


Revised Draft
Environmental Impact Report/
Environmental Impact Statement



May 2000

Delta Wetlands Project

Prepared for:



State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights

and



U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Sacramento District

Prepared by:

 Jones & Stokes
Sacramento, California

Revised Draft

**Environmental Impact Report
and
Environmental Impact Statement
for the Delta Wetlands Project**

Prepared for:

California State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000
Contact: Jim Sutton
Telephone: 916/657-2190

and

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Regulatory Branch
1325 J Street, 14th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814-2922
Contact: Mike Finan
Telephone: 916/557-5324

Prepared by:

Jones & Stokes
2600 V Street
Sacramento, CA 95818-1914
Contact: Aimee Dour-Smith
916/737-3000

May 2000

This document should be cited as:

Jones & Stokes. 2000. Revised draft environmental impact report and environmental impact statement for the Delta Wetlands Project. May. (J&S 99-162.) Sacramento, CA. Prepared for the California State Water Resources Control Board and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento, CA.

Table of Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	ES-1
Chapter 1. Introduction and Project Background	1-1
PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT	1-1
PROJECT HISTORY	1-3
The Proposed Project	1-3
Regulatory Compliance History	1-5
CURRENT STATUS OF THE PROJECT	1-6
CONTENTS OF THE REVISED DRAFT EIR/EIS AND PUBLIC REVIEW	
PROCESS FOR THE DOCUMENT	1-7
Key Issues Addressed in This Document	1-7
Issues Not Addressed in This Document	1-8
Document Organization	1-9
Public Review and Comment Period	1-9
FUTURE STEPS IN THE CONSIDERATION OF DELTA WETLANDS'	
APPLICATIONS	1-10
Chapter 2. Changes to the Project Description, Alternatives Analyzed, and	
Future Conditions Considered	2-1
REVISIONS TO THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
Restrictions on Project Operations to Ensure the Protection of Fish	2-2
Stipulated Agreements	2-3
PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	2-4
FUTURE CONDITIONS AND RELATIONSHIP OF THE DELTA WETLANDS	
PROJECT TO OTHER PROJECTS	2-5
Chapter 3. Water Supply and Operations	3-1
FOCUS OF THE REVISED DRAFT EIR/EIS ANALYSIS	3-1
Summary of Issues Addressed in This Chapter	3-1
Definition of Terms	3-2
Overview of the Evaluation Methods Used: DeltaSOS, DWRSIM	
Water Budget, and Modeling Assumptions	3-3
REVISED DELTA MONTHLY WATER BUDGET SIMULATED BY DWRSIM ..	3-5
Delta Inflows	3-6
Delta Exports	3-7
Delta Outflow	3-8
Surplus Outflow Available for Delta Wetlands Diversion	3-8

San Luis Reservoir Operations	3-9
Combined CVP and SWP Delivery Deficits for Study 771	3-10
Summary of the Comparison between Results from DWRSIM Studies 409 and 771	3-11
REVISED DELTA STANDARDS	3-11
Minimum Sacramento River Flow at Freeport	3-12
Delta Cross Channel and Georgiana Slough Operations	3-12
X2 Position for Estuarine Habitat Protection	3-12
Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan and Delta Export Pumping Restrictions	3-12
REVISIONS TO DELTASOS	3-13
Restrictions for Fish Protection	3-13
Restrictions to Protect Other Parties' Senior Water Rights	3-15
South-of-Delta Demands and Deficits	3-16
REVISED ANALYSIS OF DELTA WETLANDS WATER SUPPLY AND OPERATIONS	3-18
Measures of Potential Water Supply Effects and Criteria for Determining Impact Significance	3-18
Scenarios Evaluated in the Revised Analysis of Delta Wetlands Water Supply and Operations	3-20
Results: Monthly Delta Wetlands Project Operations	3-21
Results: Daily Delta Wetlands Project Operations	3-25
Results: Cumulative Water Supply Conditions	3-26
Results: Delta Consumptive Use	3-28
Impact Evaluation of Project Alternatives from the 1995 Draft EIR/EIS	3-28
 Chapter 4. Water Quality	 4-1
FOCUS OF THE REVISED DRAFT EIR/EIS ANALYSIS	4-1
Issues Raised in Water Right Hearing Testimony and Comments on the 1995 Draft EIR/EIS	4-1
Summary of Issues Addressed in This Chapter	4-3
Definition of Terms	4-3
Organization of This Chapter	4-5
OVERVIEW OF SOURCES OF NEW AND UPDATED INFORMATION	4-6
UPDATED MEASUREMENTS OF INFLOW, EXPORT, AND AGRICULTURAL DRAINAGE WATER QUALITY	4-8
Measurements of Delta Water Quality Variables in Delta Inflows and Exports	4-8
Data on Delta Agricultural Drainage Salinity and Dissolved Organic Carbon	4-15
Summary of Methods	4-17
Summary of Results	4-19
Application to the Delta Wetlands Project	4-21
REPORTED ESTIMATES OF DISSOLVED ORGANIC CARBON LOADING ...	4-22
Dissolved Organic Carbon Loading in Existing Agricultural Drainage	4-23
Dissolved Organic Carbon Loading under Project Conditions	4-24

CHANGES IN DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT RULES	4-26
Total Organic Carbon Removal Requirements	4-26
Revised Trihalomethane Standards	4-27
IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	4-27
Modeling Delta Wetlands Project Effects on Salinity and Dissolved Organic Carbon	4-28
Modeling Delta Wetlands Project Effects on Disinfection Byproducts	4-30
CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING IMPACT SIGNIFICANCE	4-30
Significance Criteria Used in the 1995 Draft EIR/EIS	4-31
Comments on Significance Criteria	4-31
Summary of Significance Criteria Used in This Revised Draft EIR/EIS Analysis	4-33
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-34
Simulated Delta Water Quality for the No-Project Alternative	4-35
Impacts of the Proposed Project	4-37
Recommended Mitigation and Application to Delta Wetlands Project Operations	4-45
Cumulative Impacts	4-47
Impact Evaluation of Project Alternatives from the 1995 Draft EIR/EIS	4-48
Chapter 5. Fisheries	5-1
FOCUS OF THE REVISED DRAFT EIR/EIS ANALYSIS	5-1
Summary of Issues Addressed in This Chapter	5-2
Definition of Terms	5-2
CHANGES IN THE PROPOSED PROJECT: FINAL OPERATIONS CRITERIA AND BIOLOGICAL OPINIONS	5-3
Final Operations Criteria	5-4
Reasonable and Prudent Measures in the Biological Opinions	5-5
AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT: RELEVANT OR NEW INFORMATION	5-7
New Species Listings and Endangered Species Act Consultation Status	5-7
New California Department of Fish and Game Data on Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	5-8
East Bay Municipal Utility District Data on Mokelumne River Chinook Salmon	5-9
Delta Wetlands Project Facilities and Fish Predation	5-9
IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	5-9
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	5-10
Delta Wetlands Project Impacts under the Final Operations Criteria and Implementation of Reasonable and Prudent Measures	5-10
Project Impacts on Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	5-11
Project Impacts on Mokelumne River Chinook Salmon	5-12
Effects of Delta Wetlands Project Facilities on Fish Predation	5-16
Cumulative Impacts	5-17
Impact Evaluation of Project Alternatives from the 1995 Draft EIR/EIS	5-17

Chapter 6. Levee Stability and Seepage	6-1
FOCUS OF THE REVISED DRAFT EIR/EIS ANALYSIS	6-1
Delta Wetlands' Proposed Levee Design and Seepage Control System	6-1
1995 Draft EIR/EIS Evaluation, Comments, and New Information	6-2
Summary of Issues Addressed in This Chapter	6-3
Definition of Terms	6-4
NEW INFORMATION	6-6
Results of the New Analysis of Delta Wetlands Project Effects on Seepage	6-6
Results of the New Analysis of Delta Wetlands Project Effects on Levee Stability	6-12
New Information on Erosion Effects of Boat Wake	6-17
IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	6-18
Analytical Approach and Impact Mechanisms	6-18
Criteria for Determining Impact Significance	6-18
Levee Standards and Significance Criteria	6-18
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	6-19
Potential Seepage on Adjacent Islands Resulting from Project Operations	6-20
Potential Decrease in Levee Stability on the Delta Wetlands Project Islands during or Immediately after Project Construction	6-21
Potential Decrease in Long-Term Levee Stability on the Delta Wetlands Reservoir Islands	6-21
Potential Levee Failure on Delta Wetlands Project Islands during Seismic Activity	6-22
Potential Property Damage Resulting from Levee Failure	6-22
Cumulative Impacts	6-23
Impact Evaluation of Project Alternatives from the 1995 Draft EIR/EIS	6-23
 Chapter 7. Natural Gas Facilities and Transmission Pipelines	 7-1
FOCUS OF THE REVISED DRAFT EIR/EIS ANALYSIS	7-1
Summary of Issues Addressed in This Chapter	7-1
Sources of Information	7-2
Definition of Terms	7-2
AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	7-3
Natural Gas Service	7-3
Pipeline Design Criteria	7-4
Pipeline Safety	7-5
IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	7-6
Analytical Approach and Impact Mechanisms	7-6
Criteria for Determining Impact Significance	7-7
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	7-7
Risk of Pipeline Leak or Rupture Resulting from Island Inundation	7-7
Risk of Pipeline Leak or Rupture Resulting from Levee Improvements	7-8
Potential Interference with Pipeline Inspection Procedures	7-9

Potential Delay in Emergency Repairs and Unscheduled Interruption of Service	7-10
Cumulative Impacts	7-14
Impact Evaluation of Project Alternatives from the 1995 Draft EIR/EIS	7-14
Chapter 8. Citations	8-1
PRINTED REFERENCES	8-1
PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS	8-5
WATER RIGHT HEARING TESTIMONY	8-5
Chapter 9. Glossary of Technical Terms	9-1
Chapter 10. Report Preparers	10-1
Appendix A. Summary of Stipulated Agreements between Delta Wetlands and Parties to the Hearing on Delta Wetlands' Water Rights Applications	A-1
Appendix B. Delta Wetlands Project Final Operations Criteria	B-1
Appendix C. California Department of Fish and Game Biological Opinion	C-1
Appendix D. National Marine Fisheries Service Biological Opinion	D-1
Appendix E. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Opinion	E-1
Appendix F. Daily Simulations of Delta Wetlands Project Operations	F-1
Appendix G. Water Quality Assessment Methods	G-1
Appendix H. Levee Stability and Seepage Technical Report	H-1
Appendix I. Distribution List for the Revised Draft EIR/EIS	I-1

List of Tables

Table	Follows Page
1-1	Timeline of the Delta Wetlands Project 1-12
2-1	Comparison of the Proposed Delta Wetlands Project Features as Evaluated in the 1995 DEIR/EIS and in the 2000 REIR/EIS 2-6
2-2	Summary of Final Operations Criteria for the Delta Wetlands Project 2-6
3-1	DeltaSOS Mean Annual Input Data from Historical Data, DWRSIM Study 409, and DWRSIM Study 771 (TAF) 3-30
3-2	Comparison of Sacramento River and Yolo Bypass Inflows (cfs) between DWRSIM Studies 771 and 409 3-30
3-3	Comparison of San Joaquin River and Eastside Stream Inflows (cfs) between DWRSIM Studies 771 and 409 3-30
3-4	Comparison of Combined CVP and SWP Exports (cfs) between DWRSIM Studies 771 and 409 3-30
3-5	Comparison of Delta Outflow (cfs) between DWRSIM Studies 771 and 409 3-30
3-6	Comparison of Required Delta Outflow (cfs) between DWRSIM Studies 771 and 409 3-30
3-7	Comparison of Combined SWP and CVP San Luis Reservoir Storage (TAF) between DWRSIM Studies 771 and 409 3-30
3-8	Comparison of Combined CVP and SWP Deliveries (Banks + Tracy - San Luis Reservoir Storage Change) between DWRSIM Studies 771 and 409 3-30
3-9	South-of-Delta SWP and CVP Deliveries (Exports/Interruptible/ Local/Changes in Reservoirs) (cfs) for DWRSIM Study 771 3-30
3-10	South-of-Delta SWP and CVP Delivery Deficits (cfs) for DWRSIM Study 771 3-30

3-11	Available Water for Delta Wetlands Diversions under the 1995 Water Quality Control Plan and Delta Wetlands Final Operations Criteria (cfs)	3-30
3-12	Unused CVP and SWP Permitted Pumping Capacity for Delta Wetlands Exports (cfs)	3-30
3-13	Delta Wetlands Diversions (cfs) with Unlimited Demands	3-30
3-14	Delta Wetlands Storage (TAF) with Unlimited Demands	3-30
3-15	Delta Wetlands Discharge for Exports (cfs) with Unlimited Demands	3-30
3-16	Delta Wetlands Diversions (cfs) Limited by South-of-Delta Delivery Deficits	3-30
3-17	Delta Wetlands Storage (TAF) Limited by South-of-Delta Delivery Deficits	3-30
3-18	Delta Wetlands Discharges for Export (cfs) Limited by South-of-Delta Delivery Deficits	3-30
3-19	Diversion and Discharge Rules from the Final Operations Criteria and Application to the Daily Delta Wetlands Operations Model	3-30
3-20	Comparison of Monthly and Daily Operations Model Results for Delta Wetlands Diversions and Exports (1985-1994)	3-30
3-21	Delta Wetlands Diversions (cfs) under Cumulative Conditions	3-30
3-22	Delta Wetlands Storage (TAF) under Cumulative Conditions	3-30
3-23	Delta Wetlands Discharges for Export (cfs) under Cumulative Conditions	3-30
4-1	Summary of Average DWR MWQI Data on Water Quality at Delta Channel and Export Locations	4-48
4-2	Summary of Average DWR MWQI Data on Water Quality of Delta Island Drainage	4-48
4-3	Results of SMARTS 1 Flooded Peat Soil DOC and Salt (EC) Load Experiments	4-48
4-4	Results of SMARTS 2 Flooded Peat Soil DOC and Salt (EC) Load Experiments	4-48

4-5	Comparative Estimates of DOC Loading Rates (g/m ² /yr)	4-48
4-6	Estimates of Dissolved Organic Carbon Loading Using the DeltaSOQ Impact Analysis	4-48
4-7	Water Quality Impact Assessment Variables and Significance Criteria	4-48
4-8	Simulated No-Project Chipps Island EC (μS/cm)	4-48
4-9	Simulated No-Project Emmaton EC (μS/cm)	4-48
4-10	Simulated No-Project Jersey Point EC (μS/cm)	4-48
4-11	Simulated No-Project Export EC (μS/cm)	4-48
4-12	Simulated No-Project Export Chloride Concentrations (mg/l)	4-48
4-13	Simulated No-Project Export DOC Concentrations (mg/l)	4-48
4-14	Estimated No-Project Treated Water THM Concentrations (μg/l)	4-48
4-15	Differences in Chipps Island EC between Proposed Project and Simulated No-Project (μS/cm)	4-48
4-16	Differences in Emmaton EC between Proposed Project and Simulated No-Project (μS/cm)	4-48
4-17	Differences in Jersey Point EC between Proposed Project and Simulated No-Project (μS/cm)	4-48
4-18	Differences in Export EC between Proposed Project and Simulated No-Project (μS/cm)	4-48
4-19	Differences in Export Chloride Concentrations between Proposed Project and Simulated No-Project (mg/l)	4-48
4-20	Differences in Export DOC (mg/l) between Proposed Project and Simulated No-Project (mg/l) Assuming Long-Term DOC Load (1 g/m ² /month)	4-48
4-21	Differences in Export DOC (mg/l) between Proposed Project and Simulated No-Project (mg/l) Assuming Initial-Filling DOC Load (4 g/m ² /month)	4-48
4-22	Differences in Export DOC (mg/l) between Proposed Project and Simulated No-Project (mg/l) Assuming High Initial-Filling DOC Load (9 g/m ² /month)	4-48

4-23	Differences in Estimated THM Concentrations between Proposed Project and No-Project ($\mu\text{g/l}$)	4-48
4-24	Comparison between Delta Wetlands Project Impacts on Water Quality in the 1995 DEIR/EIS and the 2000 REIR/EIS	4-48
5-1	Comparison of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Occurrence in the Delta Assumed in the 1995 DEIR/EIS and Provided by DFG in August 1999	5-18
5-2	Dates of Annual Adult Chinook Salmon Migration Past Woodbridge Dam	5-18
5-3	Dates of Annual Juvenile Chinook Salmon Migration Past Woodbridge Dam	5-18
5-4	Frequency with which Concentrations of Mokelumne River Water in the South Delta Would Exceed the Percentages Given for Each Month, 1922-1991 Simulation	5-18
5-5	Comparison between Delta Wetlands Project Impacts on Fisheries in the 1995 DEIR/EIS and in the 2000 REIR/EIS	5-18
6-1	Summary of Factors of Safety	6-24
6-2	Summary of Results from the Worst-Case Runup Analysis	6-24
6-3	Stability Criteria Adopted for Levees and Used for Dam Safety Evaluations	6-24
6-4	Comparison between Delta Wetlands Projects on Flood Control in the 1995 DEIR/EIS and the 2000 REIR/EIS	6-24
7-1	Comparison between Delta Wetlands Project Impacts on Natural Gas Facilities in the 1995 DEIR/EIS and in the 2000 REIR/EIS	7-14
G-1	Weekly Drainage and Average DOC Concentrations for Agricultural Drainage from Twitchell Island in 1995	G-20
G-2	Calculated Central Delta Drainage Flows (cfs)	G-20

List of Figures

Figure	Follows Page
1-1 Delta Wetlands Project Location	1-12
1-2 Delta Wetlands Project Islands	1-12
1-3 Proposed Project Facilities on Bacon Island	1-12
1-4 Proposed Project Facilities on Webb Tract	1-12
1-5 Habitat Management Plan Habitats and Proposed Project Facilities on Bouldin Island	1-12
1-6 Habitat Management Plan Habitats and Proposed Project Facilities on Holland Tract	1-12
3-1 DWRSIM-Simulated Mean Monthly Sacramento River Flows: Studies 409 and 771	3-30
3-2 DWRSIM-Simulated Mean Monthly San Joaquin River Flows: Studies 409 and 771	3-30
3-3 DWRSIM-Simulated Mean Monthly SWP and CVP Exports: Studies 409 and 771	3-30
3-4 DWRSIM-Simulated Mean Monthly Delta Outflow: Studies 409 and 771	3-30
3-5 DWRSIM-Simulated Mean Monthly Available Water for Delta Wetlands Diversion: Studies 409 and 771	3-30
3-6 DWRSIM-Simulated Mean Monthly SWP and CVP San Luis Reservoir Storage: Studies 409 and 771	3-30
3-7 South-of-Delta Demands and Deliveries: DWRSIM Study 771 with VAMP	3-30
3-8 Annual Demands and Deliveries for South-of-Delta Water Supply: DWRSIM Study 771 as Adjusted by DeltaSOS for Joint Point of Diversion	3-30

3-9	Relationship between 1995 DEIR/EIS Alternatives and 2000 REIR/EIS Simulated Potential Project Operations	3-30
3-10	Simulated Annual Delta Wetlands Diversion and Export Volumes Unlimited by South-of-Delta Delivery Deficits	3-30
3-11	Simulated Annual Delta Wetlands Diversion and Export Volumes Limited by South-of-Delta Delivery Deficits	3-30
3-12	Daily Adjusted Sacramento and San Joaquin River Inflows for Simulating Delta Wetlands Final Operations Criteria for 1985	3-30
3-13	Simulated Annual Delta Demands and Deliveries under Cumulative Conditions ...	3-30
4-1	1984-1998 MWQI Monthly EC Values from the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and at Delta Export Locations	4-48
4-2	Relationship between Measured Mean Monthly EC at Greene's Landing and Sacramento River Flow for 1968-1998	4-48
4-3	Relationship between Measured Mean Monthly EC at Vernalis and San Joaquin River Flow for 1968-1998	4-48
4-4	1984-1998 MWQI Monthly Cl ⁻ Values from the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and at Delta Export Locations	4-48
4-5	1984-1998 MWQI Monthly Cl ⁻ :EC Ratio Values from the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and at Delta Export Locations	4-48
4-6	1984-1998 MWQI Monthly Br ⁻ :Cl ⁻ Ratio Values from the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and at Delta Export Locations	4-48
4-7	1984-1998 MWQI Monthly DOC Values from the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and at Delta Export Locations	4-48
4-8	DOC and Cl ⁻ Compared to EC Values in 1984-1998 Monthly Sacramento and San Joaquin River Samples	4-48
4-9	1984-1998 MWQI Monthly C-THM Values from the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and at Delta Export Locations	4-48
4-10	1984-1998 MWQI Monthly C-THM:DOC Ratio Values from the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and at Delta Export Locations	4-48
4-11	General THM Prediction Model	4-48

4-12	1984-1998 MWQI Monthly UVA:DOC Ratio Values from the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and at Delta Export Locations	4-48
4-13	Simulated No-Project Chipps Island EC Compared to Historical EC Data	4-48
4-14	Simulated No-Project Emmaton EC Compared to Historical EC Data	4-48
4-15	Simulated No-Project Jersey Point EC Compared to Historical EC Data	4-48
4-16	Comparison of Simulated No-Project Export EC with Historical MWQI Export EC Values	4-48
4-17	Estimated Export Cl ⁻ Concentration for No-Project and Historical CCWD Rock Slough Cl ⁻ Values	4-48
4-18	Simulated No-Project Delta Export DOC Concentrations with MWQI Drainage DOC Measurements	4-48
4-19	Simulated Treated Water THM Concentration for the No-Project Condition	4-48
4-20	Simulated Export DOC and Delta Wetlands Reservoir Island Storage DOC with Assumed Long-Term DOC Load (1 g/m ² /mo)	4-48
4-21	Simulated Export DOC and Delta Wetlands Reservoir Island Storage DOC with Assumed Initial DOC Load (4 g/m ² /mo)	4-48
4-22	Simulated Export DOC and Delta Wetlands Reservoir Island Storage DOC with Assumed High Initial DOC Load (9 g/m ² /mo)	4-48
5-1	Occurrence of Chinook Salmon by Life Stage in the Sacramento River Basin	5-18
5-2	Comparison of the Fall-Run Relationship to the Spring-Run Relationship for Assessment of Delta Wetlands Project Effects on Survival of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	5-18
5-3	Proportion of Annual Salvage of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by Month for the CVP and SWP Fish Protection Facilities	5-18
5-4	Median Concentration of Mokelumne River Water in the South Delta with and without Delta Wetlands Project Operations	5-18
6-1	Examples of Initial Levee Strengthening on Reservoir Islands	6-24
6-2	Seepage Interceptor Well System and Proposed Locations of Seepage Monitoring Piezometers under the Proposed Project	6-24

6-3	Levee Geometric Standards	6-24
7-1	Gas Transmission Lines on Bacon Island	7-14
7-2	Underground Gas Fields and Storage Areas in the Delta Wetlands Project Vicinity	7-14
F-1	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations and Effect on X2 Position as Allowed under Final Operations Criteria for 1985	F-7
F-2	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations with CVP and SWP Project Operations for 1985	F-7
F-3	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations and Effect on X2 Position as Allowed under Final Operations Criteria for 1986	F-7
F-4	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations with CVP and SWP Project Operations for 1986	F-7
F-5	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations and Effect on X2 Position as Allowed under Final Operations Criteria for 1987	F-7
F-6	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations with CVP and SWP Project Operations for 1987	F-7
F-7	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations and Effect on X2 Position as Allowed under Final Operations Criteria for 1988	F-7
F-8	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations with CVP and SWP Project Operations for 1988	F-7
F-9	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations and Effect on X2 Position as Allowed under Final Operations Criteria for 1989	F-7
F-10	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations with CVP and SWP Project Operations for 1989	F-7
F-11	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations and Effect on X2 Position as Allowed under Final Operations Criteria for 1990	F-7
F-12	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations with CVP and SWP Project Operations for 1990	F-7
F-13	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations and Effect on X2 Position as Allowed under Final Operations Criteria for 1991	F-7

F-14	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations with CVP and SWP Project Operations for 1991	F-7
F-15	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations and Effect on X2 Position as Allowed under Final Operations Criteria for 1992	F-7
F-16	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations with CVP and SWP Project Operations for 1992	F-7
F-17	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations and Effect on X2 Position as Allowed under Final Operations Criteria for 1993	F-7
F-18	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations with CVP and SWP Project Operations for 1993	F-7
F-19	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations and Effect on X2 Position as Allowed under Final Operations Criteria for 1994	F-7
F-20	Daily Simulated Delta Wetlands Operations with CVP and SWP Project Operations for 1994	F-7
G-1	DOC and Cl ⁻ Compared to EC Values in Monthly Bacon and Bouldin Island Drainage Samples	G-20
G-2	DOC and Cl ⁻ Compared to EC Values in Monthly Holland Tract and Webb Tract Drainage Samples	G-20
G-3a	DOC and Cl ⁻ Compared to EC Values in 1994-1998 Twitchell Island Drainage Samples	G-20
G-3b	Measured Twitchell Island Drainage and DOC Loading in 1995	G-20
G-4	Comparison of Simulated EC and Historical EC Measurements at Chipps Island, Emmaton, and Jersey Point	G-20
G-5	Comparison of Simulated Delta Drainage EC Values with MWQI Drainage EC Measurements	G-20
G-6	Comparison of Simulated Export Cl ⁻ Concentration with Historical CCWD Rock Slough Cl ⁻ Values	G-20
G-7	Comparison of Simulated Export EC Values with Historical MWQI Export EC Values	G-20
G-8	Comparison of Simulated Delta Drainage DOC Concentration with MWQI Drainage DOC Measurements	G-20

G-9 Comparison of Simulated Export DOC Concentration with Historical MWQI
Export DOC Values G-20

G-10a Measured Source Water DOC and Treated Water THM Concentration,
Penitencia Water Treatment Plant (SCVWD) G-20

G-10b Measured Source Water Br⁻ and Treated Water THM Concentration,
Penitencia Water Treatment Plant (SCVWD) G-20

G-11a Measured Source Water TOC and Treated Water Bromate Concentration G-20

G-11b Measured Source Water Br⁻ and Treated Water Bromate Concentration G-20

List of Acronyms

1995 DEIR/EIS	Delta Wetlands Project Draft Environmental Impact Report and Environmental Impact Statement
$\mu\text{g/l}$	micrograms per liter
μS	microsiemens
$\mu\text{S/cm}$	microsiemens per centimeter
AB	Assembly Bill
af	acre-foot
AFRP	Anadromous Fish Restoration Program
Bay-Delta	San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta
Bcf	billion cubic feet
Bcf/day	billion cubic feet per day
Br^-	bromide
CaCO_3	calcium carbonate
CALFED	CALFED Bay-Delta Program
CCWD	Contra Costa Water District
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
cfs	cubic feet per second
CHBr_3	bromoform
CHClBr_2	dibromochloromethane
CHCl_2Br	dichlorobromomethane
CHCl_3	chloroform
Cl^-	chloride
Cl_2	chlorine
CO_2	carbon dioxide
COA	Coordinated Operations Agreement
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
C-THM	carbon portion, or carbon equivalent, of total trihalomethane concentration
CUWA	California Urban Water Agencies
CVP	Central Valley Project
CVPIA	Central Valley Project Improvement Act
DailySOS	Daily Standards and Operations Simulation Model
DBP	disinfection byproduct
DCC	Delta Cross Channel
Delta	Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta
DeltaDWQ	Delta Drainage Water Quality model
DeltaSOQ	Delta Standards, Operations, and Quality model
DeltaSOS	Delta Standards and Operations Simulation model
DFG	California Department of Fish and Game

DMC	Delta-Mendota Canal
DOC	dissolved organic carbon
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
DSOD	Division of Safety of Dams
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
EBMUD	East Bay Municipal Utility District
EC	electrical conductivity
EIR	environmental impact report
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESU	Evolutionarily Significant Unit
ET	evapotranspiration
FEIR/EIS	final environmental impact report/environmental impact statement
FMWT	fall midwater trawl
FOC	final operations criteria
fps	feet per second
FS	factor of safety for slope stability
g	gram
GAC	granular activated carbon
g/m ²	grams per square meter
g/m ² /month	grams per square meter per month
g/m ² /yr	grams per square meter per year
g/m ³	grams per cubic meter
gpd	gallons per day
gpm	gallons per minute
HMP	habitat management plan
IEP	Interagency Ecological Program
ISI	Integrated Storage Investigation
kg	kilogram
kg/month	kilograms per month
km	kilometer
kwh	kilowatt-hour
lbs/ac/yr	pounds per acre per year
m	meter
m ²	square meter
MAF	million acre-feet
MAF/yr	million acre-feet per year
MCL	maximum contaminant level
mg/l	milligrams per liter
m/inch	meters per inch
mS/cm	millisiemens per centimeter
MWD	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
MWQI	Municipal Water Quality Investigations
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
nm	nanometer
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service

O ₃	ozone
OCAP	Delta Wetlands Operating Criteria and Plan
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric Company
PL	Public Law
POC	particulate organic matter
PP	pumping plant
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
ppt	parts per thousand
psi	pounds per square inch
Reclamation	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
REIR/REIS	revised draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement
RPM	reasonable and prudent measure
SB	Senate Bill
SCVWD	Santa Clara Valley Water District
SDS	Simulated Disinfection System
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SMARTS	Special Multipurpose Applied Research Technology Station
SUVA	specific ultraviolet absorbance
SWP	State Water Project
SWRCB	California State Water Resources Control Board
TAF	thousand acre-feet
TAF/yr	thousand acre-feet per year
TDS	total dissolved solids
TFPC	total formation potential carbon
THM	trihalomethane
THMFP	THM formation potential
TOC	total organic carbon
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
URSGWC	URS Greiner Woodward Clyde
UVA	ultraviolet absorbance
VAMP	Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan
WQCP	Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary
WTP	water treatment plant

XX

C - 0 6 2 6 1 5

C-062615