

Comparison and Major Operational and Regulatory Criteria and Assumptions Results of September 27, 1996 Meeting

Criteria/Assumptions	CALFED Conveyance and Storage Refinement	CALFED Preliminary Existing Conditions	CALFED Preliminary No-Action Alternative
Level of Development	2020	Existing (1995)	2020
Delta Standards	1995 WQCP	1995 WQCP ²	1995 WQCP
American River Standards	CVPIA Flow Criteria	CVPIA Flow Criteria ³	CVPIA Flow Criteria
Sacramento River Standards	Winter Run/CVPIA Flow Criteria	Winter Run/CVPIA Flow Criteria	Winter Run/CVPIA Flow Criteria
Banks Export	6680 cfs	6680 cfs	6680 cfs
Tracy Export	4600 cfs	4600 cfs	4600 cfs
Folsom Reservoir Operations	400-670 TAF	400-670 TAF	400-670 TAF
COA ¹	Existing	Existing	Existing
Trinity River Flows	340 TAF in all years	340 TAF in all years	340 TAF in all years ⁴
Monterey Agreement	In place	In place	In place
CVP Demands	6.5 MAF	6.1 MAF	6.5 MAF
SWP Demands	4.1 MAF	2.6-3.6 MAF	4.1 MAF
Refuge Demands	Level II	Level II	Level IV
Responsibility for Meeting Delta Standards	CVP/SWP	CVP/SWP	CVP/SWP

1 COA sharing formula is based on operations under D-1485, not the 1995 WQCP. If changes are defined in the future, adjustments may be made

2 To address recent changes in the regulatory framework, CALFED will also analyze changes that occurred between D-1485 and the 1995 WQCP

3 CALFED will also conduct a sensitivity analysis to assess potential increased demands on the American River system.

4 Trinity River flows are the subject of a separate study. CALFED will conduct a sensitivity analysis to assess effects of other potential flow regimes

Note: Shaded cells highlight issues that require further resolution