

Water Quality Excerpts

Proposed Changes to the Revised Phase II Report


December 8, 1998



**CALFED
BAY-DELTA
PROGRAM**

Water Quality Program

The draft Water Quality Program includes programmatic actions to further CALFED is committed to achieving continuous improvement in the Program's goal of providing good water quality for environmental, agricultural waters of the San Francisco Bay-Delta estuary until no ecological, drinking water, industrial, and recreational other beneficial uses of water the waters are impaired by water quality problems, and to maintaining this quality once achieved. While some actions are sufficiently developed for early implementation, others rely on comprehensive monitoring and future research to improve our understanding. This objective extends to the watersheds of effective water quality management and to control the estuary to the extent that water quality problems at their sources in these watersheds affect beneficial uses dependent on the estuary.



Determining impairment to "continuous" as used here means a water quality beneficial use is often difficult and complicated steady or step-wise trend over the 30-year time horizon of the CALFED Program, and does not include short-term fluctuations that may be brought about by wet or dry hydrologic conditions, other shorter term, temporary, events or time needed to initiate and implement improvement measures. For some beneficial uses, such as drinking water, although specific water quality targets have been established to gauge the success of the Water Quality Program, CALFED commits to seeking water quality that exceeds these targets where feasible and agricultural water use, impacts on use are generally well characterized and effective.

For other beneficial uses such as The Water Quality Program contains numerous actions directed at improving the quality of water to support ecological resources and to protect CALFED investments in ecosystem use, impacts on species are not as well characterized. restoration projects. The Program has relied on other program actions are directed at improving the technical expertise of a variety of stakeholders to define approaches to solving water quality of Delta waters to support problems agricultural and recreational uses of the resource. The Water Quality Program actions included drinking water supply is another important beneficial use of Delta waters, as the Delta is a combination source of research, pilot studies, and targeted activities. This approach allows actions drinking water to be taken on known water quality problems and sources of those problems, while allowing further monitoring and research of potential problems and solutions about two-thirds of the State's population. Drinking water elements of the Water Quality Program are emphasized in this section because, unlike other water quality aspects, drinking water issues have great significance to the selection of a Preferred Alternative.

Water Quality Targets

For many water quality parameters, numerical and/or narrative objectives for the protection of ecological and other beneficial uses already exist in water quality control plans adopted by the State and Regional Water Quality Control Boards. The CALFED Water Quality Program has adopted regulatory objectives where appropriate as its targets for water quality improvement, such as for selenium and mercury. For some water quality parameters, objectives do not presently exist. This is particularly true for drinking water that receives further treatment prior to use (see page ___). As the Water Quality Program evolves, it is anticipated that periodic re-evaluation of water quality targets will be one feature of adaptive management as applied to this program.

With respect to drinking water beneficial uses, the CALFED objective is to continuously improve source water quality that allows for municipal water suppliers to deliver safe, reliable, and affordable drinking water that reliably meets, and where feasible, exceeds applicable drinking water standards. CALFED program actions will be aimed at reducing the levels of bromide, organic carbon, and pathogens in Delta drinking water sources. CALFED's target for providing safe, reliable, and affordable drinking water in a cost effective way is to achieve either: a) average concentrations at Clifton Court Forebay and other south and central Delta drinking water intakes of 50 ug/L bromide and 3.0 mg/L total organic carbon; or b) an equivalent level of public health protection utilizing a cost effective combination of alternative source waters, source control, and treatment technologies.

Continuous improvement of Central and South Delta water quality from current average conditions will be achieved, concurrent with construction of the first bundle of Stage I projects.

Enabling Delta water users to substitute higher quality source water for Delta water offers important opportunities to provide safe drinking water, and will be intensively investigated as a Stage I approach within the CALFED Program. However, because source water substitution is probably not feasible for all drinking water supplies from the Delta, the importance of developing adequate source water quality in the Delta cannot be ignored. Furthermore, single-purpose solutions such as source water substitution may not provide as comprehensive and robust a solution as a statewide solution.

In seeking to meet its commitment to provide urban agencies with water sufficient in quality to produce safe and affordable drinking water that meets and, where feasible, exceeds standards for public health protection, CALFED will consider additional water management options including, but not limited to, provision of alternate sources, use of storage facilities to improve drinking water quality, and an isolated facility to provide source water of better quality. The degree of improvement needed, if any, will be determined based on developments in treatment technologies, future regulatory directions and results of new health effects studies. CALFED plans an active role in fostering development of the information that will make such

determinations possible.

An important feature of drinking water supplies taken from the Delta is higher bromide concentrations than are found in the drinking water supplies of about 90% of the nation. Bromide (a salt) reacts with disinfection chemicals to form harmful chemical byproducts that have increasingly raised health concerns for consumers. Most of this bromide comes from the ocean as a result of its connection with the Sacramento-San Joaquin Bay-Delta estuary, and will continue to impact the quality of water exported by the state and federal projects.

Therefore, unlike most of the other water quality parameters of concern to CALFED, the choice of CALFED conveyance options can profoundly influence concentrations of bromide and other salts in Delta waters. The bromide question is, therefore, inseparably linked to conveyance and other water management options to improve source quality within the CALFED program. See *Conveyance*, p. ____.

Program Actions

The Water Quality Program has relied on the technical expertise of a variety of stakeholders to define approaches to solving water quality problems, and to develop programmatic actions to meet CALFED objectives. While some actions are sufficiently developed for early implementation, others rely on comprehensive monitoring, pilot studies, and research to improve our understanding of effective water quality management and to influence future actions to control water quality problems at their sources. This approach allows actions to be taken on known water quality problems and sources of those problems, while allowing further monitoring, research, and testing of potential problems and solutions. Actions will be adapted over time to ensure the most effective use of resources.

In summary, the draft Water Quality Program component includes the following broad categories of programmatic actions:

- **Drinking Water - Increase source water quality and treatment technology to reduce potentially toxic and carcinogenic disinfection by-products by controlling Parameters** - Reduce the loads and/or impacts of bromide, total organic carbon (TOC), pathogens (controlling inputs from rangelands, nutrients, salinity, and turbidity dairies, and confined animal facilities); through a combination of measures including source reduction, alternative sources of water, treatment, and storage and bromides. The quality of drinking water supplies taken from the Delta will be improved conveyance improvements.
- **Pesticides** - Reduce impacts of pesticides (including diazinon and chlorpyrifos) through development and implementation of Best Management Practices, for both urban and agricultural uses, and support of pesticide studies and pilot projects for

regulatory agencies while providing education and assistance in implementation of control strategies for the regulated pesticide users.

- **Organochlorine pesticides** - Reduce the load of organochlorine pesticides in the system, including residual DDT and Chlordane, by reducing runoff and erosion from agricultural lands through Best Management Practices. Sediment control will also protect valuable topsoil and prevent costly maintenance of drainage systems.
 - **Trace Metals** - Reduce impacts of trace metals such as copper, cadmium, and zinc in upper watershed areas, near abandoned mine sites. Reduce impacts of copper through urban stormwater programs and agricultural Best Management Practices. Study the ecological impacts of copper in the Delta. Determine the feasibility of copper reduction.
 - **Mercury** - Reduce mercury in rivers and the estuary by source control at inactive and abandoned mine sites. Also, study bioavailable mercury levels in the rivers, sediment and fish in the estuary, rivers and its potential threat to human health affected tributaries. Implement comprehensive monitoring and research program to determine loadings and sources of total and methyl mercury, transport of mercury in sediment, factors affecting mercury transformation and bioaccumulation in the estuary, and concentrations of mercury in indicator species. Use this information to prioritize remediation or cleanup of mercury sources.
 - **Salinity-Selenium** - Reduce salinity-selenium impacts through reduction of leaching of agricultural land via irrigation improvement loads at their sources, crop selection, increased flow, and changes in land use assimilation of discharges with flow.
- Turbidity and Sedimentation** - Reduce turbidity and

Further research is needed for some water quality problems.

For example, for some parameters of concern, such as mercury, not enough is understood about its sources, the bioavailability of mercury to various species, factors contributing to its bioavailability, and the load reductions needed to reduce fish tissue concentrations necessary for human consumption. For example, as to mercury, not enough is understood about the relative contribution of various mercury sources; factors affecting the transformation of mercury from one form into another (particularly the formation of methyl mercury, the most bioavailable form); specific control measures that will reduce the levels of bioavailable mercury within the estuary; and, ultimately, the level of load reductions needed to reduce fish tissue concentrations to levels that will render the fish safe for human consumption. In addition, research is needed to determine what effect wetlands restoration activities will have on the bioavailability of mercury in soils in these restoration areas.

sedimentation which affect several hydraulic areas in the Bay Delta and its tributaries, including treatment of drinking water sources:

Selenium - Reduce selenium, through irrigation control, crop fallowing or land retirement. Impacts of selenium will be further reduced by real-time management of selenium laden agricultural drain water released to the San Joaquin River to minimize concentrations in the river when selenium discharges occur. Reduce imports of salt and study non-agricultural source contributions. Salinity reductions in the river would also incorporate real-time management of salt discharges. San Joaquin drainage problems have been evaluated in several studies over the past two decades. Complete resolution of the San Joaquin drainage problems is beyond the scope of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. In the San Joaquin drainage problems have been evaluated in several studies over the past two decades. River watershed, reduced loads would be accomplished through implementation of on-farm and district source control measures, development of treatment technology, land retirement through CVPIA, and possible extension of the Grassland Bypass Use agreement or similar arrangements. Complete resolution of the increased flow will result from FERC actions on San Joaquin drainage problems is beyond the scope of the river tributaries and implementation of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program VAMP. Selenium impacts from industrial sources in the Suisun Bay will be reduced by improved source control.

Selenium, Salinity - Actions are planned to reduce salt sources in urban and possibly land fallowing or land retirement industrial waste water to protect drinking and agricultural water supplies, and to facilitate development of successful water recycling, source water blending, and groundwater storage programs. - Impacts of selenium will be further reduced by real-time management of selenium laden agricultural drain water released to the San Joaquin River watershed, a strategy should be developed using a continuous monitoring technology to minimize concentrations in water quality impacts of salt movement through river when selenium discharges occur the system. This strategy will be consistent with CVPIA and VAMP requirements. CALFED will not pursue resolution of salinity problems of the San Joaquin Valley through a San Joaquin Valley Drain, which is beyond the scope of the CALFED Program. Long term solutions will be sought through the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Implementation Program, with CALFED support.

Salinity in the Delta will be controlled both by limiting salt loadings from its tributaries, and through managing sea water intrusion by such means as using storage capability to maintain Delta outflow and to adjust timing of outflow, and by export management.

Turbidity and Sedimentation - Reduce turbidity and sedimentation which affect several hydraulic areas in the Bay Delta and its tributaries, including treatment of drinking water sources:

impacts of sedimentation. Control sedimentation in several watersheds to protect spawning beds and maintain capacity of streams.

- **Low Dissolved oxygen** - Reduce impairment of rivers and the estuary caused by substances that exert excessive demand on dissolved oxygen. Oxygen depleting substances are found in waste discharges, agricultural discharges, urban stormwater, sediment, and algae.
- **Toxicity of Unknown Origin** - Through research and monitoring, identify parameters of concern in the water and sediment within the Delta, Bay, Sacramento River and San Joaquin River regions and implement actions to reduce their toxicity to aquatic organisms.

Bromide and Organic Carbon Management

An analysis (currently under peer review) of bromide and organic carbon sources in Delta drinking water supplies was undertaken to develop a realistic expectation of what level of reductions in bromide and organic carbon concentrations might be expected as a result of Water Quality Program actions. This analysis indicates that the Pacific Ocean and the San Joaquin River are the most important sources, predominant source of bromide in Delta waters. Further analysis of the San Joaquin River indicated that about 80% of the bromide found there can be accounted for by bromide entering the Delta through the Central Valley Project pumps at Tracy. Evidence suggests that other sources of bromide, such as pesticide use in the Valley or natural sources in San Luis Reservoir are not as important; therefore, it appears that a large majority of bromide found in the San Joaquin River is from recirculated Delta water containing bromide from the ocean. This bromide analysis indicates that, because bromide in Delta drinking water supplies comes mostly from the ocean, it is probably not possible for water quality actions to reduce bromide concentrations by more than 20% at best.

Water flowing through the Delta to municipal water intakes picks up additional organic carbon. Studies have demonstrated that a majority of this added carbon comes from drainage off Delta islands.

Organic carbon, unlike bromide, is subject to removal, at least to some extent, through conventional water treatment processes. While a number of practical problems would affect the feasibility and economics of reducing organic carbon to acceptable levels, it appears to be at least theoretically feasible to meet this objective through water quality program actions involving land and water management and treatment either on Delta islands or at treatment plants, and relocation of agricultural discharges away from municipal supply intakes.

Other management actions could include timing of diversions, separation of drinking water supplies, and blending with higher quality source waters. Storage capability can provide important flexibility for enabling these water management actions to be successful. Further

corrosion of industrial equipment, and to achieve blended water salinity objectives.

In the event of a catastrophic levee failure in the Delta, the amount of saline water entering the system could be such as to make Delta waters unusable for many months. Besides making the water unusable for agricultural, industrial, or domestic purposes, it could also destroy delicate ecosystem balances and ruin CALFED investments in ecosystem restoration. Therefore, it is difficult to overestimate the importance of a successful Delta levee program to achieving and maintaining good water quality for the beneficial uses of Delta waters.

The CALFED Comprehensive Monitoring, Assessment, and Research Program (CMARP) will be the primary vehicle for measuring the extent to which continuous water quality improvement is achieved. Performance will be measured by comparing ambient water quality (where appropriate) to specific water quality objectives that have been established for the parameters of concern. An independent panel established to evaluate the progress of the Stage I water quality actions against objectives will also provide oversight of the CMARP effort as part of its reports to CALFED and the California legislature.

More information on the water quality program will be included in the revised *Water Quality Program Plan*.

Program Plan:

Improves the potential for wastewater reclamation to improve water use efficiency. Reduces concentration of compounds contributing to disinfection byproduct formation potential and degradation of drinking water supplies. Improves drinking water quality and public health benefits. Improves water quality for the ecosystem by reducing toxicants as a limiting factor. Improves Delta water quality by reducing the volume of urban and agricultural runoff/drainage and concentration of pollutants entering the Delta.

Conveyance Excerpts

Proposed Changes to the Revised Phase II Report

December 8, 1998




**CALFED
BAY-DELTA
PROGRAM**

Conveyance

Introduction

The Delta conveyance element of the Program describes the various configurations of Delta channels for moving water through the Delta and to the major export facilities in the southern Delta. While there are countless combinations of potential modifications to Delta channels, three primary categories of Delta configuration options, as described below, were studied in Phase II of the Program. These Delta conveyance options were the primary distinguishing features among the three broad categories of alternatives studied in Phase II.



Because of the potential impact on flow patterns and Delta water quality, the Delta conveyance configuration of an alternative can greatly affect the performance of other Bay-Delta program elements. The three primary Delta conveyance configurations evaluated in Phase II of the program are:

Existing System Conveyance. The Delta channels would be maintained essentially in their current configuration. One significant variation would include some selected channel improvements in the southern Delta together with flow and stage barriers at selected locations to allow for increasing the permitted pumping rate at the SWP export facility to full existing physical capacity of 10,300 cfs. These physical changes in the existing system include many of the features contained in the proposed Interim South Delta Project. Other variations that address the same needs are also being evaluated.

Modified Through Delta Conveyance. Significant improvements to northern Delta channels would accompany the southern Delta improvements contemplated under the existing system conveyance alternative. Variations include a wide variety of channel configurations, designed to improve flow patterns to benefit fisheries throughout the Delta, provide flood control, and improve water quality in many parts of the Delta.

Dual Delta Conveyance. The dual Delta conveyance alternative is formed around a combination of modified Delta channels and a new canal or pipeline connecting the Sacramento River in the northern Delta to the SWP and CVP export facilities in the southern Delta. Capacities for this new isolated conveyance facility in the range of 5,000 cfs to 15,000 cfs were evaluated in Phase II of the Program. The new facility would siphon under all major waterways to minimize aquatic impacts.

~~Not all of the Delta waterways follow natural channels~~ **Strategy**

The CALFED strategy regarding conveyance must consider water quality for in-Delta uses, drinking water quality, and fisheries. Some were constructed for navigation which is an important Delta function. These factors are critical to conveyance decisions both now and in the future as part of adaptive management. In addition to periodic navigational work on many Delta waterways, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers built and maintains two commercial shipping channels through the Delta. The ports of Stockton and Sacramento are served by the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel, completed in 1933, and the Sacramento Deep Water Ship Channel, completed in 1963. Most of the length of these channels have since been deepened to 35 feet. It is possible that changes in flow patterns may result in changed operation and maintenance requirements of the channels.

The existing Delta channels will be an integral part of any CALFED decision for Delta conveyance. The reliance on these channels provides a shared interest in restoring, maintaining, and protecting Delta resources, including water supplies, water quality, levees, natural habitat, and the common Delta Pool, which also protects in-Delta agricultural uses. Some modifications to these through Delta channels can improve all of these Delta resources. - Regardless of choices that may be made in the future, it makes sense to invest in these modifications to maximize chances that CALFED can meet the Program's purpose:

CALFED's basic strategy is to develop a through-Delta through-Delta conveyance alternative based on the existing Delta configuration with some modifications, evaluate its effectiveness, and add additional conveyance actions if necessary. The initial through-Delta conveyance will be continually monitored, analyzed, and improved to maximize the potential of the through-Delta approach meeting CALFED goals and objectives, consistent with its Solution Principles. This strategy focuses on making it through-Delta conveyance achievement through-Delta conveyance still fails to meet the CALFED purposes. Details of conveyance improvements goals and objectives, there will undergo subsequent environmental analysis, but are expected to be a similar to the following:

reassessment of the reasons and the need for additional Delta conveyance and water management actions.

If CALFED's goals and objectives cannot be accomplished by the through-Delta conveyance strategy, the preferred program alternative includes additional actions that may be taken toward these goals and objectives after thorough assessment of a variety of factors. For example, a decision to construct an isolated facility may occur if, in combination with vigorous implementation of relevant common program elements and improvements to through-Delta conveyance, and consideration of other water management options, an isolated conveyance facility is still deemed necessary. Such a facility would have to be demonstrated to be the most cost effective and least environmentally damaging alternative, and to be necessary for significantly advancing CALFED's commitment to seek continuous water quality improvement (as stated on page _____).

An isolated conveyance facility also may be necessary if there is inability to achieve fishery recovery due to continuing impacts of diversions from the south Delta. A combination of these two factors also could result in construction of an isolated facility and/or other additional water management actions to meet CALFED goals and objectives after assessment of the effectiveness of the initial through Delta conveyance actions, and after a determination that such a facility would be effective in resolving these problems. These factors will be continually reevaluated during Stage 1 as part of the adaptive management process, and will form the basis for a comprehensive set of additional improvements in Stage 2.

Details of initial conveyance improvements will undergo subsequent environmental analysis before being implemented, but are expected to be similar to the following:

*** List is being revised ***

- South Delta channels would remain in their existing configuration except that Old River would be enlarged in the reach north of Clifton Court to reduce channel velocities and associated scouring.
- A new 2,500 cfs at 0.2 fps through-screen velocity (5,000 cfs at 0.4 fps through-screen velocity) fish screen would be constructed for the Tracy Pumping Plant.
- A new 6,000 cfs at 0.2 fps through-screen velocity (12,000 cfs at 0.4 fps through-screen velocity) screened intake with low lift pumps would be constructed at the head of Clifton Court and the SWP and CVP would be connected to aid flexible operations.
- An operable fish control barrier would be constructed at the head of Old River. Operable flow control barriers or their equivalent would be constructed in south Delta channels to alleviate the problem with reduced water levels and water quality problems that would be caused by the fish control barrier and export operations.
- A new Hood diversion test facility (with fish ladder or equivalent for upstream migrating fish) on the Sacramento River capable of diverting up to 2,000 cfs from the Sacramento River to the Mokelumne River would be constructed to determine whether adequate screening can be accomplished.
- North Delta channels along the Mokelumne River from Interstate 5 to the San Joaquin River would be enlarged by setback levees and dredging.

In addition, the initial CALFED Program will include:

- San Joaquin River and Delta water quality improvement actions described in the Stage 1 action list and in more detail in the Water Quality Program Plan would be implemented.
- Source control measures for drinking water quality, including aqueduct watershed management measures, as described in the Stage 1 action list and in more detail in the Water Quality Program Plan would be implemented.
- Ecosystem Restoration measures for fishery improvement as described in the Stage 1 action list and in more detail in the Ecosystem Restoration Program Plan (including DEFT actions) would be implemented.

Modifications in fulfilling its commitment to the through Delta conveyance strategy an open public decision making process, the following procedure will be only made after thorough assessment of a variety of factors used to evaluate progress towards the CALFED water quality goals and objectives during Stage 1 and to determine whether different conveyance actions should be carried out at the end of Stage 1 in order to meet public health and/or species recovery needs:

For example, a decision to construct an isolated facility will be warranted if, after aggressive implementation of relevant program elements and improvements to through Delta conveyance, there is still a public health necessity for improved drinking water at the source (e.g., bromide levels) arising from technical or economic infeasibility of providing safe drinking water through other methods, and/or there is inability to achieve fishery recovery with continuing impacts of diversions from the south Delta. A combination of these two factors could also result in a decision for an isolated facility and/or other additional actions to meet CALFED goals. These factors will be continually reevaluated during Stage 1 as part of the adaptive management process, and will form the basis for a comprehensive set of additional improvements in Stage 2. Such reevaluation could be assisted by panels of recognized technical experts that would consider all of the relevant information and, in conjunction with stakeholder input, make recommendations to the appropriate decision-making body:

- Establish a Delta Drinking Water Council comprised of independent, nationally recognized scientists and provide funding sufficient for the work to be adequately completed. The ability of CALFED to provide recommendations to the Legislature would be dependent on having adequately implemented necessary information collection processes and having had adequate resources for accomplishing a thorough program review as the basis for the recommendations to the Legislature.
- With the support of CALFED staff, the Council will collect information as needed, including monitoring data from CMARP, health effects research results, status of water quality standards development, treatment technology

improvements, and cost comparisons.

- The Council will prepare annual reports, to be submitted, along with reports from the Delta Fisheries Council (see below) to CALFED and the Legislature, that document progress towards Stage 1 water quality goals.
- Using the reports of the Drinking Water and Fisheries Councils, CALFED will conduct program reviews in 2003 and 2007 to assess whether Stage 1 actions to meet CALFED water quality goals and objectives have been met and determine whether modifications in conveyance or additional water management actions may be needed after Stage 1.
- CALFED will present the results of these reviews to the Legislature, along with its recommendations.

To provide for the best adaptive management decision making in the future, aggressive monitoring and research, as well as thorough development and evaluation of alternatives must occur. For drinking water quality issues this means Stage 1 must include the following (see pages _____):

- _____ • Performance and review of public health effects studies to more specifically identify the potential health effects of bromide related disinfection byproducts.
- _____ • Investigation of alternative sources of high quality (low TOC, bromide, and total dissolved solids) water supply for municipal users of Delta water as a Stage 1 action.
- _____ Investigation of advanced treatment technologies for the removal of salt, bromide, total organic carbon, and pathogens in municipal water supplies. •
Investigation as needed of advanced treatment technologies for the removal of salt, bromide, total organic carbon, and pathogens in municipal water supplies and implement at affected sites to complement source water quality improvement actions. —

Investigation of combinations Fisheries Protection

A Delta Fisheries Council comprised of new supplies and technologies that can minimize salt content of municipal water supplies independent, nationally recognized fisheries scientists will be organized and provide greater public health protection will be provided with funding sufficient for the work to be adequately completed. The ability of CALFED to provide recommendations to the Legislature would be dependent on having adequately implemented necessary information collection processes and having had adequate resources for accomplishing a thorough program review as the basis for the recommendations to the Legislature.

- With the support of CALFED staff, the Council will collect information as needed, including monitoring data from CMARP, fisheries health effects and behavioral research findings, fish screening technological developments, and cost comparisons.
- The Council will prepare annual reports for CALFED and the Legislature to accompany the report of the Drinking Water Council, and according to the same format and schedule.

For fishery issues, Stage 1 must include adequate monitoring and research to answer the following questions (see page ___):

- What measures have been taken to restore fisheries?
- How adequate are the measures?
- How are the actions affecting target species, and are there any unexpected adverse effects on other species?

As noted above, an isolated facility may prove to be the most cost effective method of achieving CALFED's goals and objectives. If an isolated facility were ultimately constructed, it would be equipped with each of the following assurances:

5. An agreement limiting the amount, or proportion, of water that can be exported (linked to water year types and flexible enough to allow additional exports when conditions allow) and needed assurances for compliance.
6. Commitment to preserve preservation and continuous improvement of in-Delta water quality sufficient to protect existing beneficial uses (Delta standards or contracts including assurances for implementation, permits, financing, and O&M).
7. Commitment to address avoid potential seepage and flood impacts of an isolated facility along its alignment.
8. Long-term funding for Delta levees (perhaps tied to quantity of water moved in the isolated facility or other institutional assurances) and commitment to provide at cost, suitable excess excavated material from facility construction for levee and habitat improvements.
9. Reaffirm commitment to protect all area of origin water rights and to continue implementation of the 1959 Delta Protection Act.
10. Completion of all environmental documentation and permitting requirements.
11. Demonstrated commitment to finance by beneficiaries.
12. Agreement on operating authority and operating criteria.
13. There must be a determination that the through Delta conveyance with the other Program elements cannot meet CALFED goals and objectives, and that an isolated conveyance facility is the best and only reasonable measure to correct this deficiency in meeting the goals and objectives.

14. A decision to proceed with implementation of the program will come through State and Federal State and Federal legislative action.