

th "Diast of Comments"

- Need for Ayn  
based on studies  
to date  
- Need list of  
info known vs.  
not known

Printed: 04-20-97  
By: Howe, Carol  
Priority: Normal  
Topic: Comments on Pollutant Issue  
Sent: 04-18-97  
From: rwoodard@goldeneye.water.ca.go  
To: Howe, Carol; Carol Howe

Mail\*Link>

Comments on Pollutant Issues in CALFED

>X-Sender: lwintern@cd-eso  
>Date: Fri, 18 Apr 1997 13:53:01 -0700  
>To: chrisf@bptcpl.swrcb.ca.gov  
>From: Leo Winternitz <lwintern@water.ca.gov>  
>Subject: Comments on Pollutant Issues in CALFED Ecosystem Restoration  
> Program  
>Cc: rbrown@cd-eso.water.ca.gov, aquasci@aol.com, awconsult@aol.com,  
> bfinlays@hq.dfg.ca.gov, chrisf@bptcpl.swrcb.ca.gov,  
> vicdv@bptcpl.swrcb.ca.gov, bherbold@aol.com, bobf@delta.dfg.ca.gov,  
> brucet@sfei.org, dehinton@ucdavis.edu, dmfry@ucdavis.edu,  
> gfredlee@aol.com, jay@sfei.org, jtm@crl.com,  
> karent@bptcpl.swrcb.ca.gov, kkuivila@usgs.gov, lhsmith@usgs.gov,  
> lrbrown@usgs.gov, mjsnyder@ucdavis.edu, mjunginc@aol.com,  
> phyllisfox@aol.com, scottogle@eco-risk.com, slanderson@lbl.gov,  
> snluoma@usgs.gov, spies@amarine.com, nsinghasemanon@cdpr.ca.gov,  
> valc@bptcpl.swrcb.ca.gov, bennett@rockisland.com,  
> sjteh@ucdavis.edu,  
> snluoma@dcrcamnl.wr.usgs.gov, jmonroe@pacbell.net,  
> Cindy Darling <cdarling@exec.water.ca.gov>,  
> Rick Woodard <rwoodard@exec.water.ca.gov>,  
> Kate Hansel <hanselk@exec.water.ca.gov>  
>  
>Chris and Contaminant Team Members --  
>  
>G. Fred Lee's comments make much sense to me. For the most part, I have  
>also agreed with comments of others. However, I have a serious concern with  
>the general direction of thought I am picking up from many of the comments.  
>  
>Most commentors (all)? stressed that we need to identify and understand the  
>causes of water quality related impairments before a whole bunch of money  
>is spent reducing contaminants of concern. Well thought out strategies were  
>described for doing this. My question is, what have contaminant researchers  
>in  
> this estuary been doing the past 15 or so years? Granted, there has not  
>been a single, well funded, comprehensive, all inclusive program with  
>identified goals and objectives to do this work, but surely, with the  
>amount of work done by individuals and individual agencies, something must  
>have been learned by now.  
>  
>My understanding of CALFED's request is for Contaminant PWT members to  
>review the list of actions to make sure it is neither incomplete or  
>superfluous. CALFED has a mandate to take action to improve conditions  
>based on an understanding of what all you folks have been working on the  
>past 15 years or so. CALFED needs to take action (albeit, the correct type  
>of action) based on what we already know. From reading the comments sent

>in, one could decipher that we do not know much and therefore have to  
>develop an expensive, comprehensive program to start getting a crack at  
>knowledge. Is this really true? I doubt it.

>Do Contaminant PWT members need to develop a "know" vs. "do not know" list  
>that CALFED can base its actions on? Hasn't this already been done by the  
>old Aquatic Habitat Institute in the mid 1980's? Is that work no good or  
>does it simply need to be reviewed and updated? How best can we help  
>CALFED complete the difficult assignment they have been given? I look  
>forward to your responses. -- Leo

>  
>  
>Leo Winternitz  
>Phone: (916) 227-7548  
>Fax: (916) 227-7554  
>E-mail: lwintern@water.ca.gov  
>

=====