

interoffice
MEMORANDUM

CALFED COORDINATION OFFICE

to: Peter Jacobsen
CALFED Office

from: Carl L. Werder 
CALFED Coordination Office

subject: Modification to Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority Agreement, CALFED No. 99-B07

date: April 6, 2000

The attached documentation is in support of Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority's (TCCA) request for a scope of work expansion and re-distribution of the \$1,000,000 award amount. They are:

1. TCCA letter dated April 3, 2000 requesting a change to their Cooperative Agreement 00-FC-20-0032.
2. Description of Tasks 4 through 7 from TCCA's PSP 1999 proposal 99-A105 and the applicants statement about Task 4 that was also part of the proposal.
3. Tables 2a, 2b and 3 from TCCA's proposal showing the contract service costs, the shared costs, and the quarterly budgeted contract service costs.
4. Table 3 showing original proposed breakdown by quarter, the same table as currently awarded and as modified if request is granted.
5. Reclamation's letter dated December 30, 1999 in response to TCCA's letter requesting the same change prior to execution of the Agreement.
6. TCCA's letter dated December 6, 1999, requesting the same change prior to execution of the Agreement.

While Reclamation is neutral as to this request for a scope change some items should be pointed out. Tasks 2 and 3 will remain unchanged by this action. Task 6 was originally scheduled to occur during the last two quarters of this proposed phase II and this change would move the beginning of this Task up by nine months. (See Attachment 4) Task 7, Project Management by CH2M Hill at present will have to be covered by TCCA either by paying CH2M Hill directly or taking over the Project Management themselves.

Page 2
April 6, 2000

The remaining Task 1 will not be completed as the original Agreement had set forth if this change to the Agreement is granted. At the revised amount of \$400,000 only 42 % of the work on Task 1 will be completed during the award period of one year. This would mean that only 42% of the Preliminary Design of the Feasible Alternatives would be completed. I'm unable to understand the logic of this action by TCCA since the NEPA and CEQA documentation under Task 4 requires that Task 1 screening process, to determine alternatives be completed. Recommend that TCCA and their contractor CH2M Hill come to the Ecosystem Roundtable Subcommittee and present their position and answer any questions so that we can put this issue to rest once and for all.

POC: CALFED Coordination Office, MP-190, attention: Carl L. Werder at (916) 978-5521 or "cwerder@mp.usbr.gov."

Attachments (6)

(I:\Contract\2000\0032\Mod-Memo.wpd)