

To: Ted Roefs <TROEFS-IBR21B@ibr2gw80.mp.usbr.gov>
From: rwoodard@goldeneye (Rick Woodard)
Subject: Re: Review of component report
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:

>Ted- thank for the comments. I would be happy to sit down with you and discuss the overall issue of specificity, and how we might be more specific without exceeding our "Programmatic Level" mandate.

Rick, I continue to be frustrated with our inability to be more specific with respect to the water quality common program. Other comments:

- >
- >Table 4-1 and 4-8 should show the ocean as a source.
- >
- >p5-1 2nd and 3rd bullets - do we really want to say Agricultural Drinking Water? why not just say ability of Delta diversions to support agricultural use?
- >
- >Should FWS supposed effort to rate pollutants be mentioned somewhere near here?
- >
- >p7-10 methods of reducing salinity: eliminate 2nd and 3rd bullet.
- >
- >