

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

I like the September 22 edition of performance measures better! The current version is very general and I don't understand how they can help us screen out alternatives.

I think there is overlap among some of the performance measures. I believe we could group them together - to reduce the redundancy and better capture the linkages between resource areas.

Specific comments on the performance measures have been forwarded to Ron Ott.

Overall I believe there may be some alternatives that need some more flushing out (even for boundary alternatives). Although there seems to be lack of support for these alternatives, I feel comfortable that we can modify them to meet the program's needs. If everyone were happy with them, they wouldn't be "boundary" alternatives!

cc: Ron Ott