



**BAY-DELTA ADVISORY COUNCIL ASSURANCES WORKGROUP**

**October 24, 1997**

**9:00 a.m. to Noon**

**LOCATION**

The Resources Building  
1416 Ninth Street, Room 1131  
Sacramento, California 95814

**AGENDA**

**Expected Outcomes:** To reach agreement on Levees System Integrity draft assurances tools and continue refining remaining sections of Assurances Proposals.

**9:00 - 9:30 am**

**Introductions and Updates**

- *Review September 9, 1997 Meeting Summary.* Hap Dunning
- *Summary of Outside Discussions Related to Assurances.* Work Group Participants
- *Staff Report.* Mary Scoonover  
Update on Endangered Species Act Scoping

**9:30 - 10:00**

**Finalize Assurance Proposal for Levees System Integrity (Section I. E of Assurances Alternatives Discussion Paper).** Mary Scoonover

Does the set of assurances adequately address the issues and concerns of the CALFED Bay-Delta Levee Program?

**10:00 - 11:45**

**Refine Remaining Sections of Three Assurances Alternatives.**

Mary Scoonover, Mike Heaton, Dave Fullerton

- *Review Tools for Water Quality and Water Use Efficiency Programs (Sections I. F. and G.)*  
What changes, if any, to the lists of tools do you suggest to satisfy the needs of the Water Quality and Water Use Efficiency programs?

**CALFED Agencies**

**California** The Resources Agency  
Department of Fish and Game  
Department of Water Resources  
California Environmental Protection Agency  
State Water Resources Control Board

**Federal** Environmental Protection Agency  
Department of the Interior  
Fish and Wildlife Service  
Bureau of Reclamation  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Department of Agriculture  
Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Department of Commerce  
National Marine Fisheries Service

- *Review Differences and Similarities of Three Assurances Proposals (Sections I, II, and III)*

Is the range of suggested management structure options adequate for choosing an acceptable assurances package? If not, what changes do you suggest?

Do the principles agreement in Alternative 1 and the Implementation Plan in Alternatives 2 and 3 provide the necessary framework for ensuring the Program will be implemented and operated as agreed? If not, what changes would you suggest?

- *Discuss Phasing Proposal (Section IV.)*

Do the suggested characteristics adequately assure that each interest group will have a continuing stake in the successful implementation of the Program?

What changes, if any, would you suggest to the sequence or type of actions in each phase?

**11:45 - 12:00 pm**      **Wrap-up and Public Comment. Hap Dunning**

**Next Meeting**              Wednesday, December 3, 1997  
9:00 am to Noon  
1416 Ninth Street, Room 1412  
Sacramento, CA

The schedule for discussing agenda items is approximate. The Workgroup may discuss items out of order, or may finish earlier or later than scheduled.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Mary Scoonover at (916) 327-7880.

If you need reasonable accommodation due to disability, please contact Pauline Nevins, CALFED Bay-Delta Program, at (916) 657-2666 or TDD (800) 735-2929.