

**DRAFT**  
**Additional major issues and questions for EIS/ART**  
**EPA, 6/11/98**

1. What additional work will be done to refine and correct evaluation of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (reference to the type of evaluation appearing in the Interim Phase II Report).

Findings summarized in Table 6.1-1 suggest that we don't have enough information regarding water supply and water quality impacts (beneficial, adverse) of Alternatives 1, 2, or 3 to select one (assuming these are significant decision criteria).

2. Has the EIS adequately considered "without project" (future, no action) trends which could significantly affect resources of concern? (Examples would be stressors to ecosystem, trends in agricultural production and land use. Our preliminary conclusion is that assessment may not be adequate.)

3. We would like to reiterate administrative draft comments regarding 'scenario' analysis of impacts of water supply changes on regions and sectors. Improving treatment of this topic should be one task of economic analysis effort. (Ref. to sections of Chapters 6 and 8 of EIS.)

4. As noted briefly in our issues matrix, we would like to work with CALFED staff on a revision to discussion of drinking water issues and impacts.

5. Substantial review and revision of the analysis of costs and impacts (beneficial, adverse) of water use efficiency measures will be needed, both for impact disclosure and for the economic analysis. (Follow-up discussion of this subject should reference recent comments on draft Bulletin 160-98 as well as comments on the CALFED draft documents.)

file word:epaeis1