

LOCAL WATERSHED STEWARDSHIP RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING

| Recommended | TRP Score | Prop No | ProjectTitle | Applicant/Organization | County | Requested Amt | Amt Funded | TRP Comments |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---|---|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|--|
| Yes | 39 | 99-B131 | YUBA TOOLS: Collaborative Watershed Mgmt for Flood Control | Yuba Watershed Council & SYRCL | Yuba, Nevada, Sierra | \$216,150 | \$216,150 | Important spring run stream proposal well put together and cost effective. |
| Yes | 34 | 99-C118 | Biological Ag Systems in Cotton-BASIC-Reducing Synthetic Pesticides & Fertilizers in the No. SJ Vly | Sustainable Cotton Project (SCP) | Merced, Madera, Fresno | \$1,388,784 | \$460,000 | |
| Yes | 32 | 99-C121 | Douglas/Long Canyon Paired - Watershed Project | Placer County Water Agency PCWA | Placer | \$83,600 | \$83,600 | No direct connection, research proposal to CALFED priority species. |
| No | 39 | 99-C100 | Last Chance Creek Project - Ferris - Meadowview Reach | Feather River Coordinated Resource Managemet - Plumas Corp | Plumas | \$980,000 | | Good proposal though not directly related to CALFED priority species. |
| No | 39 | 99-C132 | Battle Creek Watershed Stewardship, Phase 2 | Battle Creek Watershed Conservancy | Shasta, Tehama | \$292,662 | | Well written proposal. Logical next step for important area with lots of ongoing activity. |
| No | 38 | 99-C129 | Development of a Watershed Management Strategy for Little Chico Creek | CSU, Chico Research foundation - Office of Sponsored Programs | Butte, Glenn | \$293,473 | | Important but not critical to CALFED goals. Do have existing conditions report lgoical next step. |
| No | 37 | 99-C101 | Lassen National Forest Watershed Stewardship Anadromous Watersheds of Antelope, Battle,etc | USDA, Forest Service, Lassen National Forest | Butte, Plumas, Shasta, Tehama | \$3,017,695 | | Recommend not funding USFS project mgmt cost - they should provide as in-kind cost share. Good project in general. Some question on sediment as limiting factor. |
| No | 36 | 99-C138 | Colusa Basin Watershed Project | Colusa County RCD, Colusa Basin Drainage District | | \$492,500 | | Strong proposal with local leadership addressing important problem. |
| No | 36 | 99-C122 | Marsh Creek Watershed Science Program | The Delta Science Center at Big Break | Contra Costa | \$163,474 | | This project has a complimentary habitat restoratoin proposal. (99-B144) |
| No | 34 | 99-C120 | Continuation of the Lower Mokelumne River Watershed Stewardship Program | San Joaquin Resource Conservation District | San Joaquin, Sacramento | \$654,000 | | Strongly recommend for funding. |
| No | 34 | 99-C105 | Panoche/Silver Creek Watershed Management and Action Plan | Westside Resource Conservation District | Fresno, San Benito | \$848,000 | | High ecological benefits. Very strong local involvement. Need clarification on how and what modeling will be done. |

E-006529

| Recommended | TRP Score | Prop No | ProjectTitle | Applicant/Organization | County | Requested Amt | Amt Funded | TRP Comments |
|-------------|-----------|---------|--|---|------------------------------|---------------|------------|---|
| No | 33 | 99-C116 | A Clear Creek Prescription | Western Shasta Resource Conservation District (WSRCD) | Shasta | \$322,960 | | Most of work above Whiskeytown which has less connection to CALFED priorities. |
| No | 32 | 99-C140 | Sonoma Creek Watershed Conservancy | Southern Sonoma County Resource Conservation District | Sonoma | \$702,633 | | Could be partially funded. Good community based project. |
| No | 32 | 99-C112 | Butte County Water & Natural Resource Coordination | County of Butte | Butte | \$277,107 | | Could be valuable, but really should encourage cost share. |
| No | 31 | 99-C109 | Napa River Watershed Stewardship Year 2 | Napa County Resource Conservation District | Napa | \$191,100 | | Support continuation of work. Implementation of Owners Manual. |
| No | 31 | 99-C130 | Big Chico Creek & Little Chico Watershed Support Project | CSU, Chico Research Foundation -Office of Sponsored Programs | Butte, Glenn, Tehama | \$267,326 | | Coordination is important. Proposal was unclear in budget breakdown. |
| No | 31 | 99-C114 | Yuba Watershed Council: A Collaborative Approach | Yuba Watershed Council, Nevada Cty Resource Conservation District | Nevada, Sierra, Yuba, Placer | \$142,618 | | Important area to have a coordinator. |
| No | 31 | 99-C115 | Upper Trinity River Watershed Stewardship Project | Trinity County Resource Conservaiton District | Trinity | \$150,000 | | Good project. Unclear connection to CALFED priorities, not enough \$\$ to implement correctly. |
| No | 28 | 99-C134 | American River (Middle & South Forks) Integrated Watershed Stewardship | Georgetown Divide Resource Conservation District | El Dorado | \$203,250 | | Disconnected to CALFED priority species. |
| No | 27 | 99-C136 | Clear Lake Wetlands Restoration | Lake County Sanitation District | Lake | \$1,000,000 | | Under obligation to do work. Proposal not responsive to PSP. |
| No | 26 | 99-C131 | Northeastern Sacramento Valley Small Streams Mapping Project - Phase I | The Research Foundation, CSU Chico | Butte, Tehama, Shasta | \$80,263 | | Does not ID any products. Metadata collection only. |
| No | 26 | 99-C126 | Watershed Restoration & Implementation Strategy for Dry Creek | Nevada County Resource Conservation District | Nevada, Yuba | \$320,619 | | Start up project with an ambitious time-frame. |
| No | 26 | 99-C128 | Upper Butte Creek Road Management Improvement Project | CSU, Chico Research Foundation on behalf of the Butte Creek Watershed, Dept of Geography & Planning | Butte | \$209,476 | | Plan for fixing road. Did not see cost effective. |
| No | 26 | 99-C108 | Cottonwood Creek Watershed Monitoring and Assessment | Cottonwood Creek Watershed Group | Shasta, Tehama | \$935,000 | | Panel felt this was important work and should be considered. Strong support for funding ongoing work, potentially Task 1 & 2. Not well written. Suggest additional coordination w/local agencies. |
| No | 25 | 99-C124 | Butte County Water Inventory/Needs Assessment | Butte County Water Division | Butte | \$770,000 | | No link to ecological benefits. |

E-006530

| Recommended | TRP Score | Prop No | Project Title | Applicant/Organization | County | Requested Amt | Amt Funded | TRP Comments |
|-------------|-----------|---------|--|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------|--|
| No | 25 | 99-C139 | Mokelumne & Cosumnes Rivers Coordination | San Joaquin Council of Governments | San Joaquin, Sacramento | \$217,480 | | Coordination may be needed but benefits are indirect. |
| No | 25 | 99-C113 | Phase I Feasibility Study of the Tracy Wetlands Stormwater Reuse Habitat | City of Tracy, Dept of Public Works | San Joaquin | \$149,580 | | |
| No | 24 | 99-C135 | Digital Soil Survey Mapping & Digital Orthophotoquad For Bay-Delta Region | USDA NRCS & the California Conservation Partnership | Shasta, Tehama, Glenn and others | \$1,612,040 | | Good project/idea, but not for CALFED funds. |
| No | 24 | 99-C107 | Expanding Community based Restoraton and Stewardship in Four Watersheds | The Restoration Trust | Sonoma, Solano, Yolo | \$169,000 | | Not recommended for funding. Potential for long-term benefit low. |
| No | 23 | 99-C127 | Yuba River Watershed Assessment | Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation | Nevada, Yuba | \$500,502 | | Concern with top down feel. Consultant to do work and turn over to locals. In general, work is needed in this area. (Yuba) |
| No | 23 | 99-C123 | Calaveras County Watershed Mgmt & Stewardship Program | Calaveras County Water District | Calaveras | \$700,000 | | Recommend not funding. County approach to watershed issue. |
| No | 21 | 99-C125 | South Sacramento County Habitat Conservation Plan | Sacramento County Planning and Community Development | Sacramento | \$125,000 | | |
| No | 20 | 99-C137 | Promoting Stewardship Practices to Reduce Non Point Source Pollution From Prod. Ag in Sac/SJ Wtrshed | Coalition for Urban/Rural Environmental Stewardship (CURES) | Yuba, Sutter, Butte, Col and others | \$3,333,500 | | Not a watershed project. Several unresolved issues. |
| No | 20 | 99-C117 | San Pablo Bay Watershed Capacity Development | North Bay Watershed Association (NBWA) c/o LGVSD | Marin, Sonoma, Napa | \$175,000 | | |
| No | 19 | 99-C110 | Tuolumne River Regional Park Land Use Plan Update/Environmental | City of Modesto, on behalf of the Tuolumne River Regional Park Joint Powers Agency | Stanislaus | \$70,766 | | Proposal to develop an EIR, not integrated with any watershed planning. |
| No | 17 | 99-C119 | American Basin Watershed Station | Dry Creek Conservancy | Placer, Sacramento, Sutter | \$402,600 | | |
| No | 16 | 99-C111 | Granite Watershed Restoration Pilot Project | USDA Forest Service, Stanislaus National Forest | Tuolumne | \$4,555,000 | | This should be funded by the Forest Service. It is disconnected and not community based. No clear benefits to CALFED. |
| No | 15 | 99-C102 | Wildcat Canyon Western Slope Restoration Project | City of El Cerrito | Contra Costa | \$1,046,000 | | No connection to CALFED goals. Mainly exotic species control. Not community based. Received letters of opposition. |

| Recommended | TRP Score | Prop No | ProjectTitle | Applicant/Organization | County | Requested Amt | Amt Funded | TRP Comments |
|-------------|-----------|---------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------|--|
| No | 13 | 99-C141 | Integrating Ecosystem Restoration program Objectives with Instream Gravel Mining | Resource Design Technology, Inc. | Sol, Tehama, Sac, Shasta, Yolo | \$388,950 | | No direct benefit to CALFED goals. No connection w/locals or ongoing activities. |
| No | | 99-C103 | Duplicate Proposal 99-B102 | Friends of the Tuolumne, Inc. | Stanislaus | | | |