

## TRANSPORTATION

### Significance Criteria

Transportation impacts are considered significant if substantially greater volumes of traffic would be generated than under existing conditions; if local access route traffic volumes would exceed roadway capacity; if construction of new transportation facilities would be needed to serve the facility; and if safety hazards would be created for pedestrians and automobiles. For this analysis construction impacts also are considered significant, since reservoir construction typically occurs over several years.

The quality of traffic service provided by a roadway system can be measured by the relationship of traffic volumes to roadway capacity, in which case substantial reductions to roadway capacity through increased traffic volumes would be considered significant impacts. Another measure of service quality is the Level Of Service (LOS) concept, which assigns a letter to describe driving conditions during peak traffic periods. The letters A through F are used to describe the best to the worst driving conditions, respectively. LOS A indicates free-flow operation and LOS F identifies jammed flow with substantial delay.

For this analysis, impacts are considered potentially significant under the following conditions:

- Project-related activities would likely cause intersections or roadway segments to operate at LOS E or F, or to exceed a more restrictive local standard;
- Rerouting traffic during construction onto surrounding roadways would introduce traffic volumes that exceed roadway capacity, or substantially increase traffic volumes over existing levels;
- Inundation of existing roadways requiring construction of new roadways would result from the proposed project;
- Detours or realignment for inundated roads would be more than five miles from existing routes or roadways;
- Construction of new transportation facilities would be required to support the proposed project; and
- Construction of the facility or traffic-related impacts would occur in close proximity to a designated scenic highway.

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Surface water storage is an element common to each alternative under consideration for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program (Program). This technical report evaluates the potential consequences and mitigation strategies for several surface water storage options that have the potential to contribute to the Program objective of improving water management for beneficial uses of the Bay-Delta system. While specific reservoir projects have not been identified as part of the Program alternatives, specific reservoir storage capacities and component configurations relative to existing project facilities have been proposed. Storage capacity described within the alternatives varies from 1 million acre feet to 3 million acre feet (MAF), while facility configurations vary from on-stream to off-stream storage, with locations both north and south of the delta. Since no actual sites have been selected for inclusion in the alternatives, five example reservoir sites, representative of the general sizes and geographic locations described in the alternatives, were chosen for analysis to evaluate potential impacts from surface water impoundment.

Significance criteria are established to satisfy the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirement to determine the threshold at which impact magnitudes constitute significant impacts. Although the CEQA statutes and guidelines define a significant effect on the environment as a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in the environment, neither CEQA nor the CEQA guidelines establish mandatory thresholds or levels at which an adverse impact is considered significant. Thus CEQA allows the lead agency discretion in the selection, use, and application of significance criteria that are appropriate for the setting and circumstance of each project.

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) does not have the same mandatory finding of significance as does CEQA, but NEPA does discuss how the significance of impacts can be defined in terms of context and intensity. The general nature of the planning and the range of settings and impacts involved with the Program dictate the use of qualitative thresholds of significance at this programmatic stage.

## **2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS**

Specific sites were used to better focus information about potential impacts and mitigation measures. The reader is reminded however, that these sites are presented merely as examples to illustrate the types of impacts and mitigation measures associated with construction, operation and maintenance of storage reservoirs consistent with the requirements for surface storage and facility configurations contained within the alternatives. The inclusion of an example project does not indicate an endorsement of that project by CALFED. Project descriptions are taken from individual Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates prepared by the CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team (CALFED 1997a-1997g).

## **2.1 EXAMPLE 1: SITES/COLUSA RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The Sites/Colusa Reservoir is an example of an off-stream north of Delta storage project that would be filled primarily through diversions of winter and spring surplus flows pumped from the Sacramento River. Minor additional runoff contributions from local drainage are anticipated. This project could provide long-term storage, which would increase the reliability of water supplies during drought conditions. The Tehama-Colusa Canal (T-C Canal) and the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District Canal are the main existing conduits that could be utilized for filling the reservoir. Enlargement and extension of the T-C Canal and construction of an additional new diversion from the Sacramento River into the T-C Canal, the Chico Landing Intertie, are also components of this project. Water would be delivered to the Sites/Colusa reservoir through the enlarged T-C Canal via the proposed Logan Forebay and Pumping Generating Plant.

### **2.1.1 Location**

The Sites/Colusa Reservoir would be located within northern Colusa County and southern Glenn County, about 10 miles west of Maxwell across the drainages of Stone Corral, Hunters, Logan, and Funks Creeks. The area is rural in nature and very sparsely populated. The small community of Sites and a road near Stonyford are within the reservoir inundation area.

### **2.1.2 Project Components**

#### *Reservoir*

The reservoir would be formed by constructing four large dams across the major drainages and several smaller saddle dams along the low divides between drainages. The large dams include a 294-foot high dam on Stone Corral Creek (Sites Dam), a 302-foot high dam on Funks Creek (Golden Gate Dam), a 282-foot high dam spanning Hunters Creek (Hunters Dam), and a 272-foot high dam across Logan Creek (Logan Dam). These dams would be zoned earth embankment types with crest elevations of 541 feet above mean sea level (MSL) and crest widths of 40 feet. Four saddle dams ranging from 71 to 260 feet in height would be required along Logan Ridge and five saddle dams ranging from 11 to 130 feet in height would be required along the northern boundary of the reservoir.

The maximum operating water surface elevation would be at 532 feet above MSL and would inundate approximately 29,600 acres. Total storage capacity for the Sites/Colusa Reservoir would be 3.3 MAF.

## *Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement and Expansion*

### Existing Canal Configuration

The existing canal is 111 miles long, extending from the Red Bluff Diversion Dam on the Sacramento River in the north to Bird Creek in Yolo County in the south. The capacity of the canal at Red Bluff is 2,530 cubic feet per second (csf), diminishing to 1,700 csf at the terminus. Funks Reservoir, at about mile 67 of the canal and about five miles west of the town of Maxwell in Colusa County, is the only regulating facility for the canal. The T-C Canal Enlargement would increase the capacity of the canal from Red Bluff to Funks Reservoir.

The Red Bluff Diversion Dam creates the necessary hydraulic head to divert water from the Sacramento River into the T-C Canal. The Diversion Dam consists of eleven, 60-foot wide concrete overflow weir sections, a 60-foot wide concrete sluiceway, the headworks to the T-C Canal, fishways at both abutments of the dam, and low earth dikes on each abutment.

The T-C Canal Fish Screens and Bypass Facilities allow water to be diverted from the Sacramento River while minimizing harm to fish that may be present. The fish are prevented from entering the canal by slowly rotating drums placed diagonally across a settling basin, then collected into bypass pipes and returned to the center of the Sacramento River downstream of the dam.

Eight individual reaches, identified by major drainage or creek crossings at each end, divide the existing T-C Canal. The T-C Canal Enlargement would involve the northern end of the existing canal and include five of the eight reaches, while the T-C Canal Extension would involve the remaining three reaches south of Funks Reservoir. The extension project also includes extending the T-C Canal from its present terminus into southern Yolo County.

### Proposed Canal Configurations

There are two potential configurations for increasing the capacity of the existing canal. One the existing canal structure could be enlarged; or a parallel canal adjacent to the existing canal could be constructed. Both options would require increasing the capacity of the intake structure from the Red Bluff Diversion Dam with an equal increase in the capacity of the fish screens. Additionally, a 21-mile extension of the canal is part of the project.

### Enlarged Canal Configuration

This option would increase the capacity of the five canal reaches between the Red Bluff Diversion Dam and Funks Reservoir to 5,000 csf by enlarging the existing canal structure. The T-C Canal intake facility would be enlarged to a total capacity of 5,000 csf and the existing fish screening facility would be expanded accordingly. The expansion would require excavation and lining of the existing canal, enlargement of 24 siphons, construction of 58 road crossings, and establishment of one check structure with each reach.

## Parallel Canal Configuration

This option would increase the capacity of the canal to at least 5,000 cfs for all eight reaches. In this configuration a separate canal would be constructed parallel to the existing canal with a capacity of 3,500 cfs. The parallel canal would require an additional 500 feet of right-of-way adjacent to the existing canal. The expanded right-of-way would allow sufficient distance between the canals for construction and maintenance activities. The T-C Canal intake facility and fish screens would be enlarged similarly to that required for the Enlarged Canal Configuration. In addition, a separate intake structure would be constructed for the parallel canal.

## *T-C Canal Extension*

The canal extension from its present terminus at Bird Creek to the proposed Lake Berryessa Winters Pumping-Generating Plant would add approximately 21 miles to the total length of the canal. The extension section would be concrete-lined with a capacity of 5,000 cfs, and would include construction of siphons, check structures, bridges, overchutes, and culverts. The extension would require a new 300-foot right-of-way and new crossings would include Oat Creek, Cache Creek, and Highway 16.

## *Chico Landing Intertie*

The Chico Landing Intertie would connect the Sacramento River south of Hamilton City to the existing T-C Canal just south of Greenwood. The Intertie would provide an alternate means of diverting water from the river to the new reservoir. The Intertie would consist of approximately 10 miles of concrete-lined canals, three pumping plants, and a screened diversion on the Sacramento River. The Chico Landing Intertie has a design capacity of 5,000 cfs.

The diversion facility would be composed of twenty-four, 32-foot bays and two, 24-foot bays with 2, 6-foot by 8-foot slide gates per bay and would include fish screens designed to meet the California Department of Fish and Game velocity limits.

All of the canal reaches would have the following common dimensions: concrete-lined trapezoidal sections with 1.5:1 side slopes and a bottom width of 60 feet. The canal would be constructed in both cut and fill. The proposed canal alignment would cross several existing facilities. It would cross the Glenn-Colusa Canal and the Southern Pacific Railroad in inverted siphons. The alignment also would include nine irrigation ditch crossings and nine county road crossings. The required right-of-way width is 350 feet.

## *Logan Conveyance System*

The proposed conveyance system from the T-C Canal to the Sites/Colusa Reservoir would be located approximately four miles south of Willows and nine miles north of the existing Funks Reservoir. The system would include the following features:

Logan Forebay, a 400 acre feet impoundment formed by a low earth dam on Logan Creek immediately west of the T-C Canal;  
Logan Pumping-Generating Plant, located at the base of Logan Dam, which would lift water a maximum of 322 feet into the Sites/Colusa Reservoir; and  
Logan Canal, a 5,000 cfs capacity, 1.7 mile long canal connecting Logan Forebay to the Logan Pumping-Generating Plant.

The Logan Pumping-Generating Plant would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs and would serve both inflow and outflow requirements for the Sites/Colusa Reservoir. An open chute-type spillway with an uncontrolled crest (ungated) with a capacity of 2,500 cfs would discharge from the reservoir into Hunters Creek. The small spillway would be adequate to handle the maximum probable project flood because of the large water surface area compared to the small, relatively dry tributary drainage area.

Outlet works facilities for Sites/Colusa Reservoir would include outlets at Logan Dam and Golden Gate Dam. The outlet at Logan Dam would contain the penstock for the Logan Pumping-Generating Plant and would be used both to fill Sites/Colusa Reservoir and to make releases into Logan Forebay. The outlet at Golden Gate Dam would be used only to help during an emergency evacuation. Department of Water and Power (DWR), Division of Safety and Dams requires that during an emergency evacuation, 10 percent of the maximum water depth must be released in 10 days. This equates to an estimated release capacity of 44,000 cfs or 22,000 cfs at each outlet works facility.

## **2.2 EXAMPLE 2: THOMES-NEWVILLE RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project, an example of an off-stream north of Delta storage reservoir, would function as storage for available flows from Thomes Creek, North Fork Stony Creek, and Stony Creek, as well as for surplus flows from the Sacramento River. This project could increase the water supply opportunities and contribute to the reliability of water supplies during drought conditions. Facilities associated with the project include Newville and Tehenn Reservoirs located on North Fork Stony Creek, a diversion facility from Thomes Creek to Newville Reservoir, a two-way conveyance facility from Tehenn Reservoir to the existing Black Butte Reservoir on the mainstem of Stony Creek, and a two-way conveyance canal facility from the Tehama-Colusa Canal to Black Butte Reservoir. The Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project would have a storage capacity of 3.08 MAF.

There would be four water sources for the Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project. Flows from the North Fork Stony Creek would discharge directly into the proposed reservoir. Thomes Creek flows would be diverted from Thomes Creek and conveyed to the reservoir by a gravity canal. Mainstem Stony Creek flows would be conveyed from Black Butte Reservoir to Newville Reservoir via Tehenn Canal, Tehenn Pumping-Generating Plant, Tehenn Reservoir, and Newville Pumping-Generating Plant. Sacramento River flows would be diverted into the Tehama-Colusa Canal and conveyed into Black Butte Reservoir via Sour Grass Canal and Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plant. From Black Butte Reservoir, the Sacramento River water would be conveyed to Newville Reservoir via the Tehenn Canal and

Reservoir.

### **2.2.1 Location**

The Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project would be located approximately 25 miles west of Orland on the North Fork of Stony Creek in Glenn County. Three storage facilities, built between 1909 and 1970 as part of the Orland Project, are located on Stony Creek. The East Park Reservoir was constructed in 1909 in the upper watershed followed by Stony Gorge Reservoir, constructed in 1928, and Black Butte Reservoir further downstream, completed in 1970. The Black Butte Reservoir now serves as the main regulating facility for the distribution system of the Orland Project. The Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project would be located approximately 10 miles upstream of the Black Butte Dam.

The area is sparsely populated with relatively few structures. Approximately eight miles of public roads exist within the inundation area of Newville Reservoir. The Paskenta-Round Valley Road, a paved two-lane county road, passes through the north end of the reservoir and another county road crosses northwestward through the reservoir from the dam site to Paskenta-Round Valley Road. These roads would be relocated and upgraded to current county standards. Total length of new road construction would be approximately 10 miles.

### **2.2.2 Project Components**

#### *Reservoir*

The Newville Reservoir would have a storage capacity of 3.08 MAF and would be impounded by one main dam (Newville Dam) across North Fork Stony Creek and 10 saddle dams located on Rocky Ridge on the eastern and northern boundaries of the reservoir. The main dam would be an earthfill embankment structure, rising 400 feet above the existing streambed to an elevation of 1,000 feet above MSL. The dam crest length would be approximately 3,200 feet. The proposed reservoir would have a normal pool elevation of 980 feet above MSL and a surface area of 16,700 acres.

#### *Spillway and Inlet-Outlet Works*

The spillway would have a maximum capacity of 35,700 cfs and would be located 200 feet west of the right dam abutment. The spillway would consist of two submerged radial gates in a rectangular reinforced concrete-lined channel. The gates would be 20 feet wide by 30 feet high. The sill of the gates would be at an elevation of 930 feet above MSL. The emergency spillway, with a capacity of 8,000 cfs, would consist of two 20-foot long uncontrolled weirs, each at an elevation of 985 feet above MSL. The gated spillway and the emergency spillway would discharge into a common concrete lined tailrace and stilling basin.

The inlet-outlet works for the Newville Reservoir would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs to convey water pumped into the reservoir and to facilitate releases from the reservoir. The primary features of the inlet-outlet works would be a 2,100-foot long tunnel through the right

abutment of the dam and a sloping intake conduit with nine evenly spaced levels of inlets between the minimum and normal pool elevations. The emergency release requirement of the proposed reservoir would be 32,000 cfs. This release would be made through the gated spillway and the inlet-outlet works of the dam.

#### *Newville Pumping-Generating Plant*

The plant would be located at the toe of the Newville Dam to lift water from Tehenn Reservoir into Newville Reservoir and to generate power from releases from Newville Reservoir into Tehenn Reservoir. The plant would have a total capacity of 5,000 cfs.

#### *Thomes Creek Diversion Structure and Canal*

The diversion structure would be located in Thomes Creek, approximately nine miles upstream of the town of Paskenta and would consist of a conventional concrete gravity dam. The dam crest would be about 90 feet above the existing streambed at an elevation of 1,050 feet above MSL. A 500-foot wide overflow section with a crest elevation of 1,035 feet above MSL would be located on the left abutment. Two additional 20-foot wide and 50-foot high radial gates located in the right abutment could pass up to 41,000 cfs. The sill of the gates would be located 25 feet above the original streambed. These gates would be opened to allow flood flows to pass and flush accumulated sediment out of the diversion pool. During most of the winter, the gates would be closed so water could be diverted to Newville Reservoir.

A concrete-lined canal would convey water 13,000 feet from Thomes Creek to Newville Reservoir. The canal would be 30 feet wide and 16.5 feet deep with a capacity of 10,000 cfs.

#### *Tehenn Reservoir*

Tehenn Reservoir would be formed by constructing Tehenn Dam immediately downstream of Newville Dam across the North Fork Stony Creek. The reservoir would back water to the Newville Pumping-Generating Plant located at the base of Newville Dam, where the pumping-generating plant would lift the water into Newville Reservoir. Tehenn Dam would rise 112 feet above the original streambed and would have a crest length of 2,500 feet. Tehenn Reservoir would be capable of storing 32,500 acre feet at normal pool elevation of 610 feet above MSL.

The spillway for Tehenn Reservoir would be a concrete-lined ungated chute-type on the left abutment of the dam with a capacity of 50,000 cfs. The chute would extend 1,300 feet, ending in a concrete stilling basin. The spillway crest length would be 250 feet. The inlet-outlet works for Tehenn Dam would consist of a steel-lined concrete conduit under the left abutment with a capacity of 5,000 cfs.

### *Tehenn Pumping-Generating Plant*

The Tehenn Pumping-Generating Plant would lift water from Black Butte Reservoir and the Tehenn Canal into Tehenn Reservoir and also would generate power by releasing water from Tehenn Reservoir to Black Butte Reservoir. The plant would have a total capacity of 5,000 cfs.

### *Tehenn Canal*

Tehenn Canal would deliver a maximum flow of 5,000 cfs in either direction between Black Butte Reservoir and Tehenn Pumping-Generating Plant. It would be approximately five miles long, roughly following the natural channel of North Fork Stony Creek and would require a maximum cut of 120 feet.

### *Black Butte Pumping-Generating Plant*

The Black Butte Pumping-Generating Plant would lift water from the Black Butte Canal into Black Butte Reservoir and would generate power from releases from Black Butte Reservoir to the Black Butte Canal. The plant would be located just downstream of the existing Black Butte Dam and would be connected to the dam inlet-outlet works by a new 1,800-foot tunnel. The pumping-generating plant would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs.

### *Black Butte Canal*

The Black Butte Canal would be a two-way conveyance facility connecting the Black Butte Pumping-Generating Plant and Black Butte Reservoir with the Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plant. The concrete-lined canal would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs and would be approximately 4.5 miles long between the Black Butte and Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plants. Near Black Butte, the canal would require a maximum cut of approximately 190 feet.

### *Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plant*

The Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plant would lift flow into the Black Butte Canal during pumping operations and would generate power during release operations from Black Butte Reservoir. The pumping-generating plant would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs. Releases made through this plant and the Black Butte Pumping-Generating Plant would be used to supply supplemental water from storage in Newville Reservoir for use in the Tehama-Colusa Canal.

### *Sour Grass Canal*

The Sour Grass Canal would convey water in either direction between the Tehama-Colusa Canal and the Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plant. The concrete-lined canal would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs and a total length of approximately 4.5 miles, generally following the alignment of Sour Grass Creek.

## **2.3 EXAMPLE 3: WEST SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The West San Joaquin Valley (WSJV) Reservoir Project is an example of an off-stream storage project south of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. This reservoir would be adjacent to the existing San Luis Reservoir and would be connected with the California Aqueduct so that excess Delta flows could be conveyed to and stored within the reservoir. The primary purpose of the WSJV Reservoir would be to reduce the frequency and magnitude of water shortages for water users dependent on the Delta by increasing the reliability of available supplies. This type of additional off-stream storage in association with the California Aqueduct could increase the water supply reliability of the State Water Project (SWP) and the Central Valley Project (CVP). The additional storage provided also could add flexibility to the SWP and CVP delivery systems and permit shifting Delta diversions toward months with fewer Delta impacts.

The project would consist of a storage reservoir, pumping-generating plants, and conveyance canals. The existing Los Banos Reservoir would be modified for use as a regulating facility for the WSJV Reservoir. The existing Los Banos Retention Dam, originally constructed to protect the California Aqueduct from flood flows carried by Los Banos Creek, would be improved to accommodate the proposed pumped-storage operations of the WSJV Reservoir. The project would store available flows diverted from the Delta at the SWP's Banks Pumping Plant and, possibly, the CVP's Tracy Pumping Plant. Water diverted from the delta would be conveyed to the existing Los Banos Reservoir through SWP's California Aqueduct or CVP's Delta Mendota Canal, then pumped to the WSJV Reservoir for storage. Water stored in the WSJV Reservoir would be released into Los Banos Reservoir and the California Aqueduct through a series of pumping-generating facilities. The new reservoir would operate similarly to the San Luis Reservoir facilities.

### **2.3.1 Location**

The WSJV Reservoir Project would be located in Merced County approximately six miles west of the California Aqueduct and 80 miles south of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. The main dam would be constructed in a narrow canyon on Los Banos Creek. The Los Banos Valley, extending several miles upstream of the dam site, would form the reservoir inundation area. The area is rural in nature with scattered ranches. The existing San Luis and Los Banos Reservoirs are located immediately downstream of the project site

Construction of the WSJV Reservoir Project would require the relocation or reconstruction of 12.5 miles of roads and the construction of approximately 20 miles of new roads for

recreation and facility access. Additional relocations include approximately 50 residences, a 500kV transmission line, two crude oil pipelines, and a natural gas pipeline.

### **2.3.2 Project Components**

#### *Reservoir*

The reservoir would be formed by the construction of a zoned earthfill dam, rising 436 feet above the streambed of Los Banos Creek. The crest of the dam, at 806 feet above MSL, would be 40 feet wide and 2,160 feet long. At normal pool, the surface elevation of the reservoir would be at 786 feet above MSL and would have a surface area of approximately 13,810 acres. Total storage capacity for the WSJV Reservoir would be 2.03 MAF.

Several saddle dams would be required to achieve the proposed storage capacity. Salt Creek Saddle Dam would be located about 2.5 miles southeast of the primary dam site. This dam would be a rolled earthfill embankment dam with a crest width of 40 feet, length of 4,500 feet and height of 253 feet. A 36-inch diameter steel outlet conduit would be placed along the bed of Salt Creek to divert the stream during construction and for stream releases during normal reservoir operations.

Harper Lane and San Carlos Saddle Dams would be located at the northwest and southeast corners of the reservoir, respectively. The Harper Lane Saddle Dam would be a zoned earthfill dam with a crest height of 78 feet and a length of 900 feet. Billie Wright Road would be relocated along the 40-foot wide dam crest. San Carlos Saddle Dam would be a zoned earthfill embankment structure with a crest height of 81 feet and a length of 650 feet. A 600-foot long, 20-foot high dike would be required at a saddle location approximately 900 feet to the west. These two sections would be joined as one continuous embankment with a total length of 1,250 feet.

#### *Spillway and Inlet-Outlet Works*

Both the spillway and the emergency outlet works would be located on the left abutment of the dam. The spillway inlet would be an ungated, 30-foot diameter spillway tunnel extending approximately 14,480 feet to a concrete-lined open chute section that would extend about 340 feet to a stilling basin. The inlet-outlet works for the project would be designed to transfer up to 4,650 cfs between WSJV Reservoir and the pumping-generating plant during generating operations, and up to 3,500 cfs during pumping operations. This facility also would have the capacity to release 16,000 cfs during emergency drawdown. The main features of the inlet-outlet works would be a free-standing intake tower with an overall height of 308 feet, a concrete-lined pressure tunnel with a full-length steel liner, and the pumping-generating plant penstocks.

The emergency outlet works would be designed to evacuate 10 percent of the maximum reservoir depth in 10 days for a peak drawdown capacity of 26,000 cfs. This flow would be passed through the emergency outlet portion of the spillway, with a capacity of 10,000 cfs

and through two bypasses in the inlet-outlet works with a combined capacity of 16,000 cfs.

### *Los Banos Detention Dam and Reservoir*

The existing detention dam is a zoned earthfill embankment with a height of 167 feet and a crest length of 1,370 feet. Several modifications would be required to facilitate the proposed pumped-storage operation for WSJV Reservoir. The existing upstream shell of the Los Banos Detention Dam has insufficient permeability to be free-draining under drawdown rates anticipated for the proposed pumping-storage option. Replacement of the existing shell material with more pervious material would be necessary. Additionally, the existing spillway would be supplemented with a new spillway located on the right abutment of the Los Banos Detention Dam. Maximum release capacity would be 17,600 cfs and would be sized to meet the maximum discharge resulting from an emergency drawdown of WSJV Reservoir. Construction of new inlet-outlet works also are anticipated.

### *Conveyance Facilities*

Two conveyance channels, capable of transferring water in either direction, would be required to move water from the California Aqueduct to the WSJV Reservoir. Both channels would be capable of carrying 3,500 cfs in pumping mode. In generating mode, Channel 1 would be capable of carrying 4,650 cfs while Channel 2 would carry 5,800 cfs.

Channel 1, located between the Los Banos Detention Dam and California Aqueduct, would be concrete-lined and approximately one mile in length. Primary features would include an outlet culvert at Los Banos Creek, an emergency drawdown channel, confluence facility, turnout structure for the aqueduct, a bridge crossing for both Interstate 5 and Canyon Creek, and various animal crossings. The freeway bridge would be 100 feet wide and 240 feet long. Channel 2, between the WSJV Reservoir and the Los Banos Reservoir, would be unlined and approximately 1.4 miles long.

### *Pumping-Generating Plants*

Two pumping-generating plants would be constructed as part of this project. Plant 1 would convey water from the California Aqueduct to the Los Banos Reservoir. Maximum plant power requirements in pumping mode would be about 54 megawatts (MW) with a maximum flow of 4,500 cfs. The maximum plant generation would be about 50 MW with a maximum flow of 5,800 cfs.

Plant 2 would lift water from the Los Banos Reservoir to the WSJV Reservoir and would recover energy during WSJV Reservoir releases. The reversible units in this facility would require a maximum of 174 MW in pumping mode with a maximum flow of 4,500 cfs. Maximum plant generation would be 167 MW with a maximum flow of 5,800 cfs.

## **2.4 EXAMPLE 4: MONTGOMERY RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The Montgomery Reservoir is an example of an off-stream south of Delta storage project in the San Joaquin Valley that would be used to store and reregulate available water from Lake McClure and/or surplus flows on the Merced River and flood control on Dry Creek. The Montgomery Reservoir could help develop conservation storage in the San Joaquin Valley, which could potentially develop additional water supplies for agricultural and environmental uses on the San Joaquin River.

The project would include a storage reservoir and dam, a pumping plant, a two-way conveyance canal, and a discharge pipeline. The project would store available excess flows diverted from the Merced River. Water diverted would be conveyed through an expansion of the existing North Side Canal. The canal would be modified from a one-way to a two-way canal to facilitate conveyance to and from Montgomery Reservoir. This two-way conveyance facility from Merced Falls Reservoir to Montgomery Reservoir would convey up to 2,000 cfs by gravity to Montgomery Reservoir from October through March and about 1,000 cfs to Merced Falls Reservoir from April through September. Montgomery Reservoir potentially could contribute to the regulation of flows from the American, Sacramento, and Stanislaus Rivers and provide an additional source to serve local demands.

### **2.4.1 Location**

The Montgomery Reservoir would be located in northeastern Merced County approximately 60 miles southeast of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. The project dam would be located on Dry Creek approximately 16 miles above the confluence with the Merced River near the town of Snelling. The dam site is within the Merced Irrigation District (MID) service area. Through operation of the New Exchequer Dam, MID supplies approximately 570,000 acre-feet of water per year for municipal and agricultural uses. The project area is rural in nature and sparsely populated. Relocation would be required for County Road 59J, a telephone line, and approximately 4.5 miles of additional roads, including portions of Olsen Road and Fields Road that would be inundated.

### **2.4.2 Project Components**

#### *Existing Facilities*

Montgomery Reservoir would be located about 10 miles west of New Exchequer Dam. Owned and operated by MID, New Exchequer Dam is located on the Merced River and impounds Lake McClure. Approximately eight miles downstream of New Exchequer Dam is McSwain Dam and roughly one mile further downstream from McSwain Dam is the Merced Falls Diversion Dam. MID uses Merced Falls Diversion Dam to divert water into the North Side Canal. Snelling Dam is located about three miles downstream of Merced Falls Diversion Dam and is used by MID to divert water into the Main Canal, serving areas south of the Merced River.

### *Montgomery Reservoir*

Montgomery Reservoir would be formed by constructing a zoned earthfill dam with a height of 101 feet above the original streambed of Dry Creek. The dam crest would be 30 feet wide at an elevation of 336 feet above MSL. The reservoir would have a surface water elevation of 325 feet above MSL and a surface area of approximately 8,050 acres. Total storage capacity would be 240,000 acre-feet. Depending on reservoir configuration, the project could inundate up to 8,100 acres. According to the 1961 Reclamation feasibility-level design, eight saddle dams of various lengths and heights also would be required; further details regarding these dams were not included in the feasibility design.

### *Spillway, Pumping Plant, and Outlet Works*

The spillway would be a glory hole-type with an inlet elevation of 329 feet above MSL and an outlet elevation of 310 feet above MSL. The spillway maximum design capacity is 1,000 cfs and would be located on the left side of the main embankment dam, draining into an unnamed tributary of Dry Creek. A pumping plant would be required on the discharge pipeline to pump water from Montgomery Reservoir to the North Side Canal. The capacity of this pumping plant would be 1,000 cfs.

The Outlet Works would be located near the center of the dam and would discharge water into Dry Creek with a maximum outlet capacity of 5,200 cfs at an elevation of 237 feet above MSL. The maximum outlet capacity is capable of releasing the emergency evacuation volume of approximately 3,650 cfs as defined by DWR, Division of Safety of Dams.

### *Conveyance Facilities*

The existing North Side Canal would be expanded from a one-way gravity canal to a two-way canal to deliver water to the proposed reservoir. The total length of the expansion would be approximately 30,000 feet from the Merced Falls Diversion Dam to the outlet at the proposed reservoir. A new discharge pipeline with 1,000 cfs capacity would be constructed from the pumping plant at the base of the embankment dam, extending approximately 15,000 feet to the North Side Canal. The discharge pipeline would deliver water from the proposed reservoir back to the North Side Canal. Water delivered to the North Side Canal could flow in either direction from the connection point with the pipeline.

The Main Canal Pipeline would be constructed to connect the North Side Canal with the Main Canal. This pipeline would be approximately 4,000 feet long and cross beneath the Merced River. The Main Canal Pipeline would facilitate delivery of Montgomery Reservoir water to MID users south of the Merced River, thereby reducing diversions from the Merced River to the Main Canal at Snelling Diversion Dam. For the purposes of this report, an adequate right of way width, sufficient for construction and maintenance of all canals and pipelines, was determined to be 300 feet.

## **2.5 EXAMPLE 5: LOS VAQUEROS RESERVOIR ENLARGEMENT PROJECT**

The Los Vaqueros Reservoir Enlargement Project is an example of a modification to an existing off-stream south of Delta facility to accommodate increased storage. The Los Vaqueros Reservoir is currently under construction by Contra Costa Water District for water quality and emergency storage purposes. The Enlargement Project would increase storage capacity from 100,000 acre feet to 1.06 MAF. The Los Vaqueros Dam, currently being constructed, would be removed to build a larger earthfill dam. In addition to the larger dam, the project facilities would include the Kellogg Forebay, pumping-generating plants, and conveyance facilities.

A larger capacity would enable storage of excess Delta flow pumped at Banks Pumping Plant. The stored water would be released for needs in the California Aqueduct and to offset Delta diversions during environmentally critical periods. Enlargement of this off-stream storage facility could increase water supply reliability of the SWP and CVP and could increase flexibility of Delta export operations for both projects.

Available Delta flows would be pumped from Clifton Court Forebay, first to Kellogg Forebay, and then into the enlarged reservoir via the Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant. Storage releases also would generate energy at the Plant. The Tuway Canal would convey water in either direction between Kellogg Forebay and the California Aqueduct.

### **2.5.1 Project Location**

The Los Vaqueros Reservoir Enlargement Project would be located in Contra Costa County on the eastern slope of the Coast Range. The current construction site is located about 11 miles south of Antioch and seven miles northwest of the Clifton Court Forebay. The total project lands to be acquired would be approximately 7,000 acres.

### **2.5.2 Project Components**

#### *Reservoir*

The enlarged Los Vaqueros Reservoir would be formed by removal of the dam currently under construction and replacement with a main dam built across Kellogg Creek. Construction also would include four saddle dams. The reservoir would have a water surface elevation of 780 feet above MSL, a surface area of 4,830 acres, and a storage capacity of 1.065 MAF. The main dam would be a zoned earth embankment structure with a crest elevation of 800 feet above MSL, a height of 505 feet above the streambed, and a crest length of 2,700 feet.

### *Spillway and Inlet-Outlet Works*

The enlarged Los Vaqueros Reservoir and Dam would have a 2,200-foot long concrete-lined, chute-type spillway structure located on the right abutment. The inlet-outlet works would have three functions – to enable rapid release of reservoir storage during emergencies; provide a choice of reservoir depths during normal operational releases; and provide a means to pump water into the reservoir. It would consist of three concrete- and steel-lined pressure tunnels of varying levels with a normal operating capacity of 5,000 cfs and an emergency capacity of 11,800 cfs to meet the release requirements of DWR’s Division of Safety and Dams. Both the spillway and the river outlet works facilities could safely pass the maximum probable flood flow.

### *Kellogg Forebay*

Kellogg Forebay would serve as a transfer facility between the Kellogg Pumping Plant and the Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant. It would be formed by a dam on Kellogg Creek and one saddle dam. The main dam, located approximately 1.5 miles south of Camino Diablo Road and approximately three miles downstream of the Los Vaqueros Dam, would be a zoned earthen embankment. The dam would be 90 feet in height with a crest elevation of 260 feet above MSL. The Kellogg Forebay would have a normal water surface elevation of 244 feet above MSL, a surface area of 124 acres, and a storage capacity of 4,270 acre feet.

In addition, the main Kellogg Forebay Dam would have a 340-foot long concrete-lined, chute-type spillway structure located on the right abutment. The outlet works would have a maximum release capacity of 45 cfs designed to meet the emergency release requirements of DWR’s Division of Safety and Dam. Both the spillway and the outlet works could safely pass the maximum probable flood flow.

### *Conveyance Facilities*

The conveyance facilities would consist of the Los Vaqueros Pipeline, the Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant, the Tuway Canal, a widened North San Joaquin Intake Channel, the Kellogg Pumping Plant, and the Kellogg Pumping Plant Discharge Facility.

The Los Vaqueros Pipeline would be located between the Los Vaqueros Reservoir and the Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant. This 5,000 cfs capacity pipeline would consist of nine 11,000-foot long, 144-inch diameter pipes and would convey water to and from the enlarged reservoir. The 5,000 cfs capacity Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant would be located at Kellogg Forebay. The Plant would lift water from the forebay to Los Vaqueros Reservoir through the Los Vaqueros Pipeline. The pumping plant also would generate power from storage releases from Los Vaqueros Reservoir to Kellogg Forebay.

Tuway Canal would connect Kellogg Forebay to the California Aqueduct. It would convey water pumped by the existing Harvey O. Banks Delta Pumping Plant or by the proposed Kellogg Pumping Plant to Kellogg Forebay. The canal would be a 4.5 mile long concrete-

lined structure and have a capacity to carry 5,000 cfs in either direction. Tuway Canal would have a top width of 135 feet, a bottom width of 60 feet, and a depth of 25 feet from the normal operating water surface level. The canal would include a 2,900-foot long siphon structure consisting of six, 23-foot by 23-foot concrete boxes. The canal right-of-way would consist of 410 acres.

The North San Joaquin Intake Channel conveys water from Clifton Court Forebay to Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant. The intake channel would be widened to increase its capacity from 10,900 cfs to 15,900 cfs. The 2-mile long channel would have a top width of 304 feet, a bottom width of 120 feet, and a depth of 46 feet from the normal operating water surface elevation.

The Kellogg Pumping Plant would be located near the top of the North San Joaquin Intake Channel on the north side of Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant. The pumping plant would lift water from the enlarged intake channel into the Tuway Canal. The plant would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs. The Kellogg Pumping Plant Discharge Facility would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs and would consist of nine 3,200-foot long, 144-inch diameter pipelines, a 1,000-foot long canal, and three, 25-foot by 55-foot radial gates.

During reservoir filling operations, the Kellogg Pumping Plant would pump water from the North San Joaquin Intake Channel leading to Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant, into the Tuway Canal. Tuway Canal, which can convey flows in either direction, would transport the pumped Delta water to Kellogg Forebay. From the forebay, water would be pumped through the Los Vaqueros Pipeline into the enlarged Los Vaqueros Reservoir.

Water released from the enlarged Los Vaqueros Reservoir would pass through the Los Vaqueros Pipeline, through the turbines of the Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant and into Kellogg Forebay. The enlarged reservoir also would have a connection to Contra Costa Water District's existing pipeline. Kellogg Forebay water would be released to the Tuway Canal and flow by gravity to the California Aqueduct.

### **3.0 TRANSPORTATION**

#### **3.1 IMPACTS COMMON TO ALL EXAMPLE SITES**

##### **3.1.1 Construction-related Impacts**

## Reservoir

### *Construction Traffic-induced Delays*

The reservoir project would generate additional vehicular traffic on roadways serving the project site during the multi-year construction period. Construction-related traffic would include equipment and supply deliveries, concrete trucks, service vehicles, and construction worker transportation. Increased construction traffic would cause some delays but would probably not preclude the use of county roads. Delays and disruptions would be temporary but would be considered a potentially significant impact.

### *Construction Traffic Detours*

During reservoir and facility construction, traffic may be diverted as some roads may require improvement for constructing the proposed project or require relocation as part of the proposed project. Detours also may be necessary when facilities intersect with roadways. Impacts could be minimal if detour locations are convenient to the existing traffic route; however, traffic travel time could increase and cause some delay. If detours significantly affect traffic flows, a portion of the existing traffic could choose another route altogether, thereby further affecting traffic volumes. This is a potentially significant impact.

### **3.1.2 Operation and Maintenance-related Impacts**

Operation of the proposed project, any improved roadway system, and any recreational facilities associated with the project could induce increased recreational traffic into the area. Storage reservoirs often are associated with water-oriented recreational opportunities. While specific additional recreational activities associated with the proposed project have not been identified, the potential increase in traffic is not expected to adversely affect congestion or safety along the roadways in the project area. No potentially significant impacts are expected to be associated with operation or maintenance of the proposed project.

## **3.2 EXAMPLE 1: SITES/COLUSA RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The Sites/Colusa Reservoir Project includes the reservoir, the Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement, the Tehama-Colusa Canal Extension, and the Chico Landing Intertie. The principal access route to the Sites/Colusa Reservoir location is from Interstate 5 via Maxwell-Sites Road. Maxwell-Sites Road is identified in the Colusa County General Plan as a major collector. Major collectors are the highest classified segments of the county road system and serve travel of intra-county importance.

### 3.2.1 Construction-related Impacts

#### Reservoir

##### *Construction Traffic-induced Delays*

Increased construction traffic would cause some delays but would probably not preclude the use of Maxwell-Sites Road due to its function as a major collector. The likely travel route to the reservoir site would increase traffic in the town of Maxwell as traffic exits Interstate 5 and accesses Maxwell-Sites Road in town. Some additional congestion and possible delays could be expected. Delays and disruptions would be temporary but would be considered a potentially significant impact.

##### *Decreases in Level of Service*

According to the Colusa County General Plan, the segment of Interstate 5 potentially impacted by the project is currently operating well within LOS A, representing a free-flow uncongested traffic condition. Although forecasts for the year 2010 contained within the general plan indicate a substantial increase in miles traveled on Colusa County primary arterials, the LOS remains within the "A" range and thus no improvements are anticipated in the plan. The general plan acknowledges that while the Maxwell-Sites Road may see large increases in traffic, the volume expected through the year 2010 is not expected to warrant the addition of lanes or traffic control devices. Minor decrease in LOS is not considered a potentially significant impact.

##### *Inundation of Existing Roadways*

Development of the Sites/Colusa Reservoir Project would inundate portions of the Sites-Lodoga and Maxwell-Sites Roads as well as several residences within the community of Sites. Relocating roadways and residences would cause long-term direct and indirect significant and unavoidable impacts by permanently altering existing traffic flow and patterns in the area.

##### *Scenic Highway Designation*

The general plan notes that the Maxwell-Sites and Sites-Lodoga Roads should be considered for local scenic highway designation. Construction of the Sites/Colusa Reservoir Project would impact such designation on at least portions of these roadways. If such designation were to occur prior to construction of the project, and the inundated portion of the roads were designated as scenic, this would be considered a significant unavoidable impact.

#### Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement

##### *Construction Traffic-induced Delays*

Primary access to the existing Tehama-Colusa Canal for construction activities would be Interstate 5 via numerous county roadways. Increased construction traffic would cause some delays but would probably not preclude the use of any county roads. Delays and disruptions would be temporary but would be considered a potentially significant impact.

### *Construction Traffic Detours*

During canal enlargement, traffic may be diverted, particularly where the canal intersects with existing roadways. This is considered a potentially significant impact.

### *Decrease in Level of Service*

Similar impacts to LOS as described for the reservoir construction are expected to be associated with the canal expansion project. Minor decrease in LOS is not considered a potentially significant impact.

## Tehama-Colusa Canal Extension

### *Construction Traffic-induced Delays*

Traffic associated with the construction of the Tehama-Colusa Canal Extension would access the project site via Interstate 5 or Interstate 505 and numerous county roadways. Generally, construction impacts would be similar to those experienced for the reservoir construction with additional vehicular traffic on these roadways during the multi-year construction period. Increased construction traffic would cause some delays but would probably not preclude the use of the affected county roads. Delays and disruptions would be temporary but would be considered a potentially significant impact.

### *Decrease in Level of Service*

Similar impacts to LOS as described for the reservoir construction are expected to be associated with the canal extension project. Minor decrease in LOS is not considered a potentially significant impact.

## Chico Landing Intertie

### *Construction Traffic-induced Delays*

Primary access to the proposed Chico Landing Intertie site for construction activities would be Interstate 5 via numerous county roadways. Increased construction traffic would cause some delays but would probably not preclude the use of any county roads. Delays and disruptions would be temporary but would be considered a potentially significant impact.

### *Rail Traffic Delays*

The Chico Landing Intertie is designed to cross the Southern Pacific railroad line in an inverted siphon. Rail traffic delays could occur during construction of the crossing. This is considered a temporary but potentially significant impact.

### *Decrease in Level of Service*

Similar impacts to LOS as described for the reservoir construction are expected to be associated with the Chico Landing Intertie project. Minor decrease in level of service is not considered a potentially significant impact.

### **3.3 EXAMPLE 2: THOMES-NEWVILLE RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The principal access route to the reservoir site is Interstate 5 via Highway 200 (Newville Road). Highway 200 is a minor arterial, functioning as an integrated intercounty road connecting major communities. The town of Orland is located close to the project area.

#### **3.3.1 Construction-related Impacts**

##### *Construction Traffic-induced Delays*

The reservoir project would generate additional vehicular traffic on the regional roadways and in Orland during the multi-year construction period.

##### *Rail Traffic Delays*

The Sour Grass Canal is designed to cross the Southern Pacific railroad. Rail traffic delays could occur during construction of the crossing. This a temporary but potentially significant impact.

##### *Decrease in Level of Service*

According to the Glenn County General Plan, Highway 200 is currently operating at LOS D and Interstate 5, a principal arterial, is operating at LOS C in the project vicinity. The general plan suggests no major increases in traffic levels on roadways are expected within the county other than those associated with a 3 percent annual population growth. A minor decrease in LOS is not considered a potentially significant impact.

##### *Inundation of Existing Roadways*

Inundation caused by the construction of the reservoir would require the relocation or reconstruction of portions of Highway 200 (Newville Road) and Paskenta-Round Valley Road. Additionally, several structures may require relocation. Total length of new road construction would be approximately 10 miles. Relocation activities would directly impact traffic in the area that would have to detour while construction is taking place. The road relocations would cause long-term direct and indirect significant and unavoidable impacts by permanently altering existing traffic flow and patterns in the area.

#### **3.3.2 Operation and Maintenance-related Impacts**

No potentially significant impacts are expected to be associated with operation or maintenance of the proposed project.

### **3.4 EXAMPLE 3: WEST SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The principal access route to the WSJV Reservoir Project is Interstate 5 via county roads.

#### **3.4.1 Construction-related Impacts**

### *Decrease in Level of Service*

The existing levels of service for the roadways serving this site are currently unknown. A minor decrease in LOS is not considered a potentially significant impact, however further investigation would be needed to assess the impact.

### *Inundation of Existing Roadways*

Inundation caused by the construction of WSJV Reservoir would require the relocation or reconstruction of 12.5 miles of roads and the relocation of numerous residences. Relocation activities would directly impact traffic in the area that would have to detour while construction is taking place. The road relocations would cause long-term direct and indirect significant and unavoidable impacts by permanently altering existing traffic flow and patterns in the area, particularly for those individuals relocated.

### *Freeway Crossing of Conveyance Facility*

The construction of Channel 1, designed to carry water between the California Aqueduct and the WSJV Reservoir, would necessitate a bridge spanning Interstate 5. Construction of a 100-foot wide, 240-foot long bridge would result in delays and possible detours of traffic on the most frequently used north-south highway in the state. This would represent a significant impact.

## **3.4.2 Operation and Maintenance-related Impacts**

The proposed addition of 20 miles of new roads for recreation and facility access could help mediate long-term indirect impacts of rerouted traffic flow and patterns as well as mediate recreation induced additional traffic loads. The potential increase in traffic is not expected to adversely affect congestion or safety along the roadways in the project area.

## **3.5 EXAMPLE 4: MONTGOMERY RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The principal access route to the Montgomery Reservoir Project is from Highway 99, via County Roads J16 and J59. Construction traffic would likely be routed through the town of Snelling, just south of the project site.

### **3.5.1 Construction-related Impacts**

#### *Construction Traffic-induced Delays*

The likely travel route to the reservoir site would increase traffic on the west side of Merced as traffic exits Highway 99 and accesses County Road J59 in town. Likewise, Snelling would experience increased traffic on both County Roads J59 and J16, with additional congestion and possible delays expected. Delays and disruptions would be temporary but would be considered a potentially significant impact.

### *Decrease in Level of Service*

The existing levels of service for the roadways serving this site are currently unknown. A minor decrease in LOS is not considered a potentially significant impact, however further investigation would be needed to assess the impact.

### *Inundation of Existing Roadways*

Inundation caused by the construction of Montgomery Reservoir would require the relocation of a portion of County Road J59, as well as approximately 4.5 miles of additional roads. Portions of Olson and Fields Roads also would be inundated. Relocation activities would directly impact traffic in the area that would have to detour while construction is taking place. The road relocations would cause long-term direct and indirect significant and unavoidable impacts by permanently altering existing traffic flow and patterns in the area.

## **3.5.2 Operation and Maintenance-related Impacts**

No potentially significant impacts are expected to be associated with operation or maintenance of the proposed project.

## **3.6 EXAMPLE 5: LOS VAQUEROS RESERVOIR ENLARGEMENT PROJECT**

The principal access routes to the Los Vaqueros Reservoir Enlargement Project are Interstates 580 and 680 and several county roads. Several of the local roadways that could be affected by the project include medium- to high-speed roadways connecting rural and urban areas in the east county to the Livermore Valley.

### **3.6.1 Construction-related Impacts**

#### *Construction traffic-induced delays*

Increased construction traffic would cause some delays but would probably not preclude the use of county roads due to local reliance on these roads for access to major regional arterials. Construction traffic would not likely pass through any towns in the project area, however there are numerous rural residences in the vicinity. Delays and disruptions would be temporary but would be considered a potentially significant impact.

#### *Decrease in Level of Service*

LOS conditions on relevant county roadways, evaluated prior to the construction of Los Vaqueros Reservoir, ranged from LOS D to F. Vasco Road north of Interstate 580 was operating at LOS E due to the high commute volumes it accommodates and the rolling to mountainous terrain it crosses. A decrease in LOS on a roadway already at LOS E is considered a potentially significant impact. Interstate 580 was evaluated at LOS A prior to construction of Los Vaqueros Reservoir and changes associated with the reservoir enlargement project are not expected to significantly alter that designation.

### *Inundation of Existing Roadways*

Reservoir enlargement would inundate portions of Vasco Road. Vasco Road has served as a primary connector between eastern Contra Costa County, Interstate 580, and the Livermore Valley and was relocated subsequent to the construction of Los Vaqueros Reservoir. Inundation caused by the enlargement of Los Vaqueros Reservoir could again require the relocation and reconstruction of portions of Vasco Road. Relocation activities would directly impact traffic in the area that would have to detour while construction is taking place. The road relocations would cause long-term direct and indirect significant and unavoidable impacts by permanently altering existing traffic flow and patterns in the area.

### **3.6.2 Operation and Maintenance-related Impacts**

No potentially significant impacts are expected to be associated with operation or maintenance of the proposed project.

### **3.7 MITIGATION STRATEGIES**

*Construction traffic-induced delays could be mitigated by providing advanced notice of construction activity schedules to affected communities. Shift work at the project could be scheduled to begin and end at off-peak traffic times.*

Detours and temporary roads could be provided in convenient locations and with clearly marked routing.

While direct impacts associated with the relocation of roadways could be mitigated by providing convenient and parallel detours, there would not likely be any mitigation for the long-term indirect impacts associated with road relocation.

If the Maxwell-Sites and Sites-Lodoga Roads are designated as Scenic Roadways prior to construction of the project, there would be no mitigation available for the loss of these roadway sections through inundation.

Mitigation to reduce the impact of construction associated with the Southern Pacific rail line would include coordination with Southern Pacific for construction activities to occur during periods without scheduled train traffic. Appropriate warning devices would be necessary to assist the construction workers in accomplishing their work safely.

When possible, limit detours and other traffic restrictions to off-peak hours. If possible, construction on canal segments should occur during off-peak traffic hours.

Earlier reports prepared for the initial construction of the Los Vaqueros Reservoir suggested that an acceptable LOS could be attained by widening Vasco Road to four lanes north from the Interstate 580 interchange to the county line. Further study would be required to determine if this mitigation measure remains appropriate.

- CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team 1997a. *Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for Los Banos Grandes Project*. March 18, 1997.
- CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team 1997b. *Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for Sites/Colusa Reservoir Project*. March 25, 1997.
- CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team 1997c. *Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for Chico Landing Intertie*. March 25, 1997.
- CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team 1997d. *Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for Tehama-Colusa Canal Extension*. April 24, 1997.
- CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team 1997e. *Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project*. April 25, 1997.
- CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team 1997f. *Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for Montgomery Reservoir*. June 24, 1997.
- CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team 1997g. *Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement*. June 24, 1997.
- CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team 1997h. *Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for the Los Vaqueros Reservoir Enlargement*. July 2, 1997.
- Contra Costa Water District et al. 1993. *Final Stage 2 Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement for the Los Vaqueros Project*. September 27, 1993.
- County of Colusa 1989. *Final Colusa County General Plan*. January 20, 1989.
- County of Glenn 1993. *Policy Plan, Glenn County General Plan, Volume I*. June 1993.
- County of Tehama 1983. *Tehama County General Plan and Land Use Maps: Central and Southern I-5 Area and Western Area*. March 1, 1983.
- County of Yolo 1983. *Yolo County General Plan, Parts 1, 2, and 3*. July 17, 1983.
- Department of Water Resources 1984. *Alternative Plans for Offstream Storage South of the Delta. Progress Report*. May 1984.
- Department of Water Resources 1990. *Los Banos Grandes Facilities Feasibility Report*. December 1990.

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1  
Date: Thu, 06 Nov 1997 08:50:01 -0800  
From: RUSS BROWN <RUSSB@jsanet.com>  
To: lorenb@water.ca.gov  
Subject: ? -Reply

*water management*

Hello Loren

The water supply impacts discussion for the surface reservoirs, including the in-Delta storage are OK. However, the water supply impact assessment is more general than these paragraphs which describe construction related effects of specific projects. I'm not sure where you are thinking about inserting them. The benefits of new storage are already mentioned in the water supply impact assessment, but not for a specific example project. Anyway, nothing in these paragraphs needs changing.

P125/10175

## **SOCIOECONOMICS - MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER SUPPLY ECONOMICS**

Assumptions used in this analysis include the following:

### *Construction Impact Assumptions*

- Construction payroll is eight percent of the total project cost estimate;
- 25 to 50 percent of direct employment income would remain in the region of influence (ROI) (depending on commuting patterns);
- 1.7 multiplier is used to calculate total income (direct, indirect, and induced) that would be introduced into the region;
- 25 percent of total project cost would be spent in the ROI for construction materials, machinery, and supplies; and
- 2.0 economic output multiplier is used to calculate total spending (direct, indirect, and induced) in the ROI.

### *Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Impact Assumptions*

- Annual O&M budget is 0.0037 of the total construction cost estimate;
- O&M budget does not include energy costs associated with pumping facilities;
- O&M payroll is eight percent of O&M budget;
- 1.7 multiplier is used to calculate total income (direct, indirect, and induced) that would be introduced into the region;
- 100 percent of O&M payroll would remain in the ROI; and
- 2.2 economic output multiplier is used to calculate total spending (direct, indirect, and induced) in the ROI.

Given the number of and general nature of assumptions, the economic calculations are based on best estimates and will be revised once project specific data is available. The purpose of providing the costs and estimated economic changes is to provide a proxy for determining the magnitude of potential economic impacts for these or similar projects. All monetary values are expressed in 1996 dollars.

### **1.1.1 Significance Criteria**

Program impacts are evaluated as either adverse or beneficial for each economic factor, including employment, income generation, and sales volume. Significance determinations are not required for economic impacts, in and of themselves, under NEPA and CEQA. However, to assess the magnitude of impacts to employment levels, income generation, and sales volume, the following criteria have been developed.

~~Negligible Impacts: The change for each specific economic factor does not exceed five percent of baseline levels.~~ No measurable change or change less than 0.1 percent of regional total.

Minor Impact: The economic factor changes between 0.1 and 1.0 percent. ~~between six percent and 25 percent.~~

Moderate Impact: The economic factor changes between 1.0 and 2.0 percent at the regional level ~~26 percent and 50 percent.~~

Substantial Impact: The economic factor changes over 2 percent at the regional level. ~~over 50 percent.~~

Pursuant to Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines, a significant socioeconomic impact may occur if a project results in one or more of the following:

Environmental impacts that cause substantial direct or indirect adverse effects on human beings;

Induces substantial growth or concentration of people;

Displaces a large number of people; and

Disrupts or divides the physical arrangement of an established community.

2.0

## **INTRODUCTION**

Surface water storage is an element common to each alternative under consideration for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program (Program). This technical report evaluates the potential consequences and mitigation strategies for several surface water storage options that have the potential to contribute to the Program objective of improving water management for beneficial uses of the Bay-Delta system. While specific reservoir projects have not been identified as part of the Program alternatives, specific reservoir storage capacities and component configurations relative to existing project facilities have been proposed. Storage capacity described within the alternatives varies from 1 million acre feet to 3 million acre feet (MAF), while facility configurations vary from on-stream to off-stream storage, with locations both north and south of the delta. Since no actual sites have been selected for inclusion in the alternatives, five example reservoir sites, representative of the general sizes and geographic locations described in the alternatives, were chosen for analysis to evaluate potential impacts from surface water impoundment.

Significance criteria are established to satisfy the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirement to determine the threshold at which impact magnitudes constitute significant impacts. Although the CEQA statutes and guidelines define a "significant effect on the environment" as a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in the environment, neither CEQA nor the CEQA guidelines establish mandatory thresholds or levels at which an adverse impact is considered significant. Thus CEQA allows the lead agency discretion in the selection, use, and application of significance criteria that are appropriate for the setting and circumstance of each project.

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) does not have the same mandatory finding of significance as does CEQA, but NEPA does discuss how the significance of impacts can be defined in terms of context and intensity. The general nature of the planning and the range of settings and impacts involved with the Program dictate the use of qualitative thresholds of significance at this programmatic stage.

### **3.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS**

Specific sites were used to better focus information about potential impacts and mitigation measures. The reader is reminded however, that these sites are presented merely as examples to illustrate the types of impacts and mitigation measures associated with construction, operation and maintenance of storage reservoirs consistent with the requirements for surface storage and facility configurations contained within the alternatives. The inclusion of an example project does not indicate an endorsement of that project by CALFED. Project descriptions are taken from individual Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates prepared by the CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team (CALFED 1997a-1997g).

### **3.1 EXAMPLE 1: SITES/COLUSA RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The Sites/Colusa Reservoir is an example of an off-stream north of Delta storage project that would be filled primarily through diversions of winter and spring surplus flows pumped from the Sacramento River. Minor additional runoff contributions from local drainage are anticipated. This project could provide long-term storage, which would increase the reliability of water supplies during drought conditions. The Tehama-Colusa Canal (T-C Canal) and the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District Canal are the main existing conduits that could be utilized for filling the reservoir. Enlargement and extension of the T-C Canal and construction of an additional new diversion from the Sacramento River into the T-C Canal, the Chico Landing Intertie, are also components of this project. Water would be delivered to the Sites/Colusa reservoir through the enlarged T-C Canal via the proposed Logan Forebay and Pumping Generating Plant.

#### **3.1.1 Location**

The Sites/Colusa Reservoir would be located within northern Colusa County and southern Glenn County, about 10 miles west of Maxwell across the drainages of Stone Corral, Hunters, Logan, and Funks Creeks. The area is rural in nature and very sparsely populated. The small community of Sites and a road near Stonyford are within the reservoir inundation area.

#### **3.1.2 Project Components**

##### *Reservoir*

The reservoir would be formed by constructing four large dams across the major drainages and several smaller saddle dams along the low divides between drainages. The large dams include a 294-foot high dam on Stone Corral Creek (Sites Dam), a 302-foot high dam on Funks Creek (Golden Gate Dam), a 282-foot high dam spanning Hunters Creek (Hunters Dam), and a 272-foot high dam across Logan Creek (Logan Dam). These dams would be zoned earth embankment types with crest elevations of 541 feet above mean sea level (MSL) and crest widths of 40 feet. Four saddle dams ranging from 71 to 260 feet in height would be required along Logan Ridge and five saddle dams ranging from 11 to 130 feet in height would be required along the northern boundary of the reservoir.

The maximum operating water surface elevation would be at 532 feet above MSL and would inundate approximately 29,600 acres. Total storage capacity for the Sites/Colusa Reservoir would be 3.3 MAF.

## *Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement and Expansion*

### Existing Canal Configuration

The existing canal is 111 miles long, extending from the Red Bluff Diversion Dam on the Sacramento River in the north to Bird Creek in Yolo County in the south. The capacity of the canal at Red Bluff is 2,530 cubic feet per second (csf), diminishing to 1,700 csf at the terminus. Funks Reservoir, at about mile 67 of the canal and about five miles west of the town of Maxwell in Colusa County, is the only regulating facility for the canal. The T-C Canal Enlargement would increase the capacity of the canal from Red Bluff to Funks Reservoir.

The Red Bluff Diversion Dam creates the necessary hydraulic head to divert water from the Sacramento River into the T-C Canal. The Diversion Dam consists of eleven, 60-foot wide concrete overflow weir sections, a 60-foot wide concrete sluiceway, the headworks to the T-C Canal, fishways at both abutments of the dam, and low earth dikes on each abutment.

The T-C Canal Fish Screens and Bypass Facilities allow water to be diverted from the Sacramento River while minimizing harm to fish that may be present. The fish are prevented from entering the canal by slowly rotating drums placed diagonally across a settling basin, then collected into bypass pipes and returned to the center of the Sacramento River downstream of the dam.

Eight individual reaches, identified by major drainage or creek crossings at each end, divide the existing T-C Canal. The T-C Canal Enlargement would involve the northern end of the existing canal and include five of the eight reaches, while the T-C Canal Extension would involve the remaining three reaches south of Funks Reservoir. The extension project also includes extending the T-C Canal from its present terminus into southern Yolo County.

### Proposed Canal Configurations

There are two potential configurations for increasing the capacity of the existing canal ? the existing canal structure could be enlarged; or a parallel canal adjacent to the existing canal could be constructed. Both options would require increasing the capacity of the intake structure from the Red Bluff Diversion Dam with an equal increase in the capacity of the fish screens. Additionally, a 21-mile extension of the canal is part of the project.

### Enlarged Canal Configuration

This option would increase the capacity of the five canal reaches between the Red Bluff Diversion Dam and Funks Reservoir to 5,000 csf by enlarging the existing canal structure. The T-C Canal intake facility would be enlarged to a total capacity of 5,000 csf and the existing fish screening facility would be expanded accordingly. The expansion would require excavation and lining of the existing canal, enlargement of 24 siphons, construction of 58 road crossings, and establishment of one check structure with each reach.

## Parallel Canal Configuration

This option would increase the capacity of the canal to at least 5,000 cfs for all eight reaches. In this configuration a separate canal would be constructed parallel to the existing canal with a capacity of 3,500 cfs. The parallel canal would require an additional 500 feet of right-of-way adjacent to the existing canal. The expanded right-of-way would allow sufficient distance between the canals for construction and maintenance activities. The T-C Canal intake facility and fish screens would be enlarged similarly to that required for the Enlarged Canal Configuration. In addition, a separate intake structure would be constructed for the parallel canal.

## *T-C Canal Extension*

The canal extension from its present terminus at Bird Creek to the proposed Lake Berryessa Winters Pumping-Generating Plant would add approximately 21 miles to the total length of the canal. The extension section would be concrete-lined with a capacity of 5,000 cfs, and would include construction of siphons, check structures, bridges, overchutes, and culverts. The extension would require a new 300-foot right-of-way and new crossings would include Oat Creek, Cache Creek, and Highway 16.

## *Chico Landing Intertie*

The Chico Landing Intertie would connect the Sacramento River south of Hamilton City to the existing T-C Canal just south of Greenwood. The Intertie would provide an alternate means of diverting water from the river to the new reservoir. The Intertie would consist of approximately 10 miles of concrete-lined canals, three pumping plants, and a screened diversion on the Sacramento River. The Chico Landing Intertie has a design capacity of 5,000 cfs.

The diversion facility would be composed of twenty-four, 32-foot bays and two, 24-foot bays with 2, 6-foot by 8-foot slide gates per bay and would include fish screens designed to meet the California Department of Fish and Game velocity limits.

All of the canal reaches would have the following common dimensions ? concrete-lined trapezoidal sections with 1.5:1 side slopes and a bottom width of 60 feet. The canal would be constructed in both cut and fill. The proposed canal alignment would cross several existing facilities. It would cross the Glenn-Colusa Canal and the Southern Pacific Railroad in inverted siphons. The alignment also would include nine irrigation ditch crossings and nine county road crossings. The required right-of-way width is 350 feet.

## *Logan Conveyance System*

The proposed conveyance system from the T-C Canal to the Sites/Colusa Reservoir would be located approximately four miles south of Willows and nine miles north of the existing Funks Reservoir. The system would include the following features:

Logan Forebay, a 400 acre feet impoundment formed by a low earth dam on Logan Creek immediately west of the T-C Canal;  
Logan Pumping-Generating Plant, located at the base of Logan Dam, which would lift water a maximum of 322 feet into the Sites/Colusa Reservoir; and  
Logan Canal, a 5,000 cfs capacity, 1.7 mile long canal connecting Logan Forebay to the Logan Pumping-Generating Plant.

The Logan Pumping-Generating Plant would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs and would serve both inflow and outflow requirements for the Sites/Colusa Reservoir. An open chute-type spillway with an uncontrolled crest (ungated) with a capacity of 2,500 cfs would discharge from the reservoir into Hunters Creek. The small spillway would be adequate to handle the maximum probable project flood because of the large water surface area compared to the small, relatively dry tributary drainage area.

Outlet works facilities for Sites/Colusa Reservoir would include outlets at Logan Dam and Golden Gate Dam. The outlet at Logan Dam would contain the penstock for the Logan Pumping-Generating Plant and would be used both to fill Sites/Colusa Reservoir and to make releases into Logan Forebay. The outlet at Golden Gate Dam would be used only to help during an emergency evacuation. Department of Water and Power (DWR), Division of Safety and Dams requires that during an emergency evacuation, 10 percent of the maximum water depth must be released in 10 days. This equates to an estimated release capacity of 44,000 cfs or 22,000 cfs at each outlet works facility.

### **3.2 EXAMPLE 2: THOMES-NEWVILLE RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project, an example of an off-stream north of Delta storage reservoir, would function as storage for available flows from Thomes Creek, North Fork Stony Creek, and Stony Creek, as well as for surplus flows from the Sacramento River. This project could increase the water supply opportunities and contribute to the reliability of water supplies during drought conditions. Facilities associated with the project include Newville and Tehenn Reservoirs located on North Fork Stony Creek, a diversion facility from Thomes Creek to Newville Reservoir, a two-way conveyance facility from Tehenn Reservoir to the existing Black Butte Reservoir on the mainstem of Stony Creek, and a two-way conveyance canal facility from the Tehama-Colusa Canal to Black Butte Reservoir. The Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project would have a storage capacity of 3.08 MAF.

There would be four water sources for the Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project. Flows from the North Fork Stony Creek would discharge directly into the proposed reservoir. Thomes Creek flows would be diverted from Thomes Creek and conveyed to the reservoir by a gravity canal. Mainstem Stony Creek flows would be conveyed from Black Butte Reservoir to Newville Reservoir via Tehenn Canal, Tehenn Pumping-Generating Plant, Tehenn Reservoir, and Newville Pumping-Generating Plant. Sacramento River flows would be diverted into the Tehama-Colusa Canal and conveyed into Black Butte Reservoir via Sour Grass Canal and Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plant. From Black Butte Reservoir, the Sacramento River water would be conveyed to Newville Reservoir via the Tehenn Canal and

Reservoir.

### **3.2.1 Location**

The Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project would be located approximately 25 miles west of Orland on the North Fork of Stony Creek in Glenn County. Three storage facilities, built between 1909 and 1970 as part of the Orland Project, are located on Stony Creek. The East Park Reservoir was constructed in 1909 in the upper watershed followed by Stony Gorge Reservoir, constructed in 1928, and Black Butte Reservoir further downstream, completed in 1970. The Black Butte Reservoir now serves as the main regulating facility for the distribution system of the Orland Project. The Thomes-Newville Reservoir Project would be located approximately 10 miles upstream of the Black Butte Dam.

The area is sparsely populated with relatively few structures. Approximately eight miles of public roads exist within the inundation area of Newville Reservoir. The Paskenta-Round Valley Road, a paved two-lane county road, passes through the north end of the reservoir and another county road crosses northwestward through the reservoir from the dam site to Paskenta-Round Valley Road. These roads would be relocated and upgraded to current county standards. Total length of new road construction would be approximately 10 miles.

### **3.2.2 Project Components**

#### *Reservoir*

The Newville Reservoir would have a storage capacity of 3.08 MAF and would be impounded by one main dam (Newville Dam) across North Fork Stony Creek and 10 saddle dams located on Rocky Ridge on the eastern and northern boundaries of the reservoir. The main dam would be an earthfill embankment structure, rising 400 feet above the existing streambed to an elevation of 1,000 feet above MSL. The dam crest length would be approximately 3,200 feet. The proposed reservoir would have a normal pool elevation of 980 feet above MSL and a surface area of 16,700 acres.

#### *Spillway and Inlet-Outlet Works*

The spillway would have a maximum capacity of 35,700 cfs and would be located 200 feet west of the right dam abutment. The spillway would consist of two submerged radial gates in a rectangular reinforced concrete-lined channel. The gates would be 20 feet wide by 30 feet high. The sill of the gates would be at an elevation of 930 feet above MSL. The emergency spillway, with a capacity of 8,000 cfs, would consist of two 20-foot long uncontrolled weirs, each at an elevation of 985 feet above MSL. The gated spillway and the emergency spillway would discharge into a common concrete lined tailrace and stilling basin.

The inlet-outlet works for the Newville Reservoir would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs to convey water pumped into the reservoir and to facilitate releases from the reservoir. The primary features of the inlet-outlet works would be a 2,100-foot long tunnel through the right

abutment of the dam and a sloping intake conduit with nine evenly spaced levels of inlets between the minimum and normal pool elevations. The emergency release requirement of the proposed reservoir would be 32,000 cfs. This release would be made through the gated spillway and the inlet-outlet works of the dam.

#### *Newville Pumping-Generating Plant*

The plant would be located at the toe of the Newville Dam to lift water from Tehenn Reservoir into Newville Reservoir and to generate power from releases from Newville Reservoir into Tehenn Reservoir. The plant would have a total capacity of 5,000 cfs.

#### *Thomes Creek Diversion Structure and Canal*

The diversion structure would be located in Thomes Creek, approximately nine miles upstream of the town of Paskenta and would consist of a conventional concrete gravity dam. The dam crest would be about 90 feet above the existing streambed at an elevation of 1,050 feet above MSL. A 500-foot wide overflow section with a crest elevation of 1,035 feet above MSL would be located on the left abutment. Two additional 20-foot wide and 50-foot high radial gates located in the right abutment could pass up to 41,000 cfs. The sill of the gates would be located 25 feet above the original streambed. These gates would be opened to allow flood flows to pass and flush accumulated sediment out of the diversion pool. During most of the winter, the gates would be closed so water could be diverted to Newville Reservoir.

A concrete-lined canal would convey water 13,000 feet from Thomes Creek to Newville Reservoir. The canal would be 30 feet wide and 16.5 feet deep with a capacity of 10,000 cfs.

#### *Tehenn Reservoir*

Tehenn Reservoir would be formed by constructing Tehenn Dam immediately downstream of Newville Dam across the North Fork Stony Creek. The reservoir would back water to the Newville Pumping-Generating Plant located at the base of Newville Dam, where the pumping-generating plant would lift the water into Newville Reservoir. Tehenn Dam would rise 112 feet above the original streambed and would have a crest length of 2,500 feet. Tehenn Reservoir would be capable of storing 32,500 acre feet at normal pool elevation of 610 feet above MSL.

The spillway for Tehenn Reservoir would be a concrete-lined ungated chute-type on the left abutment of the dam with a capacity of 50,000 cfs. The chute would extend 1,300 feet, ending in a concrete stilling basin. The spillway crest length would be 250 feet. The inlet-outlet works for Tehenn Dam would consist of a steel-lined concrete conduit under the left abutment with a capacity of 5,000 cfs.

### *Tehenn Pumping-Generating Plant*

The Tehenn Pumping-Generating Plant would lift water from Black Butte Reservoir and the Tehenn Canal into Tehenn Reservoir and also would generate power by releasing water from Tehenn Reservoir to Black Butte Reservoir. The plant would have a total capacity of 5,000 cfs.

### *Tehenn Canal*

Tehenn Canal would deliver a maximum flow of 5,000 cfs in either direction between Black Butte Reservoir and Tehenn Pumping-Generating Plant. It would be approximately five miles long, roughly following the natural channel of North Fork Stony Creek and would require a maximum cut of 120 feet.

### *Black Butte Pumping-Generating Plant*

The Black Butte Pumping-Generating Plant would lift water from the Black Butte Canal into Black Butte Reservoir and would generate power from releases from Black Butte Reservoir to the Black Butte Canal. The plant would be located just downstream of the existing Black Butte Dam and would be connected to the dam inlet-outlet works by a new 1,800-foot tunnel. The pumping-generating plant would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs.

### *Black Butte Canal*

The Black Butte Canal would be a two-way conveyance facility connecting the Black Butte Pumping-Generating Plant and Black Butte Reservoir with the Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plant. The concrete-lined canal would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs and would be approximately 4.5 miles long between the Black Butte and Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plants. Near Black Butte, the canal would require a maximum cut of approximately 190 feet.

### *Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plant*

The Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plant would lift flow into the Black Butte Canal during pumping operations and would generate power during release operations from Black Butte Reservoir. The pumping-generating plant would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs. Releases made through this plant and the Black Butte Pumping-Generating Plant would be used to supply supplemental water from storage in Newville Reservoir for use in the Tehama-Colusa Canal.

### *Sour Grass Canal*

The Sour Grass Canal would convey water in either direction between the Tehama-Colusa Canal and the Sour Grass Pumping-Generating Plant. The concrete-lined canal would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs and a total length of approximately 4.5 miles, generally following the alignment of Sour Grass Creek.

### **3.3 EXAMPLE 3: WEST SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The West San Joaquin Valley (WSJV) Reservoir Project is an example of an off-stream storage project south of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. This reservoir would be adjacent to the existing San Luis Reservoir and would be connected with the California Aqueduct so that excess Delta flows could be conveyed to and stored within the reservoir. The primary purpose of the WSJV Reservoir would be to reduce the frequency and magnitude of water shortages for water users dependent on the Delta by increasing the reliability of available supplies. This type of additional off-stream storage in association with the California Aqueduct could increase the water supply reliability of the State Water Project (SWP) and the Central Valley Project (CVP). The additional storage provided also could add flexibility to the SWP and CVP delivery systems and permit shifting Delta diversions toward months with fewer Delta impacts.

The project would consist of a storage reservoir, pumping-generating plants, and conveyance canals. The existing Los Banos Reservoir would be modified for use as a regulating facility for the WSJV Reservoir. The existing Los Banos Retention Dam, originally constructed to protect the California Aqueduct from flood flows carried by Los Banos Creek, would be improved to accommodate the proposed pumped-storage operations of the WSJV Reservoir. The project would store available flows diverted from the Delta at the SWP's Banks Pumping Plant and, possibly, the CVP's Tracy Pumping Plant. Water diverted from the delta would be conveyed to the existing Los Banos Reservoir through SWP's California Aqueduct or CVP's Delta Mendota Canal, then pumped to the WSJV Reservoir for storage. Water stored in the WSJV Reservoir would be released into Los Banos Reservoir and the California Aqueduct through a series of pumping-generating facilities. The new reservoir would operate similarly to the San Luis Reservoir facilities.

#### **3.3.1 Location**

The WSJV Reservoir Project would be located in Merced County approximately six miles west of the California Aqueduct and 80 miles south of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. The main dam would be constructed in a narrow canyon on Los Banos Creek. The Los Banos Valley, extending several miles upstream of the dam site, would form the reservoir inundation area. The area is rural in nature with scattered ranches. The existing San Luis and Los Banos Reservoirs are located immediately downstream of the project site

Construction of the WSJV Reservoir Project would require the relocation or reconstruction of 12.5 miles of roads and the construction of approximately 20 miles of new roads for

recreation and facility access. Additional relocations include approximately 50 residences, a 500kV transmission line, two crude oil pipelines, and a natural gas pipeline.

### **3.3.2 Project Components**

#### *Reservoir*

The reservoir would be formed by the construction of a zoned earthfill dam, rising 436 feet above the streambed of Los Banos Creek. The crest of the dam, at 806 feet above MSL, would be 40 feet wide and 2,160 feet long. At normal pool, the surface elevation of the reservoir would be at 786 feet above MSL and would have a surface area of approximately 13,810 acres. Total storage capacity for the WSJV Reservoir would be 2.03 MAF.

Several saddle dams would be required to achieve the proposed storage capacity. Salt Creek Saddle Dam would be located about 2.5 miles southeast of the primary dam site. This dam would be a rolled earthfill embankment dam with a crest width of 40 feet, length of 4,500 feet and height of 253 feet. A 36-inch diameter steel outlet conduit would be placed along the bed of Salt Creek to divert the stream during construction and for stream releases during normal reservoir operations.

Harper Lane and San Carlos Saddle Dams would be located at the northwest and southeast corners of the reservoir, respectively. The Harper Lane Saddle Dam would be a zoned earthfill dam with a crest height of 78 feet and a length of 900 feet. Billie Wright Road would be relocated along the 40-foot wide dam crest. San Carlos Saddle Dam would be a zoned earthfill embankment structure with a crest height of 81 feet and a length of 650 feet. A 600-foot long, 20-foot high dike would be required at a saddle location approximately 900 feet to the west. These two sections would be joined as one continuous embankment with a total length of 1,250 feet.

#### *Spillway and Inlet-Outlet Works*

Both the spillway and the emergency outlet works would be located on the left abutment of the dam. The spillway inlet would be an ungated, 30-foot diameter spillway tunnel extending approximately 14,480 feet to a concrete-lined open chute section that would extend about 340 feet to a stilling basin. The inlet-outlet works for the project would be designed to transfer up to 4,650 cfs between WSJV Reservoir and the pumping-generating plant during generating operations, and up to 3,500 cfs during pumping operations. This facility also would have the capacity to release 16,000 cfs during emergency drawdown. The main features of the inlet-outlet works would be a free-standing intake tower with an overall height of 308 feet, a concrete-lined pressure tunnel with a full-length steel liner, and the pumping-generating plant penstocks.

The emergency outlet works would be designed to evacuate 10 percent of the maximum reservoir depth in 10 days for a peak drawdown capacity of 26,000 cfs. This flow would be passed through the emergency outlet portion of the spillway, with a capacity of 10,000 cfs

and through two bypasses in the inlet-outlet works with a combined capacity of 16,000 cfs.

### *Los Banos Detention Dam and Reservoir*

The existing detention dam is a zoned earthfill embankment with a height of 167 feet and a crest length of 1,370 feet. Several modifications would be required to facilitate the proposed pumped-storage operation for WSJV Reservoir. The existing upstream shell of the Los Banos Detention Dam has insufficient permeability to be free-draining under drawdown rates anticipated for the proposed pumping-storage option. Replacement of the existing shell material with more pervious material would be necessary. Additionally, the existing spillway would be supplemented with a new spillway located on the right abutment of the Los Banos Detention Dam. Maximum release capacity would be 17,600 cfs and would be sized to meet the maximum discharge resulting from an emergency drawdown of WSJV Reservoir. Construction of new inlet-outlet works also are anticipated.

### *Conveyance Facilities*

Two conveyance channels, capable of transferring water in either direction, would be required to move water from the California Aqueduct to the WSJV Reservoir. Both channels would be capable of carrying 3,500 cfs in pumping mode. In generating mode, Channel 1 would be capable of carrying 4,650 cfs while Channel 2 would carry 5,800 cfs.

Channel 1, located between the Los Banos Detention Dam and California Aqueduct, would be concrete-lined and approximately one mile in length. Primary features would include an outlet culvert at Los Banos Creek, an emergency drawdown channel, confluence facility, turnout structure for the aqueduct, a bridge crossing for both Interstate 5 and Canyon Creek, and various animal crossings. The freeway bridge would be 100 feet wide and 240 feet long. Channel 2, between the WSJV Reservoir and the Los Banos Reservoir, would be unlined and approximately 1.4 miles long.

### *Pumping-Generating Plants*

Two pumping-generating plants would be constructed as part of this project. Plant 1 would convey water from the California Aqueduct to the Los Banos Reservoir. Maximum plant power requirements in pumping mode would be about 54 megawatts (MW) with a maximum flow of 4,500 cfs. The maximum plant generation would be about 50 MW with a maximum flow of 5,800 cfs.

Plant 2 would lift water from the Los Banos Reservoir to the WSJV Reservoir and would recover energy during WSJV Reservoir releases. The reversible units in this facility would require a maximum of 174 MW in pumping mode with a maximum flow of 4,500 cfs. Maximum plant generation would be 167 MW with a maximum flow of 5,800 cfs.

### **3.4 EXAMPLE 4: MONTGOMERY RESERVOIR PROJECT**

The Montgomery Reservoir is an example of an off-stream south of Delta storage project in the San Joaquin Valley that would be used to store and reregulate available water from Lake McClure and/or surplus flows on the Merced River and flood control on Dry Creek. The Montgomery Reservoir could help develop conservation storage in the San Joaquin Valley, which could potentially develop additional water supplies for agricultural and environmental uses on the San Joaquin River.

The project would include a storage reservoir and dam, a pumping plant, a two-way conveyance canal, and a discharge pipeline. The project would store available excess flows diverted from the Merced River. Water diverted would be conveyed through an expansion of the existing North Side Canal. The canal would be modified from a one-way to a two-way canal to facilitate conveyance to and from Montgomery Reservoir. This two-way conveyance facility from Merced Falls Reservoir to Montgomery Reservoir would convey up to 2,000 cfs by gravity to Montgomery Reservoir from October through March and about 1,000 cfs to Merced Falls Reservoir from April through September. Montgomery Reservoir potentially could contribute to the regulation of flows from the American, Sacramento, and Stanislaus Rivers and provide an additional source to serve local demands.

#### **3.4.1 Location**

The Montgomery Reservoir would be located in northeastern Merced County approximately 60 miles southeast of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. The project dam would be located on Dry Creek approximately 16 miles above the confluence with the Merced River near the town of Snelling. The dam site is within the Merced Irrigation District (MID) service area. Through operation of the New Exchequer Dam, MID supplies approximately 570,000 acre-feet of water per year for municipal and agricultural uses. The project area is rural in nature and sparsely populated. Relocation would be required for County Road 59J, a telephone line, and approximately 4.5 miles of additional roads, including portions of Olsen Road and Fields Road that would be inundated.

#### **3.4.2 Project Components**

##### *Existing Facilities*

Montgomery Reservoir would be located about 10 miles west of New Exchequer Dam. Owned and operated by MID, New Exchequer Dam is located on the Merced River and impounds Lake McClure. Approximately eight miles downstream of New Exchequer Dam is McSwain Dam and roughly one mile further downstream from McSwain Dam is the Merced Falls Diversion Dam. MID uses Merced Falls Diversion Dam to divert water into the North Side Canal. Snelling Dam is located about three miles downstream of Merced Falls Diversion Dam and is used by MID to divert water into the Main Canal, serving areas south of the Merced River.

### *Montgomery Reservoir*

Montgomery Reservoir would be formed by constructing a zoned earthfill dam with a height of 101 feet above the original streambed of Dry Creek. The dam crest would be 30 feet wide at an elevation of 336 feet above MSL. The reservoir would have a surface water elevation of 325 feet above MSL and a surface area of approximately 8,050 acres. Total storage capacity would be 240,000 acre-feet. Depending on reservoir configuration, the project could inundate up to 8,100 acres. According to the 1961 Reclamation feasibility-level design, eight saddle dams of various lengths and heights also would be required; further details regarding these dams were not included in the feasibility design.

### *Spillway, Pumping Plant, and Outlet Works*

The spillway would be a glory hole-type with an inlet elevation of 329 feet above MSL and an outlet elevation of 310 feet above MSL. The spillway maximum design capacity is 1,000 cfs and would be located on the left side of the main embankment dam, draining into an unnamed tributary of Dry Creek. A pumping plant would be required on the discharge pipeline to pump water from Montgomery Reservoir to the North Side Canal. The capacity of this pumping plant would be 1,000 cfs.

The Outlet Works would be located near the center of the dam and would discharge water into Dry Creek with a maximum outlet capacity of 5,200 cfs at an elevation of 237 feet above MSL. The maximum outlet capacity is capable of releasing the emergency evacuation volume of approximately 3,650 cfs as defined by DWR, Division of Safety of Dams.

### *Conveyance Facilities*

The existing North Side Canal would be expanded from a one-way gravity canal to a two-way canal to deliver water to the proposed reservoir. The total length of the expansion would be approximately 30,000 feet from the Merced Falls Diversion Dam to the outlet at the proposed reservoir. A new discharge pipeline with 1,000 cfs capacity would be constructed from the pumping plant at the base of the embankment dam, extending approximately 15,000 feet to the North Side Canal. The discharge pipeline would deliver water from the proposed reservoir back to the North Side Canal. Water delivered to the North Side Canal could flow in either direction from the connection point with the pipeline.

The Main Canal Pipeline would be constructed to connect the North Side Canal with the Main Canal. This pipeline would be approximately 4,000 feet long and cross beneath the Merced River. The Main Canal Pipeline would facilitate delivery of Montgomery Reservoir water to MID users south of the Merced River, thereby reducing diversions from the Merced River to the Main Canal at Snelling Diversion Dam. For the purposes of this report, an adequate right of way width, sufficient for construction and maintenance of all canals and pipelines, was determined to be 300 feet.

### **3.5 EXAMPLE 5: LOS VAQUEROS RESERVOIR ENLARGEMENT PROJECT**

The Los Vaqueros Reservoir Enlargement Project is an example of a modification to an existing off-stream south of Delta facility to accommodate increased storage. The Los Vaqueros Reservoir is currently under construction by Contra Costa Water District for water quality and emergency storage purposes. The Enlargement Project would increase storage capacity from 100,000 acre feet to 1.06 MAF. The Los Vaqueros Dam, currently being constructed, would be removed to build a larger earthfill dam. In addition to the larger dam, the project facilities would include the Kellogg Forebay, pumping-generating plants, and conveyance facilities.

A larger capacity would enable storage of excess Delta flow pumped at Banks Pumping Plant. The stored water would be released for needs in the California Aqueduct and to offset Delta diversions during environmentally critical periods. Enlargement of this off-stream storage facility could increase water supply reliability of the SWP and CVP and could increase flexibility of Delta export operations for both projects.

Available Delta flows would be pumped from Clifton Court Forebay, first to Kellogg Forebay, and then into the enlarged reservoir via the Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant. Storage releases also would generate energy at the Plant. The Tuway Canal would convey water in either direction between Kellogg Forebay and the California Aqueduct.

#### **3.5.1 Project Location**

The Los Vaqueros Reservoir Enlargement Project would be located in Contra Costa County on the eastern slope of the Coast Range. The current construction site is located about 11 miles south of Antioch and seven miles northwest of the Clifton Court Forebay. The total project lands to be acquired would be approximately 7,000 acres.

#### **3.5.2 Project Components**

##### *Reservoir*

The enlarged Los Vaqueros Reservoir would be formed by removal of the dam currently under construction and replacement with a main dam built across Kellogg Creek. Construction also would include four saddle dams. The reservoir would have a water surface elevation of 780 feet above MSL, a surface area of 4,830 acres, and a storage capacity of 1.065 MAF. The main dam would be a zoned earth embankment structure with a crest elevation of 800 feet above MSL, a height of 505 feet above the streambed, and a crest length of 2,700 feet.

### *Spillway and Inlet-Outlet Works*

The enlarged Los Vaqueros Reservoir and Dam would have a 2,200-foot long concrete-lined, chute-type spillway structure located on the right abutment. The inlet-outlet works would have three functions ? to enable rapid release of reservoir storage during emergencies; provide a choice of reservoir depths during normal operational releases; and provide a means to pump water into the reservoir. It would consist of three concrete- and steel-lined pressure tunnels of varying levels with a normal operating capacity of 5,000 cfs and an emergency capacity of 11,800 cfs to meet the release requirements of DWR's Division of Safety and Dams. Both the spillway and the river outlet works facilities could safely pass the maximum probable flood flow.

### *Kellogg Forebay*

Kellogg Forebay would serve as a transfer facility between the Kellogg Pumping Plant and the Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant. It would be formed by a dam on Kellogg Creek and one saddle dam. The main dam, located approximately 1.5 miles south of Camino Diablo Road and approximately three miles downstream of the Los Vaqueros Dam, would be a zoned earthen embankment. The dam would be 90 feet in height with a crest elevation of 260 feet above MSL. The Kellogg Forebay would have a normal water surface elevation of 244 feet above MSL, a surface area of 124 acres, and a storage capacity of 4,270 acre feet.

In addition, the main Kellogg Forebay Dam would have a 340-foot long concrete-lined, chute-type spillway structure located on the right abutment. The outlet works would have a maximum release capacity of 45 cfs designed to meet the emergency release requirements of DWR's Division of Safety and Dam. Both the spillway and the outlet works could safely pass the maximum probable flood flow.

### *Conveyance Facilities*

The conveyance facilities would consist of the Los Vaqueros Pipeline, the Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant, the Tuway Canal, a widened North San Joaquin Intake Channel, the Kellogg Pumping Plant, and the Kellogg Pumping Plant Discharge Facility.

The Los Vaqueros Pipeline would be located between the Los Vaqueros Reservoir and the Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant. This 5,000 cfs capacity pipeline would consist of nine 11,000-foot long, 144-inch diameter pipes and would convey water to and from the enlarged reservoir. The 5,000 cfs capacity Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant would be located at Kellogg Forebay. The Plant would lift water from the forebay to Los Vaqueros Reservoir through the Los Vaqueros Pipeline. The pumping plant also would generate power from storage releases from Los Vaqueros Reservoir to Kellogg Forebay.

Tuway Canal would connect Kellogg Forebay to the California Aqueduct. It would convey water pumped by the existing Harvey O. Banks Delta Pumping Plant or by the proposed Kellogg Pumping Plant to Kellogg Forebay. The canal would be a 4.5 mile long concrete-

lined structure and have a capacity to carry 5,000 cfs in either direction. Tuway Canal would have a top width of 135 feet, a bottom width of 60 feet, and a depth of 25 feet from the normal operating water surface level. The canal would include a 2,900-foot long siphon structure consisting of six, 23-foot by 23-foot concrete boxes. The canal right-of-way would consist of 410 acres.

The North San Joaquin Intake Channel conveys water from Clifton Court Forebay to Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant. The intake channel would be widened to increase its capacity from 10,900 cfs to 15,900 cfs. The 2-mile long channel would have a top width of 304 feet, a bottom width of 120 feet, and a depth of 46 feet from the normal operating water surface elevation.

The Kellogg Pumping Plant would be located near the top of the North San Joaquin Intake Channel on the north side of Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant. The pumping plant would lift water from the enlarged intake channel into the Tuway Canal. The plant would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs. The Kellogg Pumping Plant Discharge Facility would have a capacity of 5,000 cfs and would consist of nine 3,200-foot long, 144-inch diameter pipelines, a 1,000-foot long canal, and three, 25-foot by 55-foot radial gates.

During reservoir filling operations, the Kellogg Pumping Plant would pump water from the North San Joaquin Intake Channel leading to Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant, into the Tuway Canal. Tuway Canal, which can convey flows in either direction, would transport the pumped Delta water to Kellogg Forebay. From the forebay, water would be pumped through the Los Vaqueros Pipeline into the enlarged Los Vaqueros Reservoir.

Water released from the enlarged Los Vaqueros Reservoir would pass through the Los Vaqueros Pipeline, through the turbines of the Los Vaqueros Pumping-Generating Plant and into Kellogg Forebay. The enlarged reservoir also would have a connection to Contra Costa Water District's existing pipeline. Kellogg Forebay water would be released to the Tuway Canal and flow by gravity to the California Aqueduct.

#### **4.0 SOCIOECONOMICS ? MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER SUPPLY ECONOMICS**

##### **4.1 IMPACTS COMMON TO ALL EXAMPLE SITES**

###### **4.1.1 Operation And Maintenance-related Impacts**

### *Municipal and Industrial Water Supply Economics*

The additional water storage capacity is expected to benefit local municipal and industrial water users by improving availability and quality and potentially lowering acquisition costs. On the other hand, project costs paid by the region are an adverse effect. The net effect, which will depend on water yield, water allocation and cost allocation, has not yet been determined.

#### **4.2 MITIGATION STRATEGIES**

No mitigation measures are required.

CALFED. 1997a. Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for the Sites/Colusa Reservoir Project. Prepared by the CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team. June 24, 1997.

CALFED. 1997b. Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for the Tehama-Colusa Canal Enlargement. Prepared by the CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team. June 24, 1997.

CALFED. 1997c. Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for the Chico Landing Intertie. Prepared by the CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team. March 25, 1997.

CALFED. 1997d. Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for the Montgomery Reservoir. Prepared by the CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team. June 24, 1997.

CALFED. 1997e. Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for the Los Banos Grandes. Prepared by the CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team. March 18, 1997.

CALFED. 1997f. Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for the Los Vaqueros Reservoir Enlargement. Prepared by the CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team. July 2, 1997.

CALFED. 1997g. Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for the Thomas-Newville Reservoir Project. Prepared by the CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team. April 25, 1997.

Jones and Stokes Associates. 1986. Stage I Environmental Impact Report for the Los Vaqueros/Kellogg Project.

## **EXAMPLE 6: IN-DELTA STORAGE PROJECT**

### **MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER SUPPLY ECONOMICS**

#### **Construction Related Impacts**

Construction activities may temporarily disturb soils and waterways, increasing turbidity. This impact would be localized and should not affect municipal and industrial water supply or treatment costs.

#### **Operation and Maintenance-related Impacts**

The additional water storage capacity is expected to benefit local municipal and industrial water users by improving availability and quality, and potentially lowering acquisition costs. The magnitude of effect would depend on how the new facilities are managed and operated. On the other hand, project costs paid by the region are an adverse effect. The net effect, which will depend on water yield, water allocation and cost allocation, has not yet been determined.

Overall, the increased capacity is not anticipated to change total dissolve solids (TDS) or salinity levels the Delta municipal and industrial experience. Therefore, no significant impacts to municipal and industrial water supply economics related to water quality are expected.

### **MITIGATION STRATEGIES**

No mitigation required.

#### **REFERENCE**

CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Team 1997i. *Facility Descriptions and Updated Cost Estimates for an In-Delta Storage Project*. October 1997.