

## **PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS**

The relatively low level of detail provided in this technical report is probably a result of lack of specificity in the program description. However, impact comparisons are made difficult by the lack of consistent distinction between significant impacts and less than significant impacts. Significance criteria should be clarified. The report organization does not follow the outline and this hinders the comparison of alternatives. Although mitigation measures are summarized in the summary, they have been omitted from each alternative analysis. They should be discussed following each of the impact descriptions in the text. Some analysis of the relative effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures should be provided, and any subsequent potential impacts of mitigation (i.e. use of chemical agents) on health and safety should be addressed in this report.

## Conformance to Outline

### Public Health and Env Hazards

#### Affected Environment

- TOC is missing.
- Sections I and II are reversed.
- Section 4.2 and 4.3 are missing.
- Section 5 (references) is missing.

#### Environmental Consequences

- TOC is missing
- Did not follow outline for Section 5 (there should be three subsections: 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3).
- Standard Section 5.3 tables are constructed incorrectly.

**REVIEW COMMENTS  
CALFED BAY-DELTA PROGRAM PEIS TECHNICAL REPORTS  
PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS**

***AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT***

<b>No.</b>	<b>Page/Para</b>	<b>Comment</b>
1	Page 4, Section 5.1.3 of Environ. Cons.	Identify the projects and actions that would have impacts similar to those for the Delta Region.
2	General	There is no consistent distinction between significant impacts and less than significant impacts. The impact discussion should be formatted to present significant impacts followed immediately by the mitigation measures for that impact, if the impact is mitigable. The discussion of significant impacts/mitigation measures should be followed by a discussion of the less than significant impacts.
3	General	The technical report impact discussion provides little information for inclusion in the technical appendix. This is a problem inherent in the programmatic nature of the alternative descriptions.

9/30/97

1

C - 0 0 4 1 8 8

C-004188