

Preliminary Fish Results

- Game 1 evaluated partly; games 2&3 not evaluated.
- Benefits and impacts of EWA are difficult to quantify.
- EWA provides flexibility.
 - Reduced exports and increased flows are good
 - Increased exports are problematic at times.
- VAMP export reduction decreases entrainment in April/May in baseline and EWA game.
- Easier to protect fish in dry years than wet years.
- Focused mostly on listed species , others also affected.

Usage of EWA Assets

- Dollar account could have been used more aggressively in games 1 and 2.
- Delta islands useful in game 1; unsure about benefits/Impacts of greater island storage in games 2 and 3.
- Assets must increase as allowable baseline exports increase.
- Ground water less useful due to recharge/extraction capacities.
- Upstream benefits are not captured by model.

Assessments Needed

- Analysis of 1) Entrainment and 2) Survival compared w/historical & baseline values not completed
- Comparison with prescriptive standard alternative
- Assess changes in hydrodynamics for games.
- Need sensitivity analyses of competing biological assumptions.