

From: tiki\_baron@mail.fws.gov  
X-Mailer: ccMail Link to SMTP R8.00.00  
Date: Wed, 24 Sep 97 11:55:24 -0700  
To: <mscoonov@counsel.com>, <rickb@water.ca.gov>, <sgross@water.ca.gov>  
Cc: <david\_harlow@mail.fws.gov>, <patrick\_leonard@mail.fws.gov>, <ben\_harrison@mail.fws.gov>, <evelyn\_elder@mail.fws.gov>, <douglas\_morrison@mail.fws.gov>  
Subject: Re[2]: HCP in EIR/S - Purpose and Need

Sharon, Mary, and Rick:

Attached are our thoughts on Rick's analysis of how the EIR/S might address the HCP, as described in the e:mail message from Rick to Sharon, below; it includes my own comments, plus those of Dave H., Jean Elder and Ben Harrison, our regional NEPA coordinator. No doubt this will be an on-going discussion. Let us know how we can help in figuring this one out.

Tiki

---

Reply Separator

Subject: HCP in EIR/S - Purpose and Need  
Author: David Harlow at 1PO-SCES2  
Date: 9/19/97 3:14 PM

Any comments on Rick B's EIS analysis?

---

Forward Header

Subject: HCP in EIR/S - Purpose and Need  
Author: Sharon Gross <sgross@water.ca.gov> at ~internet  
Date: 9/16/97 10:50 AM

Message from Rick Breitenbach - seems to make sense to me.

Sharon

>X-Sender: rickb@goldeneye  
>Date: Fri, 12 Sep 1997 11:41:46 -0400  
>To: sgross@water.ca.gov, jordancj@worldnet.att.net, lsnow@water.ca.gov,  
> Syaeger@water.ca.gov

>From: Rick Breitenbach <rickb@water.ca.gov>  
>Subject: HCP in EIR/S - Purpose and Need  
>  
>I'd like to give you a sense of what I'm currently thinking  
about with  
>respect to what we will evaluate in the EIR/S relative to the  
HCP. Would  
>appreciate your thoughts.  
>  
>My sense is that the HCP actions that need to be evaluated in  
the EIR/S  
>are: The ERPP program, mitigation measures to offset fish and  
wildlife  
>consequences attributable to the ERPP program, mitigation  
measures to  
>offset fish and wildlife consequences Attributable to storage  
and  
>conveyance facilities and lastly any mitigation measures  
necessary to  
>offset fish and wildlife consequences attributable to water  
quality  
>facilities or levee construction.  
>  
>As luck would have it, the consequences of the ERPP program and  
the  
>consequences of all the mitigation measures outlined above would  
have been  
>displayed in the EIR/S irrespective of the HCP.  
>  
>So, if I've identified the right actions, we don't have to do  
any  
>additional work in the EIR/S to cover the HCP. I suspect we'll  
have to  
>make some statements in the Introduction as to how it is  
covered.  
>  
>Since we are doing something outside?? of our original purpose  
and need  
>statement, do we need a supplemental purpose and need statement?  
I'll ask  
>Mary and Marian.  
>  
>

Attachment Converted: "c:\eudora\attach\eirscope.997"