

X-Sender: sbuer@goldeneye
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.5 (32)
Date: Tue, 25 Aug 1998 12:00:07 -0700
To: mcowin@exec.water.ca.gov
From: Stein Buer <sbuer@water.ca.gov>
Subject: alex alternative
Cc: samson@exec.water.ca.gov, gbardini@exec.water.ca.gov,
rwoodard@exec.water.ca.gov, ritchie@exec.water.ca.gov,
lorenb@exec.water.ca.gov, ronott@exec.water.ca.gov

Hi Mark-- Alex called me to indicate that after discussing your analysis of his thru-delta proposal with Dave, he had the impression that you did not analyze HIS proposal; rather you made an important change. His intent was that all export flow move thru the central Delta; either via the DXC or new diversion at Hood into north Delta. this would optimize water quality. I told him that you had to balance the fisheries and water quality concerns, and the fisheries biologists hate the additional diversions via north Delta, thus the compromise configuration that was modeled. His concerns are that 1) his proposal was not analyzed and 2) his proposal is getting unfair criticism for being effective, when likely if modeled correctly, it would prove to be very effective for wq. I promised to pass his concerns on to you and to ask you to call Alex. He would prefer to take care of this directly with you, rather than in a public forum. His number 209 823 4166. stein