

Operational Scenario for Stage 1 Environmental Water Account (EWA)

OUTLINE

December 12, 1998

Operational Scenario

Stage 1, day 1
Through Stage 1
Stage 2

Will have EWA in preferred alternative

Why-Way to provide better protection with less water

Approaches

Contract
Gallon-Gallon
Combination
Other

What is an EWA

What are the resources need? e.g energy

Storage and money that allows eco manager to curtail pumping

How does it generally work?

Default Pumping rules

How is it filled

How is it used

EWA assets grow over time by:

- Refillable, high priority storage
- Water options and purchases
- Access to facilities for diversion and transport
- Water conservation/reclamation
- Ability to grant variances to export standards
- Contingency fund

Water User assets grow over time by:

- Expanded access to diversion facilities
- Increased storage
- Water transfers
- Water in exchange for mortality reductions

What are the concerns?

How can protection be afforded to species early in the water year when the account may be empty?

How can environmental water be stored for later use in a system limited by storage? How can protection be ensured when protective needs exceed the water available?

Basic decisions need before implantation of EWA

What are the default operational rules

Sharing future export/storage capacity increases

Sharing of pumping above default rules

Environmental priorities for existing facilities

Decision making authority

Regulatory certainty

Who pays

Carryover of ecosystem credits from year to year

Other uses of ecosystem credits

Initial funding and type of ecosystem credits

Relationship to upstream water

Fungibility of EWA credits

Operating/Accounting Procedures

Environmental priorities for storage and conveyance facilities

Biological aiming points

Decision making authority

Initial evaluation of an ESA

Initial assumptions of the components of ESA

350 TAF storage (300 TAF GW, 50 TAF Surface)

JPOD, Banks 8,500 cfs capacity year round

100 TAF options that can be exercised each year

\$30 Million contingency reserve

Installation of 1,000,000 toilets or equivalent demand side measure

Two basic different baselines (or default operational rules) were used in the approaches used in the evaluation.

1. Assume the default rules are the Accord + All AFRP actions (i.e. includes in-Delta B2 actions) + New Trinity flows will be met before any EWA is used.
2. Assume the default rules are the Accord + Upstream AFRP actions only and the in-Delta AFRP actions are met by the EWA.

Is the fish protection adequate?

For Day 1 of Stage 1 and in the 7-10 year period of stage 1

EWA Operations for water years 1984-1987

Water supply, fisheries, and project operations technical people simulation.
Some areas not addressed like upstream migration of adults.
Only used Purchase option once
Didn't use the contingency fund to purchase water.
Used salvage records and professional judgement to determine when fish were in the delta.
Applied the USFW/NMFS new proposed prescriptive standards when abiological
Although ran up some large debts upstream and downstream reservoirs never completely drained the account.
The largest deficit was 330 TAF, which could have been avoided if the purchase and contingency account were utilized.
Even though is was single operational evaluation the team flet that unique run

Is Water Supply adequate?

For Day 1 of Stage 1 and in the 7-10 year period of stage 1

What actions are required for water quality improvements?

For Day 1 of Stage 1 and in the 7-10 year period of stage 1