

## Alternative Narrowing Process

The attached five alternative options are recommended to be dropped from consideration. A six step process was used to make these recommendations:

1. Identify major technical problems in each alternative.
2. Modify the alternative, if possible, to remove problems.
3. Eliminate alternatives when problems can not be solved.
4. Identify alternatives which achieve the same Delta conveyance function.
5. Compare costs of the functionally equivalent conveyance and consider adverse impacts.
6. Eliminate alternatives with significantly higher costs and/or greater adverse impacts.

The recommended alternatives to be dropped are summarized below. Each alternative is labeled if it was modified to remove technical problems, had major impacts, was compared to a functionally equivalent conveyance, or utilized costs in the recommendation. The comparison column shows the alternative compared against and the approximate cost difference.

Alt	Conveyance	Tech Mod	Major Impacts	Func Equiv	Costs	Comparison
3C	5,000 cfs Pipeline			X	X	Alt 3A + \$1.2B
3D	5,000 cfs Pipeline			X	X	Alt 3B + \$1.2B
3F	Chain-of-Lakes	X	X	X	X	Alt 3E + \$0.7B
2C	Multiple Delta Intakes	X			X	Alt 3I
3G	Ship Channel			X	X	Alt 3B + \$1.4B