



CALFED
BAY-DELTA
PROGRAM


1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155
Sacramento, California 95814

(916) 657-2466
FAX (916) 654-9780

Memorandum

Date: April 9, 1999

To: Mary Nichols, Secretary for Resources
Bill Lyons, Secretary for Food and Agriculture

From: Lester A. Snow 
Executive Director

Subject: CALFED Agricultural Mitigations

As CALFED prepares an agency review draft of the Programmatic EIS/EIR, we need to deal with issues related to mitigation for agricultural land impacts. I want to be sure that CALFED's Programmatic EIS/EIR is consistent with State agricultural mitigation policy, and that questions related to such State policy decisions are discussed and approved in more appropriate forums.

CALFED should seek to avoid or mitigate, to the extent possible, any significant environmental impacts which it causes, as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Quality Act (NEPA). Because CALFED is a major undertaking, that may impact a large amount of agricultural land over its lifetime, it is an easy target for those who seek new agricultural mitigation policies. However, the entire CALFED Program, in a worst-case scenario, would convert less than 15% of the acreage forecast to be converted by urbanization over the same time period. As such, I do not want new agricultural land policy to evolve in CALFED and be the "tail wagging the dog."

Local governments, which approve this level of agricultural land conversion to urban uses, have a much greater effect on loss of agricultural lands. If we as a state are going to reduce the negative impacts of agricultural land conversion, these local government entities need to be partners in the solution. The issue of agricultural land conversion seems ripe for evaluation under "smart growth" or statewide growth management discussions. While conversions to habitat purposes, including CALFED ecosystem restoration plans, will contribute to the cumulative loss of farmlands, they should neither be discussed nor mitigated in isolation from other causes of farmland conversion.

CALFED Agencies

California The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Water Resources
California Environmental Protection Agency
State Water Resources Control Board

Federal Environmental Protection Agency
Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
Bureau of Reclamation
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Department of Agriculture
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Department of Commerce
National Marine Fisheries Service

Mary Nichols
Bill Lyons
April 9, 1999
Page Two

Currently CALFED Programatic EIS/EIR identifies mitigations for agricultural land impacts at the same level as those used by other governmental agencies, and as considered standard under CEQA and NEPA.

Since there has been pressure for CALFED to "pioneer" agricultural land mitigation policy, I believe it is important that we schedule a meeting for the identification of the issues and the State policy direction. I will follow up with your offices to find a suitable time.