

Watershed Management Matrix
7/13/98

	Watershed Management Issues	When				Type		Workplan Efforts	Agency Participants
		Pref. Alt.	Final	Phase III	Elevate	Decision	Process		
1.	(EPA, USFS) the WSM needs to better define what constitutes nexus between the upper watershed areas (above dams) and the CALFED Program. (New)		✓			✓	✓	CALFED and various watershed workgroups be given the task of defining the link between the upper watershed areas and the objectives of the CALFED Program. (See #2 Agency Revision Team Report 6/25/98)	John Lowrie, NRCS Russ Henley, CDF Julie Tupper, USFS EPA?
2.	Should CALFED make a special effort to preserve key watersheds. (New)	✓	✓	✓			✓		
3.	There is concern that the Program's draft watershed management strategy is not adequately developed and does not define clear goals and objectives for CALFED. (#1 on 6/2 Matrix, #2 on 7/1 Matrix)	✓					✓	Since March, 1998 there have been two stakeholder meetings, one BDAC meeting and 5 Interagency Watershed Advisory Team meetings to obtain input to help refine goals and objectives for the Watershed Management Strategy. Additional Interagency Watershed Advisory Team meetings and BDAC Work Group meetings are planned to obtain further input. The Work Group will help produce written products providing the detail for the revised PEIS/R. A refined Watershed Management Strategy is planned. Editorial assistance is needed.	IWAT/BDAC John Lowrie, NRCS Julie Tupper, USFS Joe Karkowski, EPA Russ Henley, CDF Dennis Herman, CVRWQCB Anthony Saracino, Res.Ag. Jerry Bruns, CVRWQCB Larry Eng, DFG Bill Bennett, DWR

4.	Watershed management efforts must emphasize partnerships among the public, local watershed organizations, and governments at all levels. A coordination framework is needed to integrate with ongoing efforts among agencies and watershed organizations. (#2 on 6/2 Matrix, #3 on 7/1 Matrix)		✓				✓	A preliminary compilation of watershed organizations and funding opportunities has occurred. Significant additional work needs to be conducted to develop a coordination framework.	IWAT/BDAC John Lowrie, NRCS Julie Tupper, USFS Joe Karkowski, EPA Russ Henley, CDF Dennis Herman, CVRWQCB Anthony Saracino, Res.Ag. Jerry Bruns, CVRWQCB Larry Eng, DFG Bill Bennett, DWR
Watershed Management Issues		When				Type		Workplan Efforts	Agency Participants
		Pref. Alt.	Final	Phase III	Elevate	Decision	Process		
5.	There is concern that the program focuses too much on the lower watershed; efforts below and above the major dams must be integrated and there needs to be a long-term commitment to upper watershed investment. (#3 on 6/2 Matrix, #4 on 7/1 Matrix)	✓	✓			✓		This is a policy and technical issue. Upper watershed stakeholder comments are extensive and expectations high. Upper watershed issues will need to be discussed with Policy Group for determination as to the extent of CALFED involvement in the upper watersheds (e.g. fire/fuel management, recycling of biomass, inclusion of Trinity watershed area, etc.). Watershed Management Program has developed a set of preliminary set of <u>general principles</u> which can be used to help determine eligibility.	John Lowrie, NRCS Russ Henley, CDF Julie Tupper, USFS EPA?

6.	The Watershed Management Program's goal is to provide technical assistance, project support and funding. With stakeholder involvement, there needs to be a mechanism identified which will allow for technical assistance, project support and a revenue stream for local implementation efforts. (#4 on 6/2 Matrix, #5 on 7/1 Matrix)		✓				✓	Preliminary objectives to achieve the goal have been identified and are being further refined by the Interagency Watershed Advisory Team. These include objectives for technical assistance, project support and funding. More input is needed from CALFED agencies and stakeholders. Additional support is needed to conduct stakeholder outreach to obtain input at the local level.	IWAT/BDAC John Lowrie, NRCS Julie Tupper, USFS Joe Karkowski, EPA Russ Henley, CDF Dennis Herman, CVRWQCB Anthony Saracino, Res.Ag. Jerry Bruns, CVRWQCB Larry Eng, DFG Bill Bennett, DWR
	Watershed Management Issues	When					Type	Workplan Efforts	Agency Participants
7.	To be consistent with the other Common Programs, there needs to be an implementation framework in advance of the implementation phase. This should address involved agencies, time-lines for implementation, priority watershed projects in terms of solutions to critical problems, adaptive management and assurances to address long-term sustainability of watershed projects. (#5 on 6/2 Matrix, #6 on 7/1 Matrix)	Pref. Alt.	Final	Phase III	Elevate	Decision	Process	Current work products have focused on developing the Strategy. No effort has yet occurred on developing the implementation framework. Although it will be part of the Implementation Plan now being developed.	IWAT/BDAC John Lowrie, NRCS Julie Tupper, USFS Joe Karkowski, EPA Russ Henley, CDF Dennis Herman, CVRWQCB Anthony Saracino, Res.Ag. Jerry Bruns, CVRWQCB Larry Eng, DFG Bill Bennett, DWR

8.	With stakeholder involvement, watershed assessment and monitoring protocols need to be developed to help standardize and quality assure watershed data. This should go into CMARP. (#6 on 6/2 Matrix, #7 on 7/1 Matrix)	✓					✓	It is planned to have a CMARP watershed representative help facilitate the process.	IWAT/BDAC Russ Henley, CDF John Lowrie, NRCS Julie Tupper, USFS Ann Dennis, CMARP Peter Sten, CMARP
9.	The watershed management strategy should be fully integrated with all program elements, especially those addressing water quality and ecosystem restoration. (#7 on /2 Matrix, #8 on 7/1 Matrix)	✓					✓	The focus has been on refining the Watershed Management Strategy and conducting stakeholder outreach.	IWAT/BDAC John Lowrie, NRCS Julie Tupper, USFS Joe Karkowski, EPA Russ Henley, CDF Dennis Herman, CVRWQCB Anthony Saracino, Res.Ag. Jerry Bruns, CVRWQCB Larry Eng, DFG Bill Bennett, DWR