

## Local Comments

- At Stockton there was substantial concern about the South Delta bundle particularly the delayed decision on a Grant Line Canal barrier and that the potential North Delta diversion is the beginning of the Peripheral Canal.
- At Los Banos, agricultural commentors stressed that CALFED should find a way to restore westside water reallocated because of biological opinions and CVPIA.
- In Visalia, agricultural commentors stressed that the Program lacked balance, i.e. the Program is very specific about and going forward with a multitude of ERP actions, the ERP will impact hundreds of thousands of acres of agricultural land, a large part of the funding is for ERP and 750K AF of water are identified for the ERP whereas items key to agriculture such as surface storage are quite nebulous and storage efforts in the first 7 years consist of just studies, funding is minimal and the water for ERP is coming once again from agriculture.
- In both Visalia and Los Banos, agricultural commentors expressed concern that the Preferred Program Alternative did not have an around-the-Delta conveyance facility.
- In Chico, rural landowners expressed concerns about the merits of groundwater storage and water transfers.
- Agricultural interests in Salinas and Santa Rosa questioned why they were part of CALFED's Solution Area. Concerned that the "government" was going to take their water and land.
- The Bay area environmental commentors (Oakland and San Jose) have asked when the Program will deal with the "Bay" part of the Bay-Delta Program.
- Southern California urban commentors believe CALFED has abandoned the conveyance facility (Peripheral Canal).
- Environmental Justice (EJ) comments were noted in Salinas, Oakland and Antioch. They requested CALFED take a closer look at consequences to minority groups and communities; that EJ groups be funded so they can participate in the Program, and that BDAC include a member that would speak for EJ issues.