

4/12/96

To: Rick Breitenbach  
From: Frank Michny  
Subject: Affected Environment Work Plan

Listed as follows are the comments of MP-150 on the subject document

Page 1-1

In the second line of the second bullet there should probably be a "be" after "to".

Page 2-2

In the second heading "Period" needs to be closed up.

Page 3-6

The work effort for cultural resources is not appropriate for the development of an existing condition report and for providing "information on the types, . . . , density, and potential significance of known and predicted prehistoric and historic resources (underlining added)." Since no data is to be collected on known site locations and previous survey coverage, any predictions will be intuitive and of limited use. For predictive modeling of site location and density site locations and survey coverage must be known and a relationship shown with an independent variable(s).

Table 1, page 1 of 4

Under Aquatic Ecology/Fisheries - since anadromous fish utilize the Delta for varying periods of their life history why would not a change in their populations in the "Rivers and Tributaries" have a relationship to their populations in the Bay-Delta system? Perhaps the "no" under changes to the Bay-Delta should be a "yes".

Table A-1

Historic Habitats- GIS map of Atwater's USGS Geologic Maps-Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, USBR, ARC/INFO

Cultural Resources- GIS map of South Delta Archeological Sites, USBR, ARC/INFO

Other than the above comments we believe to approach/methodologies described to be adequate for the purposes intended.

B - 0 0 3 3 0 3

B-003303