SEP 13 1999 832

August 27, 1999

LESTER SNOW
EX. DIR., CALFED
1416 Ninth Street
SACRAMENTO, CA. 95814

DEAR MR. SNOW:

Please consider this my response to the revised CALFED plan.

I write from the shore of the American River, where I am rafting, like 100,000 people do annually. (Yes, I agree, that's a major impact)

So, you already know I'm a political opionated, tree-hugger, right? Well, yeah like everyone with received opinions, I stand by mine as the one true way.

Just kidding. I know this is a complex process, and that there are numerous competing needs and interests involved. However, the preservation of salmon habitat has

C-113496

C-113496

to be a priority by this point in the life of the California salmon, Rising? So red bank/Dippingvat has to go.

Dams, as an answer, have turned out to be as much a question as an answer, long-term. NO dam project with anything other than crucial safety needs and favorable economics should be contemplated.

I support CALFED's restoration goal for the Central Valley. But if protecting habitat and increasing instream flows are good in some places, I feel that they should be the goal in all places

No dams! Conservation and reclamation long-term.

Thanks for your attention

832(2) Vimo Campbell