation and Selection Process**

### Guidelines/Constraints

- We need to continue current RFP under provision of State Contract Law or reissue RFP. (Legal citations guiding use of contract law--AG Opinions, 58 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen [1974] and 63 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen 290 [1980], State Contracting Manual Section 4.6) We are reviewing legal authorities for next funding cycle.
- Proposals are not public information until selection complete (Public Contract Code Sections 10344c2, 10377c2)
- Roundtable can not have closed meetings as part of process to review individual proposals.
- Roundtable members can not observe the Integration Panel or it becomes a public meeting.

### Roundtable input into Review Panels:

- Provide advice/guidance on factors used by Integration Panel in setting priorities and selecting proposals
- Provide advice on structure and membership of panels
- Roundtable members can be selected for the Integration Panel or Technical Review Panels if they meet the qualifications

### Roundtable input into Recommended Funding Package:

*Roundtable will receive at September meeting:*

- Integration Panel assumptions and priorities used in selecting proposals
- Summary of recommended proposals by funding amount (variety of funding levels)
- Description of each funding package -- including the description of the funding allocated by type of proposals , geographic distribution, and species.

*Roundtable will provide advice to refine the funding packages in following areas:*

- Total funding allocated,
- Identification of gaps in funding
- Increase/decrease emphasis by type of proposal  
    For example:
  - water quality, fish migration, habitat restoration
  - direct and indirect benefits/scientific certainty--research vs full implementation
  - immediate benefits vs longer term benefits (ie fish screens and habitat acquisition and restoration)
- Increase/decrease emphasis by species
- Increase decrease emphasis by geographic area