

Draft Action Plan
CALFED Water Quality Program
(Revised May 21, 1997)

Problem Statement - The water quality program appears to be a peripheral issue in the CALFED process. It is expected that water quality will not be a key component of the process and that financial resources will not be available to correct water quality problems.

1. **Technical Interaction** - Continue participation in CALFED technical activities. Participate in meetings, review CALFED work products, provide comments to CALFED.
 - Water Quality Technical Group Meetings
 - Parameter Assessment Team - Lynda Smith, MWDCS; KT Shum, CCWD; and J.P. Cativiela, CRIA.
 - Technical Workshops
 - Weekly meetings or phone calls between Elaine Archibald and Rick Woodard/Carol Howe.

2. **Interact with BDAC**
 - Attend BDAC workshops and provide verbal comments where appropriate (this includes policy level and technical staff).
 - Talk with urban and agricultural BDAC representatives - Rosemary Kamei, Mary Selkirk, Jack Folley, Mike Stearns, Tib Belza, Don Bransford, Stu Pyle, and Alex Hildebrand.
 - Strongly encourage urban and agricultural BDAC representatives to prepare letters and/or meet with Lester Snow. Send out notice to urban and agricultural representatives on the problem/issues.

3. **Form alliances with environmental groups interested in water quality.**
 - Invite to attend Ag/Urban Water Quality Technical Team meetings or meet with them separately to discuss the Ag/Urban concerns and their issues/concerns.
 - Encourage them to comment on water quality program.
 - Possible environmental groups
 - Clean Water Action - David Chatfield
 - Delta Keeper - Bill Jennings
 - Surfriders - Peter Candy
 - Audubon Society - Barbara Salzman
 - Environmental Defense Fund - Terry Young
 - Sierra Club -
 - League of Conservation Voters
 - Natural Resources Defense Council

4. Encourage Ecosystem Roundtable to fund ecosystem related water quality projects.
 - Attempt to get an Ag/Urban water quality representative on the Ecosystem Roundtable.
 - Strongly encourage Lester Snow to set up process so that CALFED water quality staff have a voice in recommending water quality projects for funding.
 - Encourage submittal of proposals by Regional Board, DPR, Grasslands Basin Drainage Group and others.
 - Encourage Ag/Urban representatives on Ecosystem Roundtable (Amy Fowler, Greg Gartrell, others) to support water quality projects.
5. Encourage the DHS Office of Drinking Water and the Department of Food and Agriculture to get more involved in CALFED program.
6. Review Prop 204 language to determine if funding is available for correcting water quality problems. CALFED has indicated that Prop 204 and federal matching funds can only be used for ecosystem restoration, possibly for ecosystem water quality improvements, and not for drinking or agricultural water quality improvement.

WORK PLAN FOR AG AND URBAN CAUCUSES

D-033572

D-033572

	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	Description of Workplan Issues	Work Products
CALFED PROGRAM									
Major Documents	● 17 Alternatives ● ERPP Summary	● Full ERPP (Volumes 1-3)		Internal Draft PEIR/S	● ● ● ● ●	● ●	● Public Draft PEIR/S	1. Bay/Delta a) Water Conveyance/Storage b) Restoration Habitat c) Non-Flow Factors d) Flows & Other Operating Requirements e) Water Quality in the Delta f) Water Quality Source Control / Land Retirement g) Water Quality for Exports h) Projects Outside CALFED Process	A. ERPP Evaluation & Comments B. Modeling Analyses 1) Initial Results 2) Final Results C. CALFED's 17 Alternatives - Evaluation & Comments D. Impact Analysis - Evaluation & Comments (EIR/EIS)
Workshops	● BDAC Mtg. ● Ecosyst. W G	● Impact Analysis Workshop	● Assurances Work Group						
BDAC Documents	● Institutional Alternatives ● Water Use Efficiency Plan ● Finance Principles			● Assurances (?) ● Ecosystem (?)					
AG/URBAN WATER CAUCUSES									
CALFED Ecosystem Program Plan (ERPP)		■	▲					2. Upstream a) Restoration Habitat b) Non-Flow Factors c) Water Quality Source Control / Land Retirement	E. Operating Criteria - Development & Recommendation F. Comparison of Overall Costs and Benefits of Alternatives
Conveyance & Storage Report		■	▲					3. Water Supply - Ag/Urban/Unstream a) Storage b) Conjunctive Use c) Transfers d) Efficiency e) Reclamation and Reuse	G. Comparison of Overall Costs and Benefits of Alternatives
BDAC Documents								4. Assurances a) Multi-Species & Pre-fishing Agreements b) Adaptive Management c) Ecosystem Water Purchases d) Water Rights & Area of Origin e) Dispute Resolution Process f) Federal & State Legislation Needs g) Structural Assurances h) Early Agreements i) Umbrella Agreement	H. Water Quality Common Program - Evaluation & Comments 1) Matrix of sources, actions and costs 2) Recommendations to CALFED
Key issues: Substantive		■ 1, 2 & 3	▲ 1, 2 & 3	■ 1, 2 & 3	▲ 1, 2 & 3			5. Institutional Arrangements a) Water Facilities Operating Entity b) Environmental Management Entity c) CALFED Project Implementation Authority d) Contracts, JPAs e) Federal & State Legislation Needs	I. Assurance Packages 1) Outline of alternative assurance approaches 2) Fully developed approach for assurances
Global discussions: Interaction among issues		↔	↔	↔	↔			6. Budget & Cost Allocation a) Financial Principles b) Budget & Affordability c) Costs d) Revenue e) Allocation f) Mitigation responsibilities	J. DEIR/DEIS - Evaluation & Comments
Key issues: Implementation		↔	↔	↔	↔				
Assurances			■ 4	▲ 4	■ 4	▲ 4			
Institutional			■ 5	▲ 5	■ 5	▲ 5			
Work Products		A B C	E F D G	H			I → J		
Budget			■ 6	▲ 6	■ 6	▲ 6			
POTENTIAL INTERACTION WITH ENVIRONMENTAL CAUCUS									
Potentially Ongoing Solicitation of 3-Way Caucus Interaction									
I. Initial Meetings to organize discussions, discuss interests and determine mutually satisfactory method to interact with CALFED		■							
II. Technical Team interaction									
III. Potential Joint Stakeholder input through BDAC or in writing to CALFED regarding working documents									
IV. Potential joint Stakeholder comments / recommendations regarding draft alternatives									
V. Potential joint Stakeholder decisions / response to draft EIR/EIS									

CALFED Pre-August

- a) Make sure that CALFED products/alternatives address interests
- b) Make sure the technical analysis is sound and accurate
- c) Propose possible actions which can be discarded

CALFED Sep. - Oct.

- a) Serious substantive engagement on package of key issues
- b) Additional input to CALFED either by all stakeholders together or separately

LEGEND

- Official CalFed Document
- Preliminary Technical Work Products
- ▲ Key Policy Decisions
- - -> Ongoing Technical Work
- Policy Discussions
- Work Products