

Summary of Substantive Comments Received
on the
Affected Environmental/Existing Conditions Work Plan
April 30, 1996

#	<i>Commenter</i>	<i>Issue</i>	<i>Recommendation</i>
1	DWR (R. Woodard)	Based on public comment, drinking water quality should receive the most emphasis.	Drinking water quality will be addressed in the technical reports.
2	DWR (D. Sandino)	Recommend that CALFED consider the historic period to be used by SWRCB in its Delta water rights proceeding for its surface water and aquatic resources discussions. CALFED should consult with DWR on baseline conditions in the service areas.	Discuss resource conditions between 1984 and 1994. Consultation with DWR will occur.
3	BR (F. Michny)	Concern with being able to develop a predictive model when no data was to be collected on known site locations and previous survey coverage.	We are not proposing to prepare a predictive model; rather prepare a sensitivity analysis. Data will be collected for the potential impact area.

4	DFG (F.Wernette)	<p>Substantial interagency coordination needs to occur before the technical reports for CVPIA are relied on for the CALFED document.</p> <p>More detail needs to be provided for those actions scheduled for implementation, especially those that are in the Delta and fundamental for each alternative.</p> <p>Concern was expressed that a close link to the CVPIA program approach would result in opposition, slow down, and a loss of momentum.</p>	<p>We think these comments are consistent with the approach we have outlined in the work plan. Once alternatives are defined, the existing conditions of resources affected by implementation of those alternatives will be determined and documented at the appropriate level of detail.</p>
---	---------------------	---	---