

## OVERVIEW OF PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED

### MAIN CATEGORIES OF COMMENTS

- ▶ Site-specific comments by water agencies or other local government agencies about their service areas, facilities, or planned projects described in the draft bulletin. Comments that are generally editorial in nature, corrections, updates, etc.

*For example, The Tuolumne River channel design capacity is larger than the 9,000 cfs indicated in the report.*

- ▶ Form letters in response to a Friends of the River action alert on its web page.

*See <http://friendsoftheriver.org/>*

- ▶ Comments that are conceptual or non-site specific in nature, dealing with types of water management options, methodologies used in the bulletin, policy viewpoints of the respondents, etc.

*For example, The bulletin does place enough reliance on water conservation. The bulletin over-estimates water conservation potential. California needs more reservoirs. There should be no new facilities.*

- ▶ Other comments -- vendor comments, proponents/opponents of specific projects, miscellaneous.

*For example, The Waldo/Parks Bar projects should not be built, because of their environmental impacts. The Waldo/Parks Bar projects should be built because of the flood control need and their benefit to the local economy. The bulletin should investigate use of tankers to haul fresh water.*