

## Drinking Water-Constituents of Concern Data Management-Draft Part 2

### *Background*

This section of the work plan discusses the data management, display, and retrieval of drinking water constituents. Attempting to assess baseline conditions and address water quality/quantity issues in the future will require access to both historic and current data. Management of these data will entail loading drinking water constituents monitoring data into an existing relational database with an already-created "data browser" and mapping interface to query and display the data over the World Wide Web. This database and browser system has been created for the Interagency Ecological Program (IEP) by a cooperative effort between the Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the California Urban Water Agencies (CUWA).

Drinking water constituent data will be combined with a large number of other analyte and biological data using standardized naming conventions, normalization, georeferencing and common units. These data will be accessible through a stand-alone interface allowing researchers to query for a full range of potential dependent and independent variables. The primary benefit of this project is not only to provide access to drinking water constituent data, but also to integrate these data with the other data needed to conduct research and develop indicators relevant to the ecology of the Bay/Delta and their tributaries, such as the data collected by other CALFED programs including the ERPP. Data integration can help resolve the ambiguities associated with multiple sources and dramatically reduce the time needed to prepare data for analysis. An integrated database system can substantially save staff time and improve productivity.

The advantage of this approach is that the database design has been carefully planned and proven in production, and the extensive software development for the data browser and mapping (GIS functionality) interface has been performed. This represents a considerable cost savings compared to having to create a new system. The addition of the drinking water constituent data will require relatively minor modifications to the existing database design and browser/mapping interface software.

The Bay/Delta and Tributaries project receives funding from the IEP, CVPIA/CAMP program, and SRWP and shares resources with CUWA. The project's principal function is to integrate the data collected by multiple agencies for comprehensive analyses. The project is currently in operation, holding data from various monitoring programs including UCD, several DFG offices, USFWS, stakeholders, private consultants and other agencies. These data can be accessed by all interested parties using a map interface via the World Wide Web.

The existing data management system already has most of the features needed to implement this project and thus this proposal would avoid duplication of effort or "reinventing the wheel". The benefits to CALFED, are reduced cost, collaboration among several of the CALFED agencies and stakeholders, and the experience gained by these agencies while implementing similar projects.

Data management is important to all aspects of CALFED data collection and dissemination processes. Ultimately, CALFED must make data and information readily accessible to CALFED Bay/Delta technical staff, agency staff and stakeholders. Data will also need to be updated regularly to meet different program reporting timelines in a way that allows information from one program to be related to another, and, in time, to modify adaptive management strategies.

Using a relational database management system (RDBMS) to manage data assists with data analysis because of its unique ability to relate diverse types of information. The diverse types of data already stored on the system include physical and chemical data

(e.g., water quality, hydrodynamics, meteorological, etc.), biological data used to gauge the health of the estuary. Beyond determining cause-and-effect relationships between the physical and chemical and biological data, technical staff can use these data to examine relationships to evaluate impacts of various alternatives. Monitoring key system attributes (or indicators), completing focused research to obtain better understanding, and phasing implementation based on information gained are all central to the adaptive management process. An information system that provides technical staff the ability to relate and query data from all these different data types simultaneously would facilitate analysis and reporting efficiency.

An RDBMS structures data into relational tables and provides the platform from which data can be transferred onto the file server, and accessed immediately via the Internet. Users would then be able to do further queries based on specific criteria, such as location, date and time, data type, and a range of values contained within the data set. Maps, from which a user can select a location and receive data at or in the vicinity of that location, have already been implemented. Internet technology, such as image mapping, was linked with existing database technology, creating a query based on location and efficiently transferring data to the user. This technology fits easily into the growing use of GIS technology in federal, state and local agencies.

In addition to efficient data retrieval, users would be able to query diverse data types. The diverse types of drinking water constituent data (e.g. water quality, hydrodynamics, and meteorological data) would be placed into relational tables. Information within those tables would be related according to key fields, such as location, date and time, data type, and other fields. Users would be able to retrieve all related data based on a single query in a matter of seconds, compared to the hours or days it might take trying to obtain data from various sources. Data outputs would be accessible using a PC, Macintosh, or workstation, using an Internet browser as an interface. Through their browsers, users could perform simple and refined queries, obtaining results quickly and efficiently.

Table 1: Tasks and Descriptions

Tasks	Costs	Comments
<i>Component 1. Determine data sources</i>		
1. Confirm who will be providing data to the system	\$3,000.00	
2. Meet with these data providers		
a. Determine their existing data management system	\$3,000.00	
b. Determine the best mechanism for providing their data to the system	\$3,000.00	
3. Develop MS Access clients as needed	\$30,000.00	Estimate based on development of Access Clients
<i>Component 2. Upgrade database server to facilitate the additional data</i>		
1. Add CPUs as needed	\$15,000.00	
2. Augment database license	\$30,000.00	
Total Budget	\$84,000.00	

*Component Details*

Before groups can share their data with other agencies and stakeholders, they need to have the "tools" to participate in an integrated data management system. These tools include the ability to store and manage their data, in most cases, in a relational database. During the early development phases of the current data management system, it was determined that most data providers needed to manage their data locally, before providing access to a publicly accessible system. Some of the participants do not have an existing relational database management system. One of the major costs of providing access to

drinking water constituent data is the development of a data management system for each group that contributes drinking water constituent data, where needed. Usually the cost of including data from programs that already have a relational database management system is less. In some cases, adding drinking water constituent data will be a "one-time" data loading effort and extensive development of a data management system will not be necessary. Other systems will require multiple data entry and integration and will be more expensive to implement. A rough estimate is \$ 5,000 per group contributing drinking water constituent data. These costs are listed in Component 1 in Table 1. Other costs listed under Component 1 involve meeting with each data provider and assessing their current data management system.

Component 2 costs will involve any upgrades to the database server to accommodate more data and use.